Dynamic Biochemistry, Process Biotechnology and Molecular Biology ©2008 Global Science Books



Banding for Chromosomal Identification in Bivalves: A 20-year History

Alexandra Leitão^{1§*} • Raquel Chaves^{2§}

Instituto Nacional de Recursos Biológicos (INRB/L-IPIMAR), Av. 5 de Outubro, 8700-305 Olhão, Portugal
Instituto de Biotecnologia e Bioengenharia, Centro de Genética e Biotecnologia da Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (IBB/CGB–UTAD),

P-5001-801, Vila Real, Portugal

[§]Both authors contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding author: * aleitao@ipimar.pt

ABSTRACT

Bivalves include some of the world's best known invertebrates and several species are very economically important for aquaculture production. This is reflected in the increasing amount of data on chromosomal characterization in this group compared to other aquatic invertebrates. This paper presents a review on banding for chromosomal identification in bivalves during the last two decades, which highlights the continuously development in the last years of the banding technique of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), which represents nowadays more than three quarts of all chromosomal banding studies in bivalves. Our intention was to provide an exhaustive bibliographic review useful not only to marine cytogeneticists, but also to marine biologists, marine taxonomists and also aquaculture producers, among others. An overview of the main application of chromosomal banding, both from a more fundamental evolutionary point of view, as well as from a more practical production point on view are also presented.

Keywords: bivalve, chromosome, chromosomal banding Abbreviations: FISH, Fluorescent *in Situ* Hybridization; NOR, Nucleolar Organizer Region

CONTENTS

| INTRODUCTION | 44 |
|--|----|
| "Classical" cytogenetic banding | 44 |
| Molecular cytogenetic banding | 45 |
| Fluorescent in Situ Hybridization (FISH) studies | 45 |
| CONCLUDING REMARKS | 48 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 48 |
| REFERENCES | 48 |
| | |

INTRODUCTION

Due to the economic and ecological importance of a large number of marine bivalve species, genetic investigations are of special interest. The identification of structural chromosomal features can be very useful in gene mapping, hybrid breeding or stock conservation programs.

According to White (1978), it is fairly certain that in many species of animals various types of chromosomal rearrangements are directly adaptive to certain types of habitats and ecological niches. Cytogenetic analyses are a useful tool for phylogenetic comparisons, chromosomal rearrangements and karyotype differentiation can be important mechanisms for reproductive isolation and speciation in some taxa (White 1978; King 1993).

The first studies, on bivalve chromosomes, mainly concerned data on chromosome number and gross morphology. Later, the application of "classical" cytogenetic banding techniques allowed the identification of whole chromosome or chromosomal specific parts (see for review Thiriot-Quiévreux 2002). The "classical" banding can be longitudinal, producing alternating light and dark bands that appear along the length of the chromosome (e.g. G- and R-banding), or, specifical banding where certain chromosome subregions are highlighted (e.g. NOR-banding). In the last 20 years, the development of molecular banding techniques, has allowed the fine characterization of individual chromosomes.

In this paper, we intend to provide an up-to-date review on banding for chromosomal identification in marine bivalves over the last 20 years. By complementing the previous reviews by Patterson 1969 (mostly on chromosome number), Nakamura 1985 and Thiriot-Quiévreux 2002 it reflects the progress of the different techniques used through out the time for bivalve chromosome studies, from the simple karyotpe formulae description to the more recent use of molecular cytogenetic techniques. We also present an overview of the main applications of banding for chromosomal identification in this group both from a fundamental evolutionary point of view but also from a more practical one (e.g. aquaculture production).

"Classical" cytogenetic banding

Chromosomal Ag-NORs (Nucleolar Organizer Regions) can be used as characters for inferring phylogenetic relationships (e.g. Amemiya and Gold 1990). The silver staining method, which detects NORs that were active at the precedent interphase (e.g. Howell 1977), has been applied to 31 bivalve species belonging to eight different families (see **Table 1** for details and references). Most of the Ag-

NORs banding studies have been performed in the Mytilidae, Ostreidae and Pectinidae families (Insua *et al.* 2001, **Table 1**).

A substantial proportion of the higher eukaryote genome consists of constitutive heterochromatin. This genomic fraction includes, among other repetitive sequences, satellite DNAs (very highly repetitive, tandemly repeated sequences) that usually reside in the pericentric regions of chromosomes (D'Aiuto et al. 1997; Chaves et al. 2000). Sequence analysis of these repeats suggests that the sequences are rapidly evolving, and hence they are valuable as evolutionary markers (Saffery et al. 1999; Chaves et al. 2000). The evolutionary significance of the heterochromatin has been previously discussed in vertebrates (e.g. Chaves et al. 2003, 2004). Constitutive heterochromatin regions (Cbands) banding was applied to 16 species (see Table 1 for details and references), and similarly to the Ag-NOR banding mainly in Mytilidae, Ostreidae and Pectinidae families, which can be easily justified by the large economical relevance of these three families. The existence of constitutive heterochromatin in centromeres was generally common in oysters, but not in mussels or scallops.

The comparison of Ag-NORs and C-band location has allowed the analysis of the cytotaxonomical relationships within certain families of marine bivalves such as the Mytilidae (Martinez-Lage et al. 1995), and the Ostreidae, both for the Ostreinae flat oysters' sub-family (Leitão et al. 2002) and also the Crassostreinae cupped oysters' sub-family (Leitão et al. 1999a). Nevertheless these two classical cytogenetic banding presents several weaknesses, for instance silver staining detects only the transcriptional active NORs in the precedent interphase (e.g. Howell 1977). Moreover, the number and location of NOR is also often variable not allowing an accurate location of the major rRNA genes (Wang et al. 2004). Additionally, and although they allow the identification in optimal conditions of some of the chromosomal pairs in the karyotype, they do not allow the individual identification of all chromosomal pairs, not allowing in consequence the establishment of precise karyotypes.

The G-banding technique can be defined as a system of alternating dark and light bands throughout the length of the euchromatic parts of the chromosome (Sumner 1990), and allows the identification of each individual chromosome pair. Among marine bivalves, a first attempt on the application of the G-banding technique was performed by Rodriguez-Romero in 1979 in *Crassostrea virginica*, however G-banding patterns were only obtained latter in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Martinez-Lage *et al.* 1994) and in the cupped oysters *C. virginica* (Leitão *et al.* 1999b), *C. angulata* (Leitão *et al.* 1999b) and *C. gigas* (Leitão *et al.* 1999b, 2001). However, this technique presents some disadvantages such as limited reproducibility, large time investment required, and the fact that the banding is often lost during the Fluorescent *in Situ* Hybridization (FISH) procedure.

Molecular cytogenetic banding

Reliable techniques were then a major requirement for genetic research in bivalves. Recently, the molecular cytogenetic technique of in situ digestion with restriction endonucleases (REs), which cleave DNA at specific target sequences, producing consistent banding patterns in fixed mammalian and insect chromosomes, has already been successfully applied to eight marine bivalve species belonging to the Mytilidae, Ostreidae, Pectinidae, Cardiidae and Veneridae families (see Table 1 for details and references). In all cases, specific longitudinal chromosomal banding patterns were obtained after digestion with REs, allowing the individual identification of all chromosome pairs as well as the establishment of precise karyotypes. This technique has been applied in chromosomal evolution studies as for example in the Ostreidae family, where it supported the closest relationships of the oyster species studied within Ostreinae

and Crassostreinae than between the two subfamilies.

RE banding, as it has been recently shown in mammals, presents the major advantage of being compatible with FISH (Chaves *et al.* 2002). The use of this chromosomal banding technique can then also provide a fundamental step in genome mapping in bivalve families, since chromosome banding with restriction enzymes will facilitate gene mapping. This technique has been increasingly applied to bivalve chromosomal studies; indeed until 2002 (see for review Thiriot-Quiévreux 2002) it had been applied in only one of the 48 studied species, the mussel *M. galloprovincialis* (Martinez-Lage *et al.* 1994). However, in the last seven years, it has already been successfully applied to seven other bivalve species (see **Table 1** for details and references).

Fluorescent in Situ Hybridization (FISH) studies

FISH is a rapid and reliable technique for chromosomal investigations that is presently used for a large diversity of molecular cytogenetic studies, such as chromosome identification, gene mapping, localization of gene expression, and analysis on chromosome rearrangements in a wide variety of genomes. This molecular cytogenetic method has been continuously developed in the last years and different kinds of fluorescently labeled probes have been introduced to optimize the detection of DNA and RNA (Lakatosova and Holeckova 2007). In bivalves, it represents the great majority of recent studies in chromosomal banding. Indeed, between 1992-2002 (see for review Thiriot-Quiévreux 2002) FISH banding represented 32% of all chromosomal banding studies in marine bivalves, this percentage has increased to 77% from 2002 until now (see Table 1 for details and references). In total, this technique has already been successfully applied to 31 bivalve species (see Table 1 for details and references), with probes such as telomeric sequences, satellite DNA, histones genes, microsatellites and ribosomal RNA genes (rDNA probes).

The major and minor rRNA genes are two gene families of ribosomal RNA genes which are fairly independent of each other and often structured into separate loci on one or different chromosomes (e.g. Liu et al. 2002). Both genes are present in large numbers of tandem repeats, making them ideal targets for FISH (Wang et al. 2004). In bivalves, the major (18S-5.8S-28S) ribosomal RNA genes (rDNA), which correspond to NORs, have already been mapped by FISH in (see Table 1 for details and references): a) five Mytilidae; b) six Ostreidae; c) three Pectinidae; d) two Mactridae; e) one Psammobidae; f) one Veneridae; g) two Pharidae; h) one Donacidae and i) one Tellinidae species. The physical location of the minor (5S) ribosomal RNA genes (rDNA) has been determined in the mussel M. galloprovincialis and in the two cupped oyster species C. angulata and C. virginica. Both major and minor ribosomal RNA genes have been assigned to the chromosomes of the mussel M. edulis, the pectinids Aequipecten opercularis, Chlamys farreri, Patinopecten yessoensis, Argopecten irradians, Hinnites distortus, Pecten maximus and Mimachlamys varia, and in the cockle Cerastoderma edule (see Table 1 for details and references).

The use of FISH with ribosomal probes has proven useful to infer phylogenetic relationships in several bivalve species. For example, in Pectinidae, Wang and Guo (2004) found unexpectedly that *A. irradians* with a haploid number of 16, had three rRNA bearing chromosomes, whilst *C. farreri* with an haploid number of 19 had only one rRNA bearing chromosomes, suggesting that this last karyotype would be plesiomorphic. In view of these results, the authors proposed that the ancestral karyotype of the Pectinidae, which have a majority haploid number of 19, would have originated through duplication from an ancestral bivalve with an haploid number of 10 (number that is the most common in the Ostreidae family). Wang and Guo (2004) also suggested that species with haploid numbers between 13-16 would represent triploid states.

Table 1 Published data on banding for chromosomal identification in bivalves. 2n: diploid number, NORs: silver staining, C: C-banding, Q: Q-banding,G: G-banding, N: N-banding, Flrc: fluorochrome staining, RE: restriction enzyme banding, FISH: Fluorescent *In Situ* Hybridization, rDNA: ribosomalRNA genes (rDNA probes).

| Family/Species | 2n | | | | | References | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|------------|------|----|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| v i | | NORs | С | Q | G | Ν | Flrc | RE | FISH | · |
| Mytilidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brachidontes minimus | 28 | х | | | | | х | | rDNA (major) | Vitturi et al. 2000 |
| Brachidontes rodriguezi | 32 | х | | | | | х | | rDNA (major) | Torreiro et al. 1999 |
| Mytilus californianus | 28 | x | | | | | x | | | Martinez-Lage <i>et al.</i> 1997 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Gonzalez-Tizon <i>et al</i> 2000 |
| | | | | | | | | | 3 satellite DNA | Martinez-Lage <i>et al.</i> 2002 |
| Mytilus edulis | 28 | v | | | | | | | | Cornet 1993 |
| mymus cams | 20 | v | | | | | | | | Insua et al 1994 |
| | | л v | v | | | | v | | | Martinez Lage at al 1995 |
| | | л | л | | | | л | | rDNA (minor and major) | Insue at al 2001 |
| | | v | v | | | | v | | IDIAR (IIIIIOI and IIIajoi) | Martinaz Lago et al. 1006 |
| | | л | л | | | | л | | 2 satallita DNA | Martinez-Lage et al. 1990 |
| Matilua callonuoviu si alia | 20 | | | | | | | | 5 satemite DNA | Martinez-Lage <i>et al.</i> 2002 |
| Mynnus ganoprovincians | 20 | | | | х | | | | | Includez et al. 1990 |
| | | х | | | | | | | | Martinez Lazz et al. 1004 |
| | | | х | | х | | х | х | | Martinez-Lage <i>et al.</i> 1994 |
| | | X | | | | | | | | Martinez-Exposito <i>et al.</i> 1994 |
| | | х | х | | | | х | | | Martinez-Lage <i>et al.</i> 1995 |
| | | х | х | | | | х | | | Martinez-Lage <i>et al.</i> 1996 |
| | | | х | | | | | | | Pasantes <i>et al.</i> 1996 |
| | | х | х | | | х | Х | | rDNA and Telomeric | Martinez-Exposito et al. 1997 |
| | | х | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Insua and Mendez 1998 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (minor) | Insua <i>et al.</i> 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | | 3 satellite DNA | Martinez-Lage et al. 2002 |
| | | | | | | | | | Telomeric | Phlol <i>et al.</i> 2002 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (minor) and histone H1 | Eirin-Lopez et al. 2004 |
| Mytilus trossulus | 28 | х | | | | | | | | Insua et al. 1994 |
| | | х | х | | | | х | | | Martinez-Lage et al. 1995 |
| | | х | х | | | | х | | | Martinez-Lage et al. 1996 |
| | | х | | | | | | | | Martinez-Lage et al. 1997 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Gonzalez-Tizon et al. 2000 |
| | | | | | | | | | 3 satellite DNA | Martinez-Lage et al. 2002 |
| Perna viridis | 30 | х | х | | | | | | | Iqbal et al. 2008 |
| Ostreidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subfamily Ostreinae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ostrea angasi | 20 | х | х | | | | | | | Li and Hanvenhand 1997 |
| Ostrea denselamellosa | 20 | х | х | | | | | | | Insua and Thiriot-Quiévreux 1991 |
| Ostrea edulis | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Thiriot-Quiévreux and Insua 1992 |
| | | | | | | | | х | | Leitão et al. 2004 |
| Ostrea puelchana | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Insua and Thiriot-Quiévreux 1993 |
| Ostrea conchaphila | 20 | х | х | | | | | | | Leitão et al. 2002 |
| | | | | | | | | х | | Leitão et al. 2004 |
| Tiostrea chilensis | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Ladron de Guevara et al. 1994 |
| Subfamily Crassostreinae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crassostrea angulata | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Leitão et al. 1999a |
| | | | | | х | | | | | Leitão et al. 1999b |
| | | | | | | | | х | | Leitão et al. 2004, 2007 |
| | | х | | | | | х | | rDNA (major) | Cross et al. 2003 |
| | | | х | | | | | х | GATA, Telomeric and rDNA (minor) | Cross et al. 2005 |
| Crassostrea ariakensis | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Leitão et al. 1999a |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Wang et al. 2004 |
| Crassostrea gasar | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Leitão et al. 1999a |
| Crassostrea gigas | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Thiriot-Quiévreux and Insua 1992 |
| 00 | | х | | | | | | | | Leitão et al. 1999a |
| | | | | | х | | | | | Leitão et al. 1999b, 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | х | | Leitão et al. 2004, 2007 |
| | | | | | | | | | Satellite DNA | Clabby et al. 1996 |
| | | | | | | | | | Telomeric | Guo and Allen 1997 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Xu et al. 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | | Centromeric Satellite sequence | Wang et al. 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Wang et al. 2004 |
| | | | | | | | | v | ibi (ilujoi) | Bouilly et al. 2005 |
| | | | | | | | | л | GGAT GT and TA | Bouilly et al. 2005 |
| Crassostraa sikamaa | 20 | v | | | | | | | | Leitão <i>et al</i> 1000 |
| Crassostrea plicatula | 20 | л | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Wang at al 2004 |
| Crassostrea plicalula | 20 | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Wang et al. 2004 |
| Crussosirea rnizopnorae | 20 | | | | | | | | Telomeric | Wang and Cup 2001 |
| Craccoctura vincinia | r | v | | | | | | | Terometre | $\frac{1}{1000} a t a l 1000a$ |
| Crassosirea virginica | ے 0 | х | | | | | | | | Lenao el al. 1999a |
| | U | | | | v | | | | | Leitão at al 1000h |
| - | | | | | л | | | | | Lonao ei ul. 17790 |

| Table 1 (Cont.) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----|--------|---|---|---|---|------|--------|---|--|
| Family/Species | 2n | | | | | | B | anding | g technique | References |
| | | NORs | С | Q | G | Ν | Flrc | RE | FISH | |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Zhang et al. 1999 |
| | | | | | | | | | bacteriophage P1clones | Wang <i>et al.</i> 2005a |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (ITS1 and ITS2) | Xu <i>et al.</i> 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | | Telomeric | Wang and Guo 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Wang et al. 2004 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (minor) | Wang et al. 2005b |
| Saccostrea commercialis | 20 | х | | | | | | | | Leitão et al. 1999a |
| Adamussium colhacki | 38 | v | | v | | | v | v | rDNA (major) | Odierna <i>et al.</i> 2006 |
| Aquinactan oneveularis | 26 | л v | v | л | | | л | л | rDNA (minor and major) | Insue at al. 1998 |
| Argonactan irradians | 32 | л | л | | | | | | rDNA (minor and major) | Wang and Guo 2004 |
| Argopeeren irradians | 52 | | | | | | | | Histone H3 | Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2007a |
| | | | v | | | | v | | rDNA (major) and histone H3 | Zhang et al. 2007h |
| | | x | x | | | | x | | rDNA (minor and major) and telomeric | Huang et a $12007b$ |
| Argonecten nurnuratus | 32 | v | | v | | | v | | ibitit (initior and inajor) and teremente | Gaiardo <i>et al.</i> 2007 |
| Chlamys farreri | 38 | А | | А | | | л | | rDNA (minor and major) | Wang and Guo 2004b |
| Chianiys jurren | 50 | | | | | | | | Histone H3 | Zhang et al. 2007a |
| | | | | | | | | | 19 fosmid clones | Zhang et al. 2007a Zhang et al. 2008 |
| Chlamys pobilis | 32 | | | | | | | | Histone H3 | Zhang et al. 2000 |
| Hinnites distortus | 38 | v | | | | | v | | rDNA (minor and major) | Lónez-Piñón <i>et al</i> 2005 |
| Mimachlamys varia | 38 | А | | | | | л | | rDNA (minor and major) | Insua et al 2006 |
| Nodinecten nodosus | 38 | v | v | | | | | | (inition and major) | Pauls and Afonso 2000 |
| Patinonecten vessoensis | 50 | л | л | | | | v | | rDNA (minor and major) and Telomeric | Huang et al. 2007a |
| 1 unopecien yessoensis | | | | | | | л | | Histone H3 | Thang et al. 2007a |
| | | | v | | | | v | | rDNA (major) and histone H3 | Zhang et al. 2007a Zhang et al. 2007b |
| | | | л | | | | л | | ibitA (major) and mistole 115 | Enang et al. 20070 |
| Pecten maximus | 38 | | | | | | | | rDNA (minor and major) | Insua et al. 2006 |
| Unionidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Anodonta anatina | 38 | х | | | | | х | | | Woznicki and Jankun 2004 |
| Hyriopsis cumingii | 38 | | х | | | | | | | Wang et al. 2000 |
| Cardiidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cerastoderma edule | 38 | | х | | | | | | rDNA (minor and major) | Insua <i>et al.</i> 1999 |
| | | | | | | | | х | | Leitão et al. 2006 |
| Cerastoderma glaucum | 38 | х | | | | | | | | Thiriot-Quiévreux and Wolowicz 1996 |
| Mactridae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mulinia lateralis | 38 | | | | | | | | Telomeric | Wang and Guo 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Wang and Guo 2008 |
| Tresus capax | 34 | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Gonzalez-Tizon et al. 2000 |
| Solenidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Solen marginatus | 38 | | | | | | х | | rDNA (major) | Fernández-Tajes et al. 2003 |
| Pharidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ensis arcuatus | 38 | х | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Fernández-Tajes et al. 2008 |
| Ensis siliqua | 38 | х | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Fernández-Tajes et al. 2008 |
| Tellinidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macoma nasuta | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Gonzalez-Tizon et al. 2000 |
| Psammobiidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nuttalia nuttallii | 38 | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Gonzalez-Tizon et al. 2000 |
| Sinonovacula constricta | 38 | х | х | | | | | | | Wang et al. 1998 |
| Veneridae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dosinia exoleta | 38 | | | | | | х | | rDNA (major) and Telomeric | Hurtado and Pasantes 2005 |
| Mercenaria mercenaria | | | | | | | | | Telomeric | Wang and Guo 2001 |
| | | | | | | | | | rDNA (major) | Wang and Guo 2007 |
| Ruditapes decussatus | 38 | | | | | | | х | | Leitão et al. 2006 |
| Donacidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Donax trunculus | 38 | | | | | | х | | rDNA (major) | Martinez et al. 2002 |
| | | | | | | | | | Telomeric | Plhol et al. 2002 |
| Dreissenidae | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dreissena polymorpha | 32 | х | х | | | | х | | | Boron et al. 2004 |
| Myidae | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Mya arenaria | 34 | Х | | | | | | | | Thiriot-Quiévreux et al. 1998 |

Also in Pectinidae the evidence of a non telomeric NOR location in *A. colbecki* (Odierna *et al.* 2006) and *H. distortus* (Lopez-Piñon *et al.* 2005), together with different karyotype formulae of the 2n=38 Pectinidae, suggested that chromosomal inversion might be implicated in karyotype evolution (Odierna *et al.* 2006). In the cupped oyster subfamily Crassostreinae, the rDNA chromosomal location and

the size and morphological classification of the bearing chromosmes (as well as the percentage of sub-metacentric chromosomal pairs in the karyotype), allowed the division between Asian-Pacific and Atlantic *Crassostrea* species (Wang *et al.* 2004).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Chromosomal rearrangements and karyotype differentiation are important mechanisms for reproductive isolation and speciation in some taxa (Navarro and Barton 2003). Major chromosomal divergence can, in fact, cause reproductive isolation and speciation, by altering normal gene expression and regulation or causing problems for meiosis or fertility in hybrids (White 1978; King 1993).

Clearly, significant changes in chromosome number and structure must have occurred during the evolution of Bivalves. As showed in this review, the application of banding for chromosomal identification may offer a different viewpoint on the evolution of marine bivalves. The applications of chromosomal banding offer then new approaches to specific problems in bivalve taxonomy through the understanding of the evolutionary relationships not only between but also within each major economic important bivalve family studied.

Moreover chromosomal studies of commercially important bivalve species are also important to achieve genetic improvement of bivalve production through, among others, chromosome set manipulation and genetic selection. Indeed, the individual identification of chromosomes and the establishment of precise karyotypes are essential, for instance, in studies on the economical important phenomena of aneuploidy, triploidy, and tetraploidy but also in bivalve aquaculture interspecifc hybridization programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank A. Good for English editing and S. Joaquim for constructive comments.

REFERENCES

- Amemiya CT, Gold JR (1990) Cytogenetic studies in North American minnows (Cyprinidae). XVII. Chromosomal NOR phenotypes of 12 species, with comments on cytosystematic relationship among 50 species. *Hereditas* 112, 231-247
- Bouilly K, Leitão A, Chaves R, Guedes-Pinto H, Boudry P, Lapègue S (2005) Endonuclease banding reveals that atrazine-induced aneuploidy resembles spontaneous chromosome loss in *Crassostrea gigas*. Genome 48 (1), 177-180
- Bouilly K, Chaves R, Leitão A, Guedes-Pinto H (2008) Chromosomal organization of simple sequence repeats in the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*): (GGAT)4, (GT)7 and (TA)10 chromosome patterns. *Journal of Genetics* 87, 119-125
- Boron A, Woznicki P, Skuza L, Zielinski R (2004) Cytogenetic characterization of the zebra mussel *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas) from Miedwie Lake, Poland. *Folia Biologica-Krakow* 52, 33-38
- Chaves R, Adega F, Heslop-Harrison JS, Guedes-Pinto H, Wienberg J (2003) Complex satellite DNA reshuffling in the polymorphic t(1;29) Robertsoninan translocation and evolutionary derived chromosomes in cattle. *Chro*mosome Research 11, 641-648
- Chaves R, Adega F, Santos S, Heslop-Harrison JS, Guedes-Pinto H (2002) In situ hybridization and chromosome banding in mammalian species. Cytogenetics and Genome Research 96, 113-116
- **Chaves R, Guedes-Pinto H, Heslop-Harrison JS, Schwarzacher T** (2000) The species and chromosomal distribution of the centromeric α -satellite I sequence from sheep in the tribe Caprini and other Bovidae. *Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics* **91**, 62-66
- Chaves R, Santos S, Guedes-Pinto H (2004) Comparative analysis (Hippotragini versus Caprini, Bovidae) of X chromosome's constitutive heterochromatin by *in situ* restriction endonuclease digestion: X-chromosome constitutive heterochromatin evolution. *Genetica* 121, 315-325
- Clabby C, Goswami U, Flavin F, Wilkins NP, Houghton JA, Powell R (1996) Cloning, characterization and chromosomal location of a satellite DNA from the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas. Gene* 168, 205-209
- **Cornet M** (1993) A short term culture for chromosome preparation from somatic tisues of adult mussels. *Experientia* **49**, 87-90
- Cross I, Vega L, Rebordinos L (2003) Nucleolar organizing regions in Crassostrea angulata: chromosomal location and polymorphism. Genetica 119, 65-74
- Cross I, Díaz E, Sánchez I, Rebordinos L (2005) Molecular and cytogenetic characterization of *Crassostrea angulata* chromosomes. *Aquaculture* 247, 135-144
- D'Aiuto L, Barsanti P, Mauro S, Cserpan I, Lavane C, Ciccarese S (1997) Physical relationship between satellite I and II DNA in centromeric regions

of sheep chromosomes. Chromosome Research 5, 375-381

- Eirín-López JM, Fernanda Ruiz M, González-Tizón AM, Martínez A, Sánchez L, Méndez J (2004) Molecular evolutionary characterization of the mussel *Mytilus* histone multigene family: first record of a tandemly repeated unit of five histone genes containing an H1 subtype with "orphon" features. *Journal Molecular Evolution* 58, 131-144
- Fernández-Tajes J, González-Tizón A, Martínez Lage A, Méndez J (2003) Cytogenetics of the razor clam Solen marginatus (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Solenidae). Cytogenetics and Genome Research 101, 43-46
- Fernandez-Tajes J, Martinez-Lage A, Freire R, Guerra A, Mendez J, Gonzalez-Tizon AM (2008) Genome sizes and karyotypes in the razor clams *Ensis arcuatus* (Jeffreys, 1865) and *E-siliqua* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* 49, 79-85
- Gajardo G, Parraguez M, Colihueque N (2002) Karyotype analysis and chromosome banding of the Chilean-Peruvian scallop Argopecten purpuratus (Lamarck, 1819). Journal of Shellfish Research 21, 585-590
- González-Tizón A, Martínez-Lage A, Rego I, Ausió J, Méndez J (2000) DNA content, karyotypes, and chromosomal location of 18S-5,8S-28S ribosomal loci in some species of bivalve molluscs from Pacific Canadian coast. *Genome* 43, 1065-1072
- Guo X, Allen SK (1997) Fluorescence in situ hybridization of vertebrate telomere sequence to chromosome ends of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 16, 87-89
- Howell WM (1977) Visualization of ribosomal gene activity: silver stain proteins associated with rRNA transcribed from oocyte chromosomes. *Chromo*soma 62, 361-367
- Huang XT, Hu XL, Hu JJ, Zhang LL, Wang S, Lu W, Bao ZM (2007a) Mapping of ribosomal DNA and (TTAGGG)(n) telomeric sequence by FISH in the bivalve *Patinopecten yessoensis* (Jay, 1857). *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 73, 393-398
- Huang XT, Hu JJ, Hu XL, Zhang C, Zhang LL, Wang S, Lu w., Bao Z (2007b) Cytogenetic characterization of the bay scallop, *Argopecten irradians irradians*, by multiple staining techniques and fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Genes and Genetic Systems* 82, 257-263
- Hurtado NS, Pasantes JJ (2005) Surface spreading of synaptonemal complexes in the clam *Dosinia exoleta* (Mollusca, Bivalvia). *Chromosome Re*search 13, 575-580
- Insua A, Méndez J (1998) Physical mapping and activity of ribosomal RNA genes in mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis. Hereditas 128, 189-194
- **Insua A, Thiriot-Quiévreux C** (1991) The characterization of *Ostrea denselamellosa* (Mollusca, Bivalvia) chromosomes: karyotype, constitutive heterochromatin and nucleolus organizer regions. *Aquaculture* **97**, 317-325
- Insua A, Thiriot-Quiévreux C (1993) Karyotype and nucleolar organizer regions in Ostrea puelchana (Bivalvia: Ostreidae). Veliger 36, 215-219
- Insua A, Freire R, Mendez J (1999) The 5S rDNA of the bivalve Cerastoderma edule: nucleotide sequence of the repeat unit and chromosomal location relative to 18S-28S rDNA. Genetics Selection Evolution 31, 509-518
- Insua A, Freire R, Rios A (2001) Localizacion cromosomica y patrones de variacion de la DNA ribosomica en moluscos bivalves. In: Mendez (Ed) Los Molusca Bivalvos: Aspectos Citogeneticos, Moleculares y Aplicados, Universidade da Coruna, Servicio de Publications, pp 77-100
- Insua A, Freire R, Rios J, Mendez J (2001) The 5S rDNA of mussels Mytilus galloprovincialis and M. edulis: sequence variation and chromosomal location. Chromosome Research 9, 495-505
- Insua A, Labat JP, Thiriot-Quiévreux C (1994) Comparative analysis of karyotypes and nucleolar organizer regions in different populations of *Mytilus* trossulus, *Mytilus edulis* and *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Journal of Molluscan Studies 60, 359-370
- Insua A, López-Piñon MJ, Mendez J (1998) Characterization of Aecquipecten opercularis (Bivalvia: Pectoinidae) chromosomes by different staining techniques and fluorecent in situ hybridization. Genes and Genetic Systems 73, 193-200
- Insua A, López-Piñón MJ, Freire R, Méndez J (2006) Karyotype and chromosomal location of 18S-28S and 5S ribosomal DNA in the scallops *Pecten* maximus and Mimachlamys varia (Bivalvia:Pectinidae). Genetica 126, 291-301
- Iqbal ANMZ, Khan MS, Goswami U (2008) Cytogenetic studies in green mussel, *Perna viridis* (Mytiloida: Pteriomorphia), from West Coast of India. *Marine Biology* 153, 987-993
- King M (1993) Species Evolution: The Role of Chromosome Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Ladron de Guevara B, Winkler F, Palma C (1994) Karyotype description and the position of the nucleolar organizer region (NOR) in the Chilean oyster *Tiostrea chilensis* (Philippi) Chanley and Dinamani. In: Beaumont AR (Ed) *Genetics and Evolution of Aquatic Organisms*, Chapman and Hall, London, pp 399-405
- Lakatosova M, Holeckova B (2007) Fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation. *Biologia* 62, 243-250
- Leitão A, Boudry P, Labat JP, Thiriot-Quiévreux C (1999a) Comparative karyological study of cupped oyster species. *Malacologia* 41, 175-186
- Leitão A, Thiriot-Quiévreux C, Boudry P, Malheiro I (1999b) A 'G' -chromosome banding study of three cupped oyster species: *Crassostrea gigas*, *Crassostrea angulata* and *Crassostrea virginica* (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *Gene*-

tics, Selection and Evolution 31, 219-527

- Leitão A, Boudry P and Thiriot-Quiévreux C (2001) Evidence of differential chromosome loss in aneuploid karyotypes of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas. Genome* 44, 735-737
- Leitão A, Chaves R, Santos S, Boudry P, Guedes-Pinto H, Thiriot-Quiévreux C (2002) Cytogenetic study of *Ostrea conchaphila* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) and comparative karyological analysis within Ostreinae. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 21, 685-690
- Leitão A, Chaves R, Santos S, Guedes-Pinto H, Boudry P (2004) Restriction Enzyme Digestion Chromosome banding in Crassostrea gigas, Crassostrea angulata, Ostrea edulis and Ostrea conchaphila. Comparative karyological analysis within Ostreidae. Genome 47, 781-788
- Leitão A, Chaves R, Matias D, Joaquim S, Ruano F, Guedes-Pinto H (2006) Restriction enzyme digestion chromosome banding on two commercially important veneroid bivalve species: *Ruditapes decussatus* and *Cerastoderma edule. Journal of Shellfish Research* 25, 857-864
- Leitão A, Chaves R, Santos R, Guedes-Pinto H, Boudry P (2007) Interspecific hybridisation in oysters: Restriction Enzyme Digestion Chromosome Banding confirms Crassostrea angulata × Crassostrea gigas F1 hybrids. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 343, 253-260
- Li X, Hanvenhand JN (1997) Karyotype, nucleolus organizer regions and constitutive heterochromatin in Ostrea angasi (Mollusca: bivalvia): evidence of taxonomic relationships within Ostreidae. Marine Biology 27, 443-449
- López-Piñon MJ, Insua A, Mendez J (2005) Chromosome analysis and Mapping of ribosomnal genes by one-and two-color fluorescent in situ hybridization in *Hinnites distortus* (Bivalvia:Pectinidae). Journal of Heredity 96, 52-58
- Martínez A, Mariñas L, González-Tizón A, Méndez J (2002) Cytogenetics characterization of *Donax trunculus* (Bivalvia, Donacidae) by means of karyotyping, fluorochrome banding, and fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* **68**, 393-396
- Martínez-Expósito MJ, Pasantes JJ, Méndez J (1994) NOR activity in larval and juvenile mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis* LMk). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology **175**, 155-165
- Martínez-Expósito MJ, Méndez J, Pasantes JJ (1997) Analysis of NORs and NOR-associated heterochromatin in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Chromosome Research* **5**, 268-273
- Martinez-Lage A, Gonzalez-Tizon A, Mendez J (1994) Characterization of different chromatin types in *Mytilus galloprovincialis* after C-banding, fluorochrome and restriction endonuclease treatments. *Heredity* 72, 242-249
- Martínez-Lage A, González Tizón A, Méndez J (1995) Chromosomal markers in three species of the genus *Mytilus* (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *Heredity* 72, 242-249
- Martínez-Lage A, González Tizón A, Méndez J (1996) Chromosomes differences between European mussel populations (genus *Mytilus*). Caryologia 49, 343-355
- Martínez-Lage A, González Tizón A, Ausio J, Méndez J (1997) Karytotypes and Ag-NORs of the mussels, *Mytilus californianus* and *M. trossulus* from the Pacific Canadian coast. *Aquaculture* 153, 239-249
- Martínez-Lage A, Rodríguez F, González-Tizón A, Prats L, Cornudella L, Méndez J (2002) Comparative analysis of different satellite DNAs in four mussel *Mytilus* species. *Genome* 45, 922-929
- Méndez J, Pasantes JJ, Martínez-Expósito MJ (1990) Banding pattern of mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) chromosomes induced by 2xSSC/Giemsastain treatment. *Marine Biology* 106, 375-377
- Nakamura HK (1985) A review of molluscan cytogenetic information based on CISMOCH-Computerized index system for molluscan chromosomes. Bivalvia, Polyplacophora and Cephalopoda. *Venus, Japanese Journal of Malacology* 44, 193-225
- Navarro A, Barton NH (2003) Chromosomal speciation and molecular divergence – Accelerated evolution in rearranged chromosomes. *Science* 300, 321-324
- Odierna G, Aprea G, Barucca M, Canapa A, Capriglione T, Olmo E (2006) Karyology of the Antarctic scallop *Adamussium colbecki*, with some comments on the karyological evolution of pectinids. *Genetica* **127**, 341-349
- Pasantes J, Martínez Expósito MJ, Méndez J (1996) C-band polymorphism in the chromosomes of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Caryologia 49, 233-245
- Pauls E, Afonso PR (2000) The karyotypes of Nodipecten nodosus (Bivalvia: Pectinidae). Hydrobiologia 420, 99-102
- Plhol M, Prats E, Martínez-Lage A, González-Tizón A, Méndez J, Cornudella L (2002) Telomeric localization of the vertebrate-Type hexamer repeat, (TTAGGG)n, in the Wedgeshell clam *Donax trunculus* and other marine invertebrate genomes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277, 19839-19846

- Rodríguez-Romero F, Laguarda-figueras M, Uribe-Alcocer, Rojas-Lara (1979) Distribution of "G" bands in the karyotype of *Crassostrea virginica*. *Venus, Japanese Journal of Malacology* **38**, 180-184
- Saffery R, Earle E, Irvine DV, Kalitsis P, Choo KHA (1999) Conservation of centromere proteins in vertebrates. *Chromosome Research* 7, 261-265
- Sumner AT (1990) Chromosome Banding, Unwin Hyman Ltd.
- Thiriot-Quiévreux C (2002) Review of the literature on bivalve cytogenetics in the last ten years. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* **43**, 17-26
- Thiriot-Quiévreux C, Insua I (1992) Nucleolar organizer region variation in the chromosomes of three oyster species. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 157, 33-40
- Thiriot-Quiévreux C, Wolowicz M (1996) Karyotypes of Cerastoderma glaucum (Bivalvia) from Baltic and Mediterranean populations. Hydrobiologia 324, 149-155
- Thiriot-Quiévreux C, Blicharska K, Wolowicz M (1998) Karyotype of Mya arenaria L. (Bivalvia) from the gulf of Gdansk (Baltic Sea). Polskie Archiwum Hydrobiologii 45, 523-530
- Torreiro A, Martínez Expósito MJ, Truco MI, Pasantes JJ (1999) Cytogenetics in *Brachidontes rodriguezi* d'Orb. (Bivalvia, Mytilidae). *Chromosome Research* 7, 49-55
- Vitturi R, Gianguzza P, Colomba MS, Riggio S (2000) Cytogenetic characterization of *Brachidontes pharaonis* (Fisher P., 1870): karyotype, banding and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Mytilidae). *Ophelia* 52, 213-220
- Wang Y, Guo X (2001) Chromosomal mapping of the vertebrate telomeric sequence (TTAGGG)N in four bivalve molluscs by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 20, 1187-1190
- Wang Y, Guo X (2004) Chromosomal Rearrangement in Pectinidae revealed by rRNA Loci and implications for Bivalve Evolution. *Biological Bulletin* 207, 247-256
- Wang Y, Guo X (2007) Chromosomal mapping of major ribosomal rRNA genes in the hard clam (*Mercenaria mercenaria*) using fluorescent hybridization. *Marine Biology* 150, 1183-1189
- Wang Y, Guo X (2008) Chromosomal mapping of the major ribosomal RNA genes in the dwarf surfclam (*Mulinia lateralis* Say). *Journal of Shellfish Re*search 27, 307-311
- Wang J, Zhao X, Zhou, Xiang J (1998) Chromosome study of Sinonovacula constricta (Bivalvia). Oceanologia et Limnologia Sinica 29, 191-196
- Wang XJ, Wang YJ, Shi AJ, Wang XZ (2000) Research on chromosomes of Hyriopsis cumingi. Sichuan Daxue Xuebo (Journal of Sichuan University) 37, 252-256
- Wang Y, Xu Z, Guo X (2001) A centromeric satellite sequence in the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg) identified by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Marine Biotechnology 3, 486-492
- Wang Y, Xu Z, Guo X (2004) Differences in the rDNA-bearing chromosome divide the Asian-Pacific and Atlantic species of *Crassostrea* (Bivalvia, Mollusca). *Biological Bulletin* 206, 46-54
- Wang Y, Xu Z, Pierce JC, Guo X (2005a) Characterization of eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica* Gmelin) chromosomes by fluorescence in situ hybridization with bacteriophage P1 clones. *Marine Biotechnology* 7, 207-214
- Wang YP, Xu, Z, Guo X (2005b) Chromosomal mapping of 5S ribosomal RNA genes in the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica* Gmelin by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 24, 959-964
- White MJD (1978) Modes of Speciation, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco
- Woznicki P, Jankun M (2004) Chromosome study of Anodonta anatina (L., 1758) (Bivalvia, Unionidae). Folia Biologica-Krakow 52, 171-174
- Xu Z, Guo X, Gaffney PM, Pierce JC (2001) Chromosomal location of the major ribossomal RNA genes in *Crassostrea virginica* and *C. gigas. The Veliger* 44, 79-83
- Zhang LL, Bao ZM, Wang S, Huang XT, Hu JJ (2007a) Chromosome rearrangements in Pectinidae (Bivalvia : Pteriomorphia) implied based on chromosomal localization of histone H3 gene in four scallops. *Genetica* 130, 193-198
- Zhang LL, Bao ZM, Wang J, Wang S, Huang XT, Hu XL, Hu JJ (2007b) Cytogenetic analysis in two scallops (Bivalvia : Pectinidae) by PRINS and PI banding. Acta Oceanologica Sinica 26, 153-158
- Zhang LL, Bao ZM, Wang S, Hu XL, Hu JJ (2008) FISH mapping and identification of Zhikong scallop (*Chlamys farreri*) chromosomes. *Marine Bio*technology 10, 151-157
- Zhang Q, Yu G, Cooper RK, Tiersch (1999) Chromosomal location by fluorescence in situ hybridization of the 28S ribosomal RNA gene of the Eastern oyster. Journal of Shellfish Research 18, 431-435