Potential Health Benefits of Potato Starch
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ABSTRACT

Starch is considered a major source of available energy in the human diet. In potato, starch content varies from 70 to 90% on a dry matter basis depending on the botanical variety. The traditional view of starch digestion is that to a large extent starch is rapidly digested. However, a variable fraction of ingested starch can escape digestion in the foregut, and ferment later in the hindgut (RS, resistant starch). Raw potato starch is largely considered as a high RS ingredient. However, potato is mostly consumed processed, which gelatinizes starch at different extents, and this will have an effect on the composition and nutritional values (glycemic index and RS content). In general, processed potato has high levels of digestible starch, although the values may decrease with an increased time of storage after cooking. RS is becoming more desirable in the human diet because of its relevance to health, on the prevention and control of some digestive and metabolic disorders. RS intake, in substitution to digestible starch, seems to decrease postprandial glycemic and insulinemic responses, improve whole body insulin sensitivity, increase satiety, lower plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations, and reduce fat storage. RS has also been associated with protective effects on chronic colonic diseases, including reduction of colon cancer risk and in the treatment of bowel inflammatory conditions. In summary, this review presents the current understanding of potato starch and potential health benefits which are likely to be associated with intake of resistant potato starch.
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INTRODUCTION

Starch is considered a major source of available energy in the human diet (Noda et al. 2008). This is not only a consequence of its high abundance in cereals, some legume grains and potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L.), but also because of its high energy content and digestibility (Hizukuri 1996). In potatoes, the starch content varies from 70 to 90% based on dry matter, depending on the botanical variety.

The traditional view of starch digestion is that starch to a large extent is rapidly digested. However, the kinetics and extent to which starch is digested depends on its composition and physicochemical characteristics. Moreover, it is known that a variable fraction of ingested starch can escape digestion in the foregut, and ferment later in the hindgut. Thus, starch has been classified as rapidly digestible starch (RDS), slowly digestible starch (SDS), and resistant starch (RS) to specify the characteristics of starch and physiological relevance (Englyst et al. 1992). The glycemic index is related to the amount of RDS, while SDS and RS are becoming more desirable in the human diet because of their relevance to health and the prevention and control of some digestive and metabolic disorders, such as obesity, diabetes, coronary heart disease and the colonic cancer (Cummings et al. 1996; Kendall et al. 2004). Raw potato starch is largely considered as a high RS ingredient. However, potato is mostly consumed processed in different ways: boiled, oven baked, or fried, alone as well as with other foods. Processing will gelatinize starch at different extents, and this will have an effect on the composition and nutritional values (glycemic index and RS content).

This review presents the current understanding of potato starch, its composition and nutritional characteristics in different ways of consumption, which will have an effect on the digestive processes and on potential health benefits which are likely to be associated.

POTATO STARCH COMPOSITION AND DIGESTIBILITY

Pure starch consists of α-glucan chains in the form of amylose and amylopectin. Amylose is essentially a linear molecule, with a molecular weight between $1 \times 10^5$ – $1 \times 10^6$ kDa (Tester et al. 2004) in which D-glucose monomers (1000 on average) are mainly linked (~59%) by α(1-4) glucosidic bonds, except a small fraction (~1%) of α(1-6). Amylopectin is a much larger molecule (molecular weight ~$1 \times 10^7$ –
1 × 10^9) and with a higher ratio of branched bonds (~5%). Depending on the botanical origin of starch, differences in the amylose/amylopectin composition and levels of structure may govern the pattern and rate of the starch hydrolysis. While most native cereal starches are characterized by their slow digestion property (SDS >50%), raw potato starch shows a high resistance to α-amylase (Fig. 1, Zhang et al. 2006). In both cases, the disruption of the native starch organization by thermal processes leads to a loss of the slow digestion property and produces a huge increase in RDS.

Research has shown that structural features of the raw starch granule have a major influence on the susceptibility of starch during bacterial or pancreatic α-amylolysis (Zhang et al. 2006). The enzymes involved in the solid-solution reaction first need to diffuse toward and bind the solid structure to cleave the glycosidic linkages. In plants, starch is compacted in spherical granules with a smooth surface constituted by final starch chains in a protein matrix. Differences in the granular structure of starches from different plant sources include shape, size and porosity (pores and channels within the starch granules). Potato granules are oval or spherical in shape and have a diameter of up to 75 μm, while cereal granules are smaller (5-35 μm) and polyhedral, and the legume ones (15-80 μm) are kidney shaped (Fig. 2, Robyt 1997). Potato starch granules are very large and therefore have low surface areas relative to volume compared to cereal starch granules, resulting in a reduced area exposed to attacking enzymes. However there are important differences in starch potato granule size depending on cultivars and environmental factors. In general, it is accepted that tubers grown in regions with lower temperatures resulted in starches with larger granule sizes (Singh et al. 2008).

The properties of the starch granules are the result of a well organized structure. Starch granules are composed of a large number of small, randomly orientated crystalline regions in an amorphous matrix. The first level of organization is the arrangement of the side chains of amylopectin into clusters (French 1984). These clusters are part of the crystalline and amorphous lamellae. In the crystalline lamellae the long amylopectin chains form double helices, while the branching points and the shortest amylopectin chains are located in the amorphous lamellae (Fig. 3, Gallant et al. 1997). Crystalline and amorphous lamellae are organized into spherical structures termed blockets, which are proposed to be the next level of granule organization (Gallant et al. 1997). The blocket structures are in turn organized into growth rings, which are layers of semi-crystalline and crystalline shells visible by light microscopy. Finally, the starch polymers are arranged radially with their molecular axes aligned perpendicular to the growth rings and the granule surface (Baker et al. 2001).

X-ray diffraction analysis provides different types of spectral patterns depending on the origin of the starch: most cereal starches yield the A-type pattern, potato and part of the tuber starches the B-type, whereas most legume starches yield an intermediate C-type which is a mixture of A and B (Gernat et al. 1990). Starches with B- and C-type patterns are more resistant to digestion than A-type ones (Topping and Clifton 2001). Spectral patterns reflect the crystalline structures, because it is well known that amylopectin is the molecule that forms the crystallites in starch granules and that the length of their molecules is highly correlated with its fine structure. In a debranched profile of starch, different fractions can be identified, such as amylose, long chain amylpectin (degree of polymerization, dp ~50-60) and short chain (dp <25-30) amylopectin (Zhang et al. 2006). Large and small amounts of short chain fractions are associated with A- and B-type X-ray diffraction (Buléon et al. 1998). Ratios of ~3 and ~1.5 of short to long chain amylpectin fractions are described for cereal and potato starch, respectively.

The shortest chains with a degree of polymerisation of 5-10 cannot form stable double helices, and may disrupt the
formation of an ordered crystalline structure. On the other hand, long chains which are used to connect adjacent clusters are considered a quantitative indicator of crystalline perfection (Gerard et al. 2001). As described above, potato starch is characterized by a higher proportion of long chain amylopectin with longer chains in comparison with cereals. These long chains allow a fine crystalline structure with shorter flexible spacers than the A-type starch. Shorter flexible spacers decrease the mobility of starch and lead to lower α-amylase accessibility and higher RS content of the potato starch granule. Another factor that helps explain the low digestibility of raw potato starch is its high concentration of covalently bound phosphate compared to other starches (Hizukuri et al. 1970). Amylolytic enzymes are incapable of bypassing the phosphorylated glucosyl residue, so phosphoryl-oligosaccharides are released from the digestion of potato starch with amylase (Kamasaka et al. 1995). For all these reasons, raw potato starch is classified as RS type 2 (RS granules) according to the classification published in 1992 by Englyst.

GELATINIZATION OF STARCH

Potato for human consumption is processed in different ways, boiled, oven-baked or fried. The main result of these processes is gelatinization of starch and the disruption of the starch granules. It is well known that when native starches are heated at low or moderate levels of moisture, the crystalline structures within the starch granule lose order. This process is referred to as melting and occurs at temperatures that vary depending on the moisture and origin of the starch. In the absence of water, melting temperatures exceed 150°C but fall to 100-120°C at 20% moisture (Annison and Topping 1994). When starch is heated in excess water the granules undergo a characteristic structural reorganization, which occurs as a two stage process. The first stage involves the swelling of the granule that leads to the loss of organized structure (loss of A and B patterns). Ultimately granule structure is completely lost and a thin paste or gel is formed. At the molecular level the process is explained by hydrating double helices as a consequence of elevated temperatures. Scanning electron microscopy studies of potato starch have revealed a honeycombed-like structure that appears in the granules as they gelatinize (Tester et al. 2004). Above 90°C, a marked loss of granular structure occurs, although the starch granules may remain as fragments comprised of amylopectin suspended in a solution of amylose (Liu and Zhao 1990). This process makes the starch completely digestible by starch hydrolyzing enzymes (Fig. 1). The binding step of amylase is of kinetic significance when the enzyme is acting on a particular granular or supramolecular structure, but when acting on soluble starch fragments, the reaction can be described by conventional Michaelis-Menten kinetics (i.e. the rate is directly proportional to the enzyme concentration).

![Fig. 3 Overview of starch granule organization.](image)

*Fig. 3 Overview of starch granule organization. Reprinted from Gallant DJ, Boucher B, Baldwin PM (1997) Microscopy of starch: evidence of a new level of granule organization. Carbohydrate Polymers 32, 177-191, with kind permission of Elsevier and authors, ©1997.*

![Fig. 4 Percentage of in vitro total starch hydrolysis in cooked potatoes in comparison with white bread as a reference food.](image)

*Fig. 4 Percentage of in vitro total starch hydrolysis in cooked potatoes in comparison with white bread as a reference food. Reprinted from García-Alonso, Goñi I (2000) Effect of processing on potato starch: In vitro availability and glycaemic index. Nahrung 44, 19-22, with kind permission of Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA and authors, ©2000.*
Table 1 Total, resistant (RS) and digestible (DS) starch content in potato samples processed in different ways. Results are mean ± SD (on dry matter) of 4 assays. Reprinted and adapted from Garcia-Alonso A, Goñi I (2000) Effect of processing on potato starch: In vitro availability and glycaemic index. Nahrung 44, 19-22, with kind permission of Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA and authors, ©2000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potato</th>
<th>Total starch (%)</th>
<th>Resistant starch</th>
<th>Digestible starch (%)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>79.36 ± 5.75 d</td>
<td>69.05 ± 1.76 h</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>81.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiled</td>
<td>79.36 ± 5.75 d</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.09 a</td>
<td>78.18</td>
<td>81.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiled and cooled</td>
<td>75.18 ± 2.94 cd</td>
<td>4.63 ± 0.99</td>
<td>70.55</td>
<td>79.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw flakes</td>
<td>71.97 ± 1.10 c</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.21 cd</td>
<td>68.57</td>
<td>85.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mashed</td>
<td>71.97 ± 1.10 c</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.18 bc</td>
<td>69.89</td>
<td>86.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven-baked</td>
<td>65.91 ± 3.23 b</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.84 d</td>
<td>62.20</td>
<td>79.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French-fries</td>
<td>59.34 ± 6.31 a</td>
<td>6.64 ± 0.63 f</td>
<td>52.70</td>
<td>17.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisps</td>
<td>65.42 ± 1.45 b</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.79 d</td>
<td>65.15</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrograded</td>
<td>79.36 ± 5.75 d</td>
<td>10.38 ± 0.08 g</td>
<td>68.98</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Digestible starch is the difference between total and resistant starch.
Different letters in a column denote significant differences (P<0.05).

Table 2 Effect of various processing methods and storage temperatures on starch digestibility in potato (Solanum tuberosum) flour. RDS and SDS, respectively, represent rapidly digestible starch and slowly digestible starch. Reprinted and adapted from Niba LL (2003) Processing effects on susceptibility of starch to digestion in some dietary starch sources. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition 54, 97-109, with kind permission of Taylor & Francis and the author, ©2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digestibility (g/100 g)</th>
<th>Raw</th>
<th>Autoclaved</th>
<th>Microwaved</th>
<th>Parboiled</th>
<th>Stored at ambient temperature (10 days)</th>
<th>Stored frozen (10 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDS</td>
<td>3.04 a</td>
<td>13.6 cd</td>
<td>8.44 abc</td>
<td>16.0 cd</td>
<td>10.7 bc</td>
<td>5.64 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.96 abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.5 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.60 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.9 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>15.9 ab</td>
<td>14.6 a</td>
<td>14.2 a</td>
<td>23.4 bc</td>
<td>25.3 cd</td>
<td>12.2 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.8 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.0 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.6 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total starch</td>
<td>43.1 abc</td>
<td>44.7 abc</td>
<td>39.0 abc</td>
<td>54.2 c</td>
<td>36.1 ab</td>
<td>32.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.0 abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.7 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51.9 bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means within a row with different letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

Fig. 5 Blood glucose rise above baseline after white bread O—O; hard toasted bread Δ—Δ; potato dumplings □—□; *P <0.05, **P <0.01 potato dumplings versus white bread. Reprinted from Riccardi G, Clemente G, Giacco R (2003) Glycemic index of local foods and diets: the Mediterranean experience. Nutrition Reviews 61, S56-S60, with kind permission of International Life Sciences Institute and authors, ©2003.

However, different processing techniques may affect the level of RS yielded as well as the glycemic index (GI). Garcia-Alonso and Goñi (2000) measured changes observed in the digestible and indigestible starch fractions and in the kinetics of digestion in potatoes processed in different ways (Fig. 4). In general, processed potato has high levels of digestible starch (Table 1), although the values may decrease with the time of storage. Boiled or mashed potato (instant potato) showed the highest rates of digestion similar to those of white bread. In general, modern methods of processing affect the rate of digestion and subsequent blood glucose profile. Thus, instant potato, which is prepared under repeated wetting and drying may promote higher GI than conventionally cooked potato, which generally involves less physical disruption and only moderate heat (Brand et al. 1985). On the other hand, potato dumplings, a typical potato and wheat food, used as a substitute for pasta has been described to promote reduced plasma glucose as compared to white bread, hard toasted bread, pizza (Fig. 5, Riccardi et al. 2003) or boiled potatoes (Parillo et al. 1985).

Frying potatoes in hot oil decreases the rate of starch hydrolysis, with home cooked (French fries) showing lower values than crisps (Garcia-Alonso and Goñi 2000) or boiled potatoes (Leeman et al. 2008). Goñi et al. (1997) established a relationship between thickness and the RS content of fried potatoes (RS = 2.24 + 0.54 x (thickness, mm)), the levels of RS being higher in thicker French fries.

Usually, processed starch foods undergo a period of storage between cooking and consumption. During this period, the amylose and amylpectin molecules of gelatinized starches can re-associate to form a gel (Miles et al. 1985). Gel formation is a dynamic process, because crystallinity within the gel increases with time. This crystallinity is referred to as retrogradation and can be detected by X-ray diffraction as a B-type pattern. Retrogradation may take from several hours, in the case of high amyllose starches, to several days, in the case of high amylpectin starches (Annison and Topping 1994). By repeating the heating and cooling cycles, retrogradation can be increased extending crystalline regions of α-glucan. Enzymatic treatment of retrograded starch with α-amylases breaks down non-retrograded starch, leaving a fraction that is enzymatically indigestible that has been called RS (Russell et al. 1989). The result is a reduction in the amount of the RDS and an increase in the amount of the SDS and the RS (Table 2, Fig. 4).

FERMENTATION OF POTATO RESISTANT STARCH

From the above references, it is clear that potato may be considered a main source of RS for the human large bowel, either by incomplete gelatinization of raw potato starch or by retrogradation. In Western countries, it has been estimated that the amount of resistant starch, as a potential substrate for bacteria, may range between 8-40 g/day, in comparison with the amount of non-starch polysaccharides (NSP) (8-18 g/day) or oligosaccharides (2-8 g/day) (Cummings and Englyst 1991). Of the typical starchy foods, the highest RS concentration is found in the legume grains (95-111 g/kg total starch basis, Liljeborg 2002), green bananas (527 g/kg, Langkilde et al. 2002) or commercially processed potato products (48-59 g/kg, Liljeborg 2002). Among the cereal products, intact rye grain bread, barley flakes or semolina porridge are identified as having RS levels in the high range (45-60 g/kg, Liljeborg 2002). Slightly lower values are observed in other cereal products (Englyst et al. 2003), such as breakfast cereals (33 g/kg), cornflakes (49 g/kg), bakery products and crackers (33 g/kg) and biscuits (32 g/kg). It is...
generally accepted that as more starch is eaten, more enters the colon (Chapman et al. 1985), and it is thought that ~10% of dietary starch may escape digestion in the human small intestine (Topping and Clifton 2001).

Different studies have shown that RS is largely digested in the colon in most individuals. In a study by Cummings et al. (1996), RS was extensively digested in 27 of 34 diet periods of 15-days, but five subjects were unable to break down one or two of the RS sources. Fermentation of RS was associated with a significant increase of the stool weight by 1.6 g/d per g RS fed for potato, but this was significantly less than bran at 4.9 g/d per g NSP. RS consumption was also associated with a significant increase in the faecal excretion of N, short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) and NSP. The fermentation of RS in the colon may allow an increased microbial biomass throughout the colon, concurrently with a higher excretion of N in feces and a lower excretion of urinary N. It is well known that bacteria inhabiting the colon of single-stomached animals obtain their energy mainly from dietary carbohydrates escaping foregut digestion. As fermentable carbohydrates decline in concentration along the length of the colon, bacteria switch to the degradation of proteaceous material and bacteria autoxolysis (Gibson and Roberfroid 1995; Martínez-Puig et al. 2003). SCFA (mainly acetate, propionate and butyrate acids) are formed during microbial fermentation of carbohydrates in the colon. Starches have been shown to produce high proportions of butyric acid by *in vitro* fermentation with human faecal inocula (Casterline et al. 1997). Different *in vivo* trials have also shown an increased faecal excretion of butyrate in normal human subjects (Noakes et al. 1996) and animals (Henningson et al. 2003). It is accepted that RS from different sources and bran from wheat or oat, stimulate the formation of butyrate (Bach Knudsen et al. 1993), while xylans and pectin rich fractions (sugar-beet pulp) are all associated with a relatively low level of butyrate (Anguita et al. 2007). Among different sources of RS, only raw potato starch gave an increased faecal proportion of butyric acid (Cummings et al. 1996), which reflects that production of butyric acid and utilization of butyrate by the colonic mucosa may vary between sources of RS (Martin et al. 2000). Henningson et al. (2003) have also shown an increase in the proportion of butyric acid in the hindgut of rats with longer adaptation periods (42 vs. 13 days).

**POTENTIAL HEALTH BENEFITS OF POTATO STARCH**

There are well established beneficial effects of the dietary fiber, i.e. NSP and RS, on some major digestive and metabolic diseases in humans (Cummings et al. 1996). Different reports have described the influence of RS consumption on the prevention of digestive pathologies or diarrhea (Williams et al. 2001). For example, there is recent evidence that giving RS orally to human patients with cholera increases fecal SCFA concentration and shortens the duration of diarrhea (Ramakrishna et al. 2000). RS has also been associated with protective effects on chronic colonic diseases, including reduction of colon cancer risk and in the treatment of ulcerative colitis (Cassidy et al. 1994; Hylla et al. 1998; Topping and Clifton 2001; Champ 2004). The main mechanisms cited are the ability of RS to increase fecal bulk (Cummings et al. 1996), increase the molar ratio of butyrate in relation to other SCFA and dilute fecal bile acids (Van Munster and Nagengast 1993). Accordingly, raw potato starch, e.g. those of the stimulating the production of anti-inflammatory compounds, enhance stimulation of Kupffer cells (Bohmig et al. 1997), regulate Kupffer cell PGE2 production (Pérez et al. 1998) and inhibit B-lymphocyte function (Eftidiami et al. 1995) and Th1-type responses (Cavaglieri et al. 2003). These findings may explain the therapeutic effect of butyrate on inflammatory bowel disease, a pathological condition characteristic by a chronic inflammation of the gut mucosa (Cavaglieri et al. 2002). Thus, recent studies in the pig model have found that feeding large quantities of raw potato starch reduces colonic inflammation.
immune reactivity and also levels of blood leukocytes, including T lymphocytes and neutrophils which are increased as a result of inflammation or disease (Nofrarías et al. 2007).

The consumption of different types of starch has been shown to be beneficial in a variety of metabolic diseases, such as insulin resistance and diabetes (Higgins et al. 1996), plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations (de Deckere et al. 1993), and chronic renal or hepatic disease (Younes et al. 1997). The amount and kind of ingested carbohydrate can modify ensuing plasma glucose and insulin responses, and raise the possibility that such dietary manipulation may have some therapeutic utility in patients with abnormal carbohydrate and lipid metabolism (Reaven 1979). In particular, RS intake, in substitution to digestible starch, improves whole body insulin sensitivity, lowers plasma cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations, increases satiety, and reduce fat storage (Higgins 2004). The potato, as a main source of RS in humans, could confer some of the above mentioned health benefits. However, the GI of potatoes is influenced by the variety (i.e. Russet brown potatoes have only a moderately high GI) and the method of cooking (Fernandes et al. 2005).

In general terms, processed potato (boiled and mashed – instant-potatoes or French fries) contains higher levels of digestible starch and subsequently induce higher blood glucose profiles than traditional cooked potatoes or potato dumplings, and are comparable to white bread, pasta or pizza (Brand et al. 1985; Parillo et al. 1985; Garcia and Göni 2000; Riccardi et al. 2003; Leeman et al. 2008). In diabetic subjects, the postprandial blood glucose response after raw potato was considerably slower and weaker compared to cooked potato (Vaaler et al. 1984). Individuals who wish to minimize dietary GI can be advised to precook potatoes and consume them cold or reheated (Fernandes et al. 2005).

Changes on glycemia and insulinemia promote changes on lipid metabolism. Diets with high GI increase lipogenesis in the adipose tissue in normal and to a lesser extent in diabetic rats (Kabir et al. 1998). Contrarily, diets rich in RS have reduced fatty acid synthase activity (Morand et al. 1994; Takase et al. 1994) and fat accretion on epidymal fat pads (de Deckere et al. 1993) in a rat model, and lipogenesis in adipose but not in muscular tissues (Martínez-Puig et al. 2006) in a pig model. Besides its effect on lipogenesis potato starch has a role on satiety. Some studies have demonstrated that potatoes in general (Kaplan and Greenwood 2002), and boiled or mashed potatoes but French fries in particular (Leeman et al. 2008), were more satiating than other carbohydrate sources. Moreover, the replacement of pregelatinized digestible starch with RS from raw potato starch resulted in the subjective sensations of satiety; differences in taste, visual appeal and texture may influence satiety (Raben et al. 1994). These properties make RS an attractive dietary target for the prevention of diseases associated with dyslipidemia and insulin resistance as well as the development of weight loss diets and dietary therapies for the treatment of Type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease.
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