Middle Eastern and Russian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology ©2008 Global Science Books



New Measures for the Control of Cotton Bollworms *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lep.: Gelechiidae) and *Earias insulana* (Lep.: Noctuidae), with Reference to the Side Effect on Certain Soil Enzymes

Ahmed Abdel-Megeed*

College of Science, Botany and Microbiology Department, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Al-Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Correspondence: * hekemdar@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were carried out at the Agricultural Research Experimental Farm, Alexandria Governorate, Egypt to evaluate two foliar nutrients, a plant growth promoter (PGP) and two alternative chemical compounds in addition to two standard insecticides (Chlorpyrifos and Spinosad) to determine their possible use in an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program of the pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* and the spiny bollworm, *Earias insulana* infestations. The side effects of these compounds on certain soil enzymes as well as their efficacy were monitored on cotton yield during the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons. The lowest infestation value of pink bollworm was induced by Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ with Chlorpyrifos and Spinosad in the 2006 season and by the Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀/ Spinosad sequence in the 2007 season. For the spiny bollworm, the sequence of Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀/ Spinosad and Chlorpyrifos and Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad and Chlorpyrifos and Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad were used. The foliar application of Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad resulted in a higher cotton yield in both seasons, estimated at a 201.1 and 214.2% increase, respectively, followed by Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Methoxyfenozide decreased urease activity while a foliar application of Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad and Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad showed the lowest dehydrogenase activity. In general, dehydrogenase was more influenced by the evaluated sequences than urease.

Keywords: chloropyriphos, dehydrogenase, foliar nutrients, sequences, spinosad, urease

INTRODUCTION

Cotton growers in Egypt have experienced severe economic losses from cotton pests due to reduced yields, low lint quality and increased costs of insecticides (Amin and Gergis 2006). Insect-pests are considered one of the important factors that influence cotton production and cause economical damage to the crop yield. Damage causing losses to fruit is frequently more destructive than that to leaves, stems and roots. Profitable cotton production in Egypt depends on successful and efficient pest management programmes which reduce the disaster of crop losses particularly caused by insect-pests. Pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) and the spiny bollworm, Earias insulana (Boisd) are the most serious cotton pests in Egypt (Hussein et al. 2002). They cause the greatest yield losses from nearly one million hectares cultivated annually (Haque 1991; El-Naggar 1998). The spiny bollworm larvae damage buds early in the growing season and squares, and bolls later in the season. Various control methods mainly the conventional chemical insecticides are used for pest control in agriculture. Careless or excessive use of pesticides often results in poor control due to their drastic effect on natural enemies, besides the higher expenses and hazards to health and the environment (Atwal 1994). On the other hand, the misuse of pesticides might also accelerate the occurrence of resistance in many of insect species (Amin and Gergis 2006). In addition, overpollution of the environment, toxic residues, problems and carcinogenicity of these insecticides are well known.

Therefore, using new approaches in pest management

systems is highly encouraged. Foliar nutrient sprays may be a good tool to produce a profitable cotton crop that can compete with weeds and is able to outgrow and overcome disease and insect damage. To prevent this problem, there is a need for different trends in minimizing insecticides incorporated with foliar nutrients and plant growth promoter PGP (substances used for better management of nutrients and plant growth) to maintain the viability and vitality of the plants (Beanland *et al.* 2003). Foliar sprays can also correct deficiencies of certain macro- and micronutrients (Krantz and Nelsted 1964; Mesbah *et al.* 2000).

This work was undertaken to evaluate some proposed new biologically-based and area-wide sequences for management of key cotton pests in Egypt. Moreover, this study directly aimed to evaluate the effects of these compounds on soil urease and dehydrognase as well as cotton yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted during the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons at the Agricultural Research Experimental Farm, Agricultural Research Center, Alexandria Governorate, Egypt. An area of a half feddan (2100 m^2) was planted with cotton variety 'Giza 70' on the 28^{th} May, 2006 and on the 24^{th} April, 2007.

The experimental area was divided into plots (0.01 feddan each). Each plot was separated from the adjacent one by a half meter belt (barrier) to minimize the interference of spray drift from one treatment to another. The experimental design was a split-plot with three replicates for each treatment during each season. The sown cotton seeds were treated with the bio-fertilizer Microbin[®] (micronutrient spreader attachment and an add-on hopper that allows spreading granular micronutrients or seeds as a second or third product; Phytopharma Ltd., India). The experimental area included 6 sequences. The sequences included three foliar fertilizers: Super Biovert (Phytopharma), Easterna Aminofert (Easterna Co. for Agriculture Development, The Netherlands), Greenzit SP₁₀₀ (Ciba Geigy Ltd.), Basal (Novartis). Methoxyfenozide (KZ Co., Egypt; used as PGP), Spinosad (KZ), and Chlorpyrifos (Syngenta) were the insecticides used (**Table 1**).

The sequences of these compounds were applied at three periods (5, 10 and 15 days); at the beginning of flowering, after 50% flowering and at the beginning of the fruiting stage. Throughout both seasons, inspections were carried out to determine the effect of the tested compounds on the population of bollworms (pink and spiny), cotton yield and soil enzymes (urease and dehydrogenase). Sprayings were performed using a knapsack sprayer (20 L). The untreated ones (i.e. treatments without the tested compounds and sequences) were carried out in parallel to monitor the differences among the treatments.

Estimation of spiny and pink bollworm infestation

Weekly inspections were done to determine the infestation levels with pink bollworm (*Pectinophora gossypiella* Saunders) and spiny bollworm (*Earias insulana* Boisduval). To determine the infestation level with bollworms, weekly samples of 10 green bolls/ plots were taken randomly: 30 green bolls were examined for each treatment. The level of infestation by the bollworms was estimated weekly over 10 weeks during the following seasons. In each sample, the bolls were examined externally before dissection and internal inspection. Infestation records were based on the existence of injury symptoms regardless the presence of the larvae.

Determination of cotton yield

To estimate the cotton yield/plant/feddan in each treatment, ripe bolls from 25 cotton plants were collected, weighed and air dried for 10 h and data were used to calculate total yields.

Assay of soil enzymes

Soil samples

To assay soil enzymes, 5 random samples (150 g) and 3 replicates from each treatment were taken around (15 cm diameter) each plant (20-30 cm depth); afterwards they were mixed homogeneously and transferred to the laboratory to be analyzed. The samples were taken after 5, 10 and 15 days from the beginning of each treatment.

Dehydrogenase activity assay

Dehydrogenase activity in soil was determined according to the reduction of 2,3,5- tripheneyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) to triphenyl formazan (TPF) which is extracted and measured spectrophotometrically at 420 nm (Casida *et al.* 1964).

Urease activity assay

Urease activity was calorimetrically determined at 485 nm according to the method described by Lambert *et al.* (2004), where urea content were expressed as μg urea/g air-dried soil. Urease activity was determined as:

Urease activity
$$\% = \frac{A-B}{A} \times 10$$

where

A is the original urea added in ppm. B is the remaining urea (residues) in ppm. A-B = the converted amount of urea in ppm.

Tested compounds

The compounds were applied individually and consequently in a sequence.

Easterna Aminofert Plus (Liquid) is produced by Easterna Co. for Agriculture Development (The Netherlands). The main components are: amino acids (10%), saccharides and its related compounds (6%), N (6%), P (4%), K (6%), S (5%), organic matter (85%), Mg (5000 ppm), chelated ferric (Fe/amino acids chelate) (1000 ppm), chelated zinc (Zn/amino acid chelate) (500 ppm), chelated manganese (Mn-EDTA) (250 ppm), chelated cupper (Cu-EDTA) (150 ppm), Bo (100 ppm).

Greenzit SP₁₀₀ is produced by Ciba Geigy limited, Basal (Novartis) and the main components are: EDTA Na₂Mn (40%) and EDTA Na₂Zn (43%) supplemented by Ca (0.054), Fe (5.40), Mo (0.027) Mg (0.54) Ni (0.005) Mn (5.54) Cu (0.005) Zn (70.27) g/Kg.

Super Biofert (PGP) is also produced by Easterna Company for Agriculture Development (Egypt). The main components are: amino acids (10%), potassium glutamate (8%), saccharides and their related compounds (6%), organic acid (growth promoter) (10%), organic phosphorous (4%), magnesium citrate (1%), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fortified with vitamins (5%) and chelated elements 0.2%.

Spinosad or Spintor[®] (24% soluble concentrate (SC), Dow Agro Sciences Co. (USA)) is a metabolite of the actinomycete, *Saccharopolyspora spinosa*. It is a naturally occurring mixture of two active products (Spinosyn A and D). It is a trademark of Dow Agro Sciences Co.

Methoxyfenozide or Runner[®] 24% (SC); (China) *N*-tert-butyl-*N*-(3,5-dimethylbenzoyl)-3-methoxy-2-methylbenzohydrazide, is an insect growth inhibitor (IGI). It was supplied by Rohm and Haas Co., Mozzanica, Italy.

Chlorpyrifos or Dursban[®] (48% as emulsified concentrate (EC); (Indian Pesticides Ltd., Global Agrochemicals Co.) O,Odiethyl O-3,5,6 trichloro-2 pyridyl phosphorothioate is an organophosphorous compound.

Statistical analyses

Data obtained were subjected to the analysis of variance and the means were compared using the LSD test at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Efficiency of foliar applications on the pink and spiny bollworm in two growing seasons

A. Effects on pink bollworm

Table 2 indicates the calculated average of inspected numbers of pink bollworm in the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons. The performed sequent sprays of Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit $S.P_{100}$ / Chlorpyrifos and Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit $S.P_{100}$ / Spinosad significantly decreased pink bollworm infestation (0.03 and 0.07 larvae/10 bolls, respec-

 Table 1 The tested sequences compounds (foliar biofertilizers, PGP and pesticides) and application rates during the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons.

Treatments	Rate of application/L
1. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Spinosad	10 ml / 0.2 g / 0.3 ml
2. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Methoxyfenozide	10 ml / 0.2 g / 0.5 ml
3. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Chlorpyrifos	10 ml / 0.2 g / 5 ml
4. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Chlorpyrifos	10 ml / 1.25 ml / 5 ml
5. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad	10 ml / 1.25 ml / 0.3 ml
6. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Methoxyfenozide	10 ml / 1.25 ml / 0.3 ml

 Table 2 Effects of tested compounds on the mean numbers of pink bollworm infestation/10 bolls throughout the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons.

 Treatments
 Mean Ni of large / 10 bolls

Treatments	Mean № of larvae / 10 bolls			
	2006	2007		
1. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Spinosad	0.07 b	0.63 c		
2. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Methoxyfenozide	0.23 ab	1.07 b		
3. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Chlorpyrifos	0.03 b	1.13 ab		
4. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Chlorpyrifos	0.20 ab	1.13 ab		
5. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad	0.20 ab	1.17 ab		
6. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Methoxyfenozide	0.17 ab	1.10 b		
Untreated check	0.37 a	1.47 a		
Significance	**	**		
F calc.	2.67	4.45		
F tab.	2.23	2.23		
L.S.D.05	0.188	0.324		

Means followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at the 5% level

 Table 3 Effects of performed foliar sprays on the mean numbers of spiny bollworm larvae/10 bolls throughout the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons.

 Treatments
 Mean numbers of larvae / 10 bolls

Treatments	Wiean numbers of failvae / 10 bons			
	2006	2007		
1. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP ₁₀₀ / Spinosad	1.03 c	0.60 c		
2. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Methoxyfenozide	1.23 bc	1.30 b		
3. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Chlorpyrifos	1.40 abc	0.77 c		
4. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Chlorpyrifos	1.53 ab	1.37 b		
5. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad	1.17 bc	0.90 c		
6. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Methoxyfenozide	1.57 ab	1.77 a		
Untreated check	1.70 a	1.97 a		
Significance	**	**		
F. calc.	18.26	3.07		
F. tab.	2.23	2.23		
L.S.D _{.05}	0.335	0.384		

Means followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at the 5% level

tively), while the other sequences resulted in a higher infestation which ranged from 0.17 to 0.23 larvae/10 bolls in comparison to the untreated check (0.037 larvae/10 bolls) in the 2006 growing season.

Moreover, there was a significant decrease in the infestation of pink bollworm occurring in the 1st treatment with Easterna Aminofert /GreenzitS.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad estimated at 0.63 larvae/10 bolls in comparison to the untreated check (1.47 larvae), in the 2007 season while the other sequences resulted in higher levels of infestation (ranging from 1.07 to 1.17 larvae/10 bolls), but still less than the untreated check.

B. Effects on the spiny bollworm

In the 2006 season it was noticed that the treatment of Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad resulted in a significant reduction of the infestation rate (1.03 larvae/10 bolls), followed by the spray sequences of Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Methoxyfenozide and Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad (1.23 and 1.17 larvae/10 bolls, respectively) (Table 3). In contrast, the sequences of Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert with Chlorpyrifos or Methoxyfenozide increased the infestation level significantly (1.53 and 1.57 larvae/10 bolls, respectively) compared with the untreated check. This can be explained by the fact that both Chlorpyrifos and Methoxyfenozide were not effective enough to decrease the infestation by bollworms. In fact, this apparent contradiction may be due to many factors such as the resistance and insensitivity of the insects themselves toward these compounds. Also, some interfering factors for pesticides inactivity include the nature of the sprayed surface as well as the age of insecticide deposits and environmental conditions such as humidity and temperature (Fletcher and Axtell 1993). In some pesticides and chemicals breakdown may also be expected on surfaces exposed to sunlight (Franko et al. 2005).

On the other hand, in the 2007growing season, the sequences of Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad, Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Chlorpyrifos and Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad significantly decreased the infestation level of spiny bollworm, never exceeding 0.9 larvae/10 bolls in comparison to the untreated check of 1.97 larvae/10 bolls. In case of the sequences of Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert with methoxyfenozide or chloropyrifos and Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀/ Methoxyfenozide, the highest infestation levels were 1.77, 1.37 and 1.30 larvae/10 bolls, respectively.

Effects on cotton yield

From **Table 4** it is obvious that there were non-significant differences among the treatment means for cotton yield; highest cotton yield (201.1%) was obtained from the Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad treatment, higher than the untreated check. The other applied foliar sequences resulted in lower cotton yields but always higher than the untreated check, ranging between 8.1% (Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Chlorpyrifos) and 63.3% (Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad) in the 2006season. In the 2007growing season, in contrast, the Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad treatment gave the highest increase in cotton yield, 214.2% more than the untreated check, followed by the foliar spray of Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad (157.1% higher than the control). In all remaining applied foliar sequences, cotton yield was lower (ranging from 14.2 to 71.1%) than the untreated check.

Effects on urease and dehydrognase enzymes in soil

The interactions between the sequences and soil enzymes are presented in **Table 5**. The Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ with Spinosad, Methoxyfenozide and Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert with Spinosad and/or Methoxyfenozide sequences significantly decreased urease activity, 67.04, 61.07, 60.4 and 60.61%, respectively. Although the Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / chlorpyrifos sequence treatment had a slightly significant stimulative effect on urease activity at the end of the 1st spray a similar effect (80.8% increase in activity) was observed by the Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Chlorpyrifos treatment in the 2nd spray.

Table 4 Effects of tested compounds on the cotton yield during the 2006 and 2007 growing seasons.

Treatments	2006		2007		
	Weight / feddan Kg (ken [*] .)	% increase*	Weight / feddan Kg (ken.)	% increase**	
1. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Spinosad	707.2 kg (4.4 ken.)	63.6	720 kg (4.5 ken.)	157.1	
2. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Methoxyfenozide	667.2 kg (4.2 ken.)	54.4	480 kg (3.04 ken.)	71.4	
3. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Chlorpyrifos	532.8 kg (3.3 ken.)	23.3	336 kg (2.1 ken.)	20	
4. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Chlorpyrifos	467.2 kg (2.9 ken.)	8.1	320 kg (2.03 ken.)	14.2	
5. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad	1300.8 kg (8.2ken.)	201.1	880 kg (5.5 ken.)	214.2	
6. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Methoxyfenozide	667.2 kg (4.2 ken.)	54.4	448 kg (2.8 ken.)	60	
Untreated check	432 kg (2.7 ken.)		280 kg (1.8 ken.)		

*A kentar (ken.) of seed cotton = 157.5 kg

** expressed as % of increase than the untreated check, according to Hussein et al. (2002).

Table 5 Effects	of tested com	pounds on tl	ne soil enz	ymes (ur	ease and d	lehydrogenas	e).
						~ ~	

Treatments	Urease enzyme			Dehydrogenase enzyme			
	1 st spray	2 nd spray	3 rd spray	1 st spray	2 nd spray	3 rd spray	
1. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Spinosad	67.04 b	72.5 b	74.31 b	45.30 a	27.04 a	27.73 cd	
2. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Methoxyfenozide	61.07 bc	49.72 c	75.31 b	46.80 a	33.68 b	36.33 bc	
3. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit SP100 / Chlorpyrifos	69.66 ab	80.80 a	63.14 bc	42.45 ab	55.84 a	46.36 ab	
4. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Chlorpyrifos	82.55 a	72.21 ab	63.78 bc	45.38 a	42.37 ab	54.35 a	
5. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad	60.40 bc	60.40 bc	65.44 bc	20.67 b	27.88 b	17.87 d	
6. Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Methoxyfenozide	60.61 bc	57.32 c	71.57 ab	34.60 ab	31.18 b	33.31 bc	
Untreated check	78.18 a	73.65 a	73.65 a	72.52 a	72.58 a	72.58 a	
F. cal	109.45	98.11	N.S	101.52	95.52	89.65	
F. tab.	80.52	76.95		70.32	65.25	68.58	
L.S.D.05	51.50	12.86		22.16	15.16	12.86	

Means followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at the 5% level

Also in the 2nd spray, the Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Methoxyfenozide, Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Spinosad and Easterna Aminofert / Super Biovert / Methoxyfenozide sequences appeared to have a moderate effect (49.72, 60.4 and 57.32%, respectively). There were non-significant differences among the sequences following the 3rd spray.

DISCUSSION

To avoid unfavourable side effects of pesticides on beneficial insects, natural enemies and the environment, and to reduce outbreaks of cotton pests, an alternative approach for integrated pest management (IPM) was initiated recently to minimize the role of chemical pesticides. These results are in agreement with those of El-Naggar (2003) and Mesbah *et al.* (2004a, 2004b), who illustrated that the application of Batyhroid[®] with Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ lowered infestation by pink and spiny bollworms. Abdel-Rhman (2004) found that the highest reduction in cotton bollworm infestation was induced by Chlorpyrifos, Spinosad and Methoxyfenozid sequences.

Also, the obtained results are in agreement with those of Mesbah et al. (2000), who studied the effect of some fertilizers and foliar application of nutritive elements on the infestation of cotton with bollworms. Also, the findings of El-Nawawy et al. (1983), in which some foliar fertilizers such as Greenzit NPK and Byrthroid-Polytrin® and different insecticides as Malathion and Chlorpyrifos were used in sequences and mixtures as protectants of cotton bolls against pink bollworm. The joint action of these pesticide mixtures and foliar fertilizers gave the best results for the control of pink bollworm and increased the number of cotton bolls ripening and opening simultaneously. El-Naggar (1998), Mesbah et al. (2000) and Hussein et al. (2002) concluded that the application of double and triple sequence sprays of Byrthroid-Polytrin[®] with Greenzit S.P₁₀₀, Greenzit NPK and Polymex / ascorbic acid increased cotton yield, similar to our findings (Table 4). Abdel-Rhman (2004) and Amin and Gergis (2006) also found that the Spinosad, Chlorpyrifos, and Methoxyfenozide sequence increased cotton yield. Similar results were also obtained by El-Mallah and Emam (1998), El-Naggar (2003) and Mesbah et al. (2004a, 2004b) when they evaluated some foliars sprays and micro-elements and alternative chemical compounds in sequences in

an IPM program on the control of pink and spiny cotton bollworms.

All sequences strongly inhibited dehydrognase activity at the end of each spray (1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} ; **Table 5**). Soil dehydrogenase enzyme activity is considered as an indicator of oxidative biological activity and soil respiration (Casida *et al.* 1964). After the 3^{rd} spray with any treatment there was an insignificant effect on urease activity (**Table 5**). The effects and the regained activities of both these soil enzymes and microbes can be explained by the fact that many pesticides have significant effects on these microbes but they can recover rapidly (Widenfalk *et al.* 2008). Since enzyme activity reflects the activity of the existing microorganisms, it is thus expected from these results that the microbes can also be recovered. Diurak and Kazanici (2001) found that these deleterious effects on the activity of soil enzymes are not drastic but minor in nature.

On the other hand, it is known (Diurak and Kazanici 2001) that urea is used as a source of nitrogen that requires enzymatic hydrolysis to create nitrogen content to be available to plants. Urease catalyses hydrolysis of urea that could be absorbed by plant roots and probably causes a harmful effect on plant cells. Thus, a serious problem may arise when urea fertilizer is added to soil having low urease activity (Diurak and Kazanici 2001). The Easterna / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ with Spinosad and Methoxyfenozide, Easterna / super Biovert with Spinosad and/or Methoxyfenozide sequences had a decreasing effect on urease activity (67.04, 61.07, 60.4 and 60.61%, respectively). However, with the Easterna / Super Biovert / chlorpyrifos sequence had a slight weak effect (82.55%) on urease activity at the end of the 1st spray. These findings illustrate that the highest dethe 1 spray. These midnings industrate that the ingless decreasing effect was observed with the 5th treatment (20.67 ppm formazan) after the 1st application of the 1st, 2nd, 5th and 6th treatments giving 27.04, 33.68, 27.88 and 31.18 ppm formazan. On the other hand, after the 2nd application of the 1st and 5th treatment and after the 3rd application 27.73 and 17.87 mm formazan. 17.87 ppm formazan resulted. This study indicates that dehydrogenase is more influenced by the sequences that revealed with urease enzyme.

According to the level to which cotton bollworms infestation in different sequences was reduced, it is evident that the Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit $S.P_{100}$ sequence with chloropyrifos and/or Spinosad in 2006 and the 1st treatment in 2007 resulted in the greatest reduction of pink and spiny bollworms. In the 2^{nd} spray, treatment with the 3^{rd} treatment stimulated urease activity (80.8%) while the 2^{nd} , the 5^{th} and the 6^{th} treatment appeared to have a moderate effect (49.72, 60.4 and 57.32%, respectively). Moreover, the 3^{rd} spray exhibited non-significant differences among the sequences. All sequences highly inhibited dehydrognase activity at the end of each spray (**Table 5**).

These findings illustrate that the highest decreasing effect here was related to Easterna / Super biovert / Spinosad (20.67 ppm formazan). Formazan dyes are artificial chromogenic substrates for dehydrogenases and reductases. Dehydrogenase activity in the soil sample was determined as a result of the reduction of 2,3,5- tripheneyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) to triphenyl formazan (TPF) which is extracted and measured spectrophotometrically (Casida *et al.* 1964). It was observed that high decreasing effect occurred after the 1st application of the 1st, 2nd, 5th and 6th treatment estimated at 27.04, 33.68, 27.88 and 31.18 ppm formazan, respectively. Also the decrease occurred after the 1st, 2nd and 5th treatment after the 3rd application (27.73 and 17.87 ppm formazan, respectively).

These results indicate that dehydrogenase is more influenced by the sequence than urease. In fact, the influence of on the activity of various pesticides was examined by Sannin and Gianfreda (2001), who studied the effect of four pesticides, e.g. glyphosate, paraquat, atrazine, and carbaryl, on the activities of invertase, urease and phosphatase. The addition of glyphosate and paraquat activated invertase and urease activities in several soils. Smaller increases were measured for urease. A general inhibitory effect (from 5 to 98%) was observed for phosphatase in the presence of glyphosate. The effects of atrazine and carbaryl on the three soil enzymes were evaluated against that exhibited by methanol, the solvent used for their solubilization. In almost all soils, atrazine further inhibited invertase activity with respect to the inhibitory effect shown by methanol. By contrast, consistent activation effects were measured for urease with methanol alone and/or methanol-pesticide mixtures.

According to the level of reduction of cotton bollworm infestation in different sequences, it is evident that the Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ sequence with chloropyrifos and/or Spinosad in 2006 and the Easterna / Greenzit $S.P_{100}$ / Spinosad sequence in 2007 resulted in the greatest reduction of pink and spiny bollworms. From these results we may conclude that Spinosad and Chlorpyriphos were more effective against pink and spiny bollworms when incorporated with the Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P100 sequence than the control and other compounds. This result is in line with that of Ravi *et al.* (1997) who concluded that Chlorpyriphos (0.3%) was effective against the 1^{st} , 3^{rd} and 5th instars larvae of pink bollworm. Allen et al. (2000) and Johnson et al. (2000) also concluded that Spinosad (0.025%) provided good control of American bollworm, Helicoverpa armigera. Spinosad generally kills insects through activation of the acetylcholine nervous system through nicotinic receptors. The mode of action is unique and incompletely understood. Continuous activation of motor neurons causes insects to die of exhaustion. There may be some effects on the neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and other nervous systems (Thompson et al. 2000). Moreover, this unique mode of action of Spinosad, coupled with a high degree of activity especially on pink and spiny bollworms, low toxicity to non-target organisms (including many beneficial arthropods), and resistance management properties make Spinosad an excellent new tool for IPM (Khan et al. 2007).

On the other hand, the use of foliar nutrients postulates a plant nutritional state with optimal levels and proportions among minerals that enhances plant growth and suppresses herbivore performance (Beanland et al. 2003). Therefore, the applied pesticide sequences and foliar nutrients help greatly in this respect. Besides accessing nutrients, for current intake as well as residual, different nutrients also provide growth-promoting factors to plants and some plant growth promoters and other foliar nutrients have successfully facilitated composting and effective recycling of solid wastes (Atiyeh *et al.* 2000). By controlling soil-borne diseases and other pests and improving soil health and properties these nutrients and PGP help not only in this respect, but also result in higher yield rates as was observed in this study. Taking into consideration the severe damage caused by bollworms (the most serious cotton pests in Egypt), these results are likely to be commercially promising under Egyptian conditions in the long run once information becomes available to producers and farmers through experience and communication.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study indicate that the foliar treatment of Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad decreased the incidence of spiny bollworm infestation in the 2006 cotton season. The Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ with Spinosad and/or Chlorpyrifos also effectively reduced the level of spiny bollworm infestation in the 2007 season. The lowest infestation value of pink bollworm was induced by Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ with Chlorpyrifos and Spinosad in the 2006 season and by the Easterna Aminofert Greenzit S.P₁₀₀ / Spinosad sequence in the 2007 season. The application of Easterna Aminofert followed by Super Biovert and Spinosad in this order gave the greatest cotton yield compared to the untreated check in both 2006 and 2007 cotton seasons. Easterna Aminofert / Greenzit S.P₁₀₀/ Spinosad only resulted in high cotton yield in 2007. Soil dehydrogenase was more influenced by the evaluated sequences than urease.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-rhman AK (2004) Effect of certain bio and chemical insecticides on the incidence of bollworms, physiological and yield parameters of cotton plants. *Advanced in Agricultural Research* 9, 125-136
- Allen CT, Kharboutli MS. Capps CE, Earnest LD (2000) Insecticides for tobacco budworm control, Special Report, Arkansas Agricultural Experimental Station, pp 136-139
- Amin AA, Gergis MF (2006) Integrated management strategies for control of cotton key pests in Middle Egypt. Agronomy Research 4, 121-128
- Atiyeh R, Subler S, Edwards C, Bachman J, Shuster W (2000) Effects of vermicomposts and composts on plant growth in horticultural container media and soil. *Pedo Biologia* 44, 579-590
- Atwal AS (1994) Pests of Cotton, Agriculture Pests of India and South East Asia, Kalyani Publishers, Delhi, pp 281-294
- Beanland L, Phelan PL, Salminen S (2003) Micronutrient interactions on soybean growth and the developmental performance of three insect herbivores. *Environmental Entomology* 32, 641-651
- Casida LE Jr, Klein DA, Santoro T (1964) Soil dehydrogenase activity. Soil Science 98, 371-376
- Diurak M, Kazanici F (2001) Effect of some organophosphorus insecticides on soil microorganisms. *Turkish Journal of Biology* 25, 51-58
- **El-Mallah MI, Emam GM** (1998) Effect of P and K fertilization on cotton yield, seed, fiber and yarn quality. Egypt. *Journal Applied Science* **13**, 100-112
- **El-Naggar AZ** (1998) Evaluation of certain foliars and micro-elements in an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program to control cotton bollworms. MSc thesis, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba-Bacha), Alexandria University, Egypt, 176 pp
- El-Naggar AZ (2003) Evaluation of certain new approaches of control measures in an Integrated Pest Management program of cotton bollworms. PhD thesis, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba-Bacha), Alexandria University, Egypt, 232 pp
- El-Nawawy AS, Ashry MA, Zein AA, Masoud AH, Abd-el-Hafez AG, El-Safty R (1983) Foliar fertilizers, insecticides and their mixtures as protectants of cotton bolls against pink bollworm. *Mededelingen van de Faculteit Landbouwwetenschappen, Rijksuniversiteit (Gent)* **48**, 349-357
- Fletcher MG, Axtell RC (1993) Susceptibility of the bedbug, *Cimex lectularius*, to selected insecticides and various surfaces. *Medical and Veterinary Ento*mology 7, 69-72
- Franko M, Sarakha M, Ibej A, Boskin A, Bavcon M, Trebse P (2005) Photodegradation of pesticides and application of bioanalytical methods for their detection. *Pure and Applied Chemistry* **77**, 1727-1773
- Hamady AA, El-Shahaat MS (2006) Influence of pesticides and fertilizers on cotton bollworm infestations and soil enzymes. *Ninth Arab Congress of Plant Protection*, 19-23 November 2006, Damascus, Syria, pp 139-148
- Haque H (1991) Imported generic pesticides need to be checked before market-

ing. Pakistan Agriculture Pesticides Association, Bulletin 6, 16-17

- Hussein NM, Shalaby FF, EL-Khayat EF, Tawfik SM, Salem MS (2002) Effect of certain agrochemicals on cotton a growth and bollworms infestation during three successive seasons at Kalubia Governorate, Egypt. 2nd International Conference, Plant Protection Research Institute, Cario, Egypt, 21-24 December, pp 854-865
- Johnson DR, Lorenz GM, Hopkins JD, Page LM (2000) Comparison of new insecticides for control of *Heliothis* spp. In: *Cotton, Special Report*, Arkansas Agricultural Experimental Station, pp 266-270
- Khan RR, Ahmed S, Saleem MW, Nadeem M (2007) Field evaluation of different insecticides against spotted bollworms *Earais* spp. at district Sahiwal. *Pakistan Entomology* 29, 129-134
- Krantz BA, Nelsted SW (1964) Nutrient deficiencies in corn, sorghums and small grains. In: Sprague HB (Ed) *Hunger Sings in Crops*, McKay, New York, pp 25-28
- Lambert FD, Sherwood EJ, Francis S P (2004) The determination of urea in soil extracts and related samples – a review. *Australian Journal of Soil Re*search 42, 709-717
- Larson LL (1997) Effects of adjuvants on the activity of Tracer[™] 480SC on cotton in the laboratory, 1996. *Arthropod Management Tests* **22**, 415-416
- Megharaj IM, Singleton R, Kookana, Naidu R (1999) Persistence and effects of fenamiphos on native algal populations and enzymatic activities in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **31**, 1549-1553
- Mesbah HA, Ibrahim Mahasen M, Awad HA, El-Naggar AZ (2004b) Performance of plant nutrients and alternative chemicals against the cotton bollworms: *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lep.: Gelechiidae) and *Earias insulana* (Lep.: Noctuidae), at EL-Beheira Governorate. *Journal of the Advances in*

Agricultural Researches 9, 689-706

- Mesbah HA, Ibrahim Mahasen M, Tayeb EH, El-Naggar AZ, El-Nimr Hanyiat M (2004a) Evaluation of some foliar nutrients and alternative chemical compounds as a new control measure against the pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund) (Lep.: Gelechiidae). Journal of the Advances in Agricultural Researches 9, 369-388
- Mesbah HA, Ibrahim Mahasen M, Tayeb EH, El-Naggar AZ, El-Nimr Hanyiat M (2000) Plant health, a new approach for the attainment of tolerant plants to pests infestation. Effect of fertilization and foliar application of nutritive elements on the infestation of cotton with bollworms. *Journal of the Advances in Agricultural Researches* 5, 1437-1454
- Ravi G, Shashi S, Veram S (1997) Evaluation of pesticides against *Heliothis* armigera and its parasitoid *Campoletic chlorideae* on chickpea. *Indian Jour*nal of Entomology 59, 69-77
- Sannin O, Gianfreda L (2001) Pesticide influence on soil enzymatic activities. Chemosphere 45, 417-425
- Stepniewska Z, Wolinsk A, Lipinska R (2007) Effect of fonofos on soil dehydrogenase activity. *International Agrophysics* 21, 101-105
- Thompson G, Dutton R, Sparks T (2000) Spinosad A case study: an example from a natural products discovery programme. *Pest Management Science* 56, 696-702
- Widenfalk A, Bertilsson S, Sundh I, Goedkoop W (2008) Effects of pesticides on community composition and activity of sediment microbes – responses at various levels of microbial community organization. *Environmental Pollution* 152, 3576-584
- Yang C, Sun T, He W, Chen S (2006) Effects of pesticides on soil urease activity. Journal of Applied Ecology 17, 1354-1356