

Volatile Mass Ion and Metabolite Profiling to Detect and Discriminate Fungal Diseases in Different Mango Cultivars

Appanna Vikram¹ • Habiballah Hamzehzarghani² • Khalil I. Al-Mughrabi³ • Ajjamada C. Kushalappa^{1*}

Department of Plant Science, McGill University, 21111 Lakeshore Road, Sainte Anne De Bellevue, Quebec, H9X 3V9, Canada
² Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Badjgah, Shiraz University, Shiraz, 71444-65186, Iran
³ New Brunswick Department of Agriculture and Aquaculture, Potato Development Centre, Wicklow, New Brunswick E7L 3S4, Canada

Corresponding author: * ajjamada.kushalappa@mcgill.ca

ABSTRACT

Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry was used to analyze the volatiles in the headspace of mango cultivars 'Tommy Atkins', 'Keitt' and 'Kent' inoculated with *Alternaria alternata, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*. A total of 251, 255 and 224 volatile peaks were detected in the three cultivars 'Tommy Atkins', 'Keitt' and 'Kent', respectively. The volatile compounds were identified based on mass spectral match using NIST library. Thirty compounds were detected relatively consistently among replicates in 'Tommy Atkins' while the relatively consistent compounds in 'Keitt' and 'Kent' were 34 and 20, respectively. Several of these compounds were specific to a disease/inoculation or they varied in their abundances when being present in all treatments. In 'Kent', 2-butenoic acid, methyl ester was specific to *Colletotrichum* while propanoic acid, ethyl ester and 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester was specific to *Lasiodiplodia*. 1-butanol and propanoic acid, ethyl ester was specific to both *Colletotrichum* and *Lasiodiplodia* in 'Tommy Atkins' cultivar while octanoic acid, methyl ester was specific to *Colletotrichum* in 'Keitt'. Overall rate of disease classification based on metabolite profiles was poorer than discriminability of mass ion fingerprints. The average error rates for resubstitution and cross validation for separate metabolite profiles of each cultivar or pooled data of cultivars was higher than 40%. The utmost shortcoming of metabolite profile based discriminant models was their high rate of false negatives. The discriminant models developed on mass ion fingerprints correctly classified almost hundred percent of the entries both in resubstitution and cross validation procedures. The disease specific metabolite profiles and mass ion fingerprints produced could be used to differentiate cultivars and has the potential to be used in early detection of postharvest diseases of mango fruits after validation under commercial conditions.

Keywords: Alternaria alternata, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Lasiodiplodia theobromae, mango, mass ion fingerprinting, metabolite profiling

INTRODUCTION

Mango is an economically important crop produced in over 90 countries worldwide. It is estimated that about 77% of global mango production comes from Asia followed by 13% from Americas and 9% from Africa (FAOSTAT 2007). The world production of mango was estimated at 28.51 million metric tons in 2005 and India is the largest producer of mangoes which accounts for 38.6% of total world production. The other leading producers of mango are China, Thailand, Mexico, Indonesia, Pakistan, Brazil, Philippines, Nigeria and Egypt (FAOSTAT 2007).

Mango diseases are one of the most important causes of postharvest losses. The main postharvest diseases of mango include anthracnose (Colletotrichum gloesporioides), stemend rot (Lasiodiplodia theobromae) and alternaria rot (Alternaria alternata) (Barkai-Golan 2001). In anthracnose the lesions are observed at first on the surface of the fruit which later move into the flesh. The spore masses are produced and its color changes from salmon pink to dark brown in humid conditions (Fitzel and Peak 1984). The disease is most severe following periods of wet weather. The losses caused by stem-end rot are more profound during transit and storage and also in situations where anthracnose is not well managed (Johnson et al. 1993). A dark rot develops from the stem end as fruit ripens after harvest. Stem end rot may be more serious than anthracnose in fruits from drier areas. The symptoms of alternaria rot can be distinguished from anthracnose by darker, more limited firm lesions. In advanced stages of decay olive brown spores can be found within lesions. The fruit shows development of small black spots around lenticels, which can grow and coalesce to form large spot that covers a large part of the fruit. At first, decay does not extend into the fruit, but as symptoms develop, the disease progresses into the pulp which darkens.

Early detection of diseases in storage can reduce significant post-harvest losses in storage conditions. More sophisticated diagnostic methods and techniques like polymerase chain reaction (PCR), reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and enzyme-linked immuno-sorbant assay (ELISA) are now available for disease detection (Meyer et al. 2000; Mathioudakis et al. 2009; Abarshi et al. 2010). However, their feasibility in detecting diseases under storage conditions is widely reduced due to the need for destructive collection of a large number of samples. A method for detecting diseases which involves non destructive sampling is necessary for stored fruits and vegetables. An alternative to this could be the use of gas chromatography/mass spectrometry by trapping and quantification of volatile compounds in the storage headspace. Several researchers have identified and used volatile metabolites released from infected fruits and vegetables in developing techniques for detection and discrimination of stored products (Prithiviraj et al. 2004; Lui et al. 2005; Vikram et al. 2005).

Studies conducted on mango fruits have shown that there are more than 300 metabolites belonging to several chemical groups namely monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, esters, ketones, alcohols, organic acids, aliphatic hydrocarbons and aromatics (Shibamato and Tang 1990; Winterhalter 1991). In mango aroma is affected by various factors like cultivar, production environment, fruit maturity at harvest and storage conditions. There are reports stating that overall flavor of fresh and processed fruits are influenced by aroma volatile compounds (Lalel *et al.* 2003; Singh *et al.* 2004). Volatile metabolites released from two mango cultivars infected with two different diseases were identified in an earlier study (Moalemiyan *et al.* 2006; Moalemiyan *et al.* 2007). In the present trial the volatiles released from three different mango cultivars inoculated with three important fungal pathogens were studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal cultures and inoculum production

The cultures of *Alternaria alternata*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* were obtained from Brazil. The fungal pathogens were stored at 4°C until further use. The fungal cultures were grown on potato dextrose agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) and incubated at 22°C for a week and then exposed to near UV light for sporulation. The fungal spore suspensions were prepared from 7 to 10 day-old cultures, by flooding the plates with distilled water. The suspension was vortexed and filtered through two layers of a cheese cloth. The concentration of spores in the suspension was adjusted to 10^5 spores ml⁻¹ for *Alternaria alternata* and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* and 10^4 spores ml⁻¹ for *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* using a haemocytometer.

Inoculation and incubation

Mango fruits of cultivars Tommy Atkins, Keitt and Kent produced in Mexico were obtained from a mango distributing company (Aliments, IMAX Foods Inc., Montreal, Quebec). Apparently disease-free, uniform in size, and mature green mangoes were selected and surface sterilized in 1% sodium hypochlorite solution for 15 min, and rinsed with distilled water. Six holes were made at the equatorial region with a cork borer (3 mm diameter, 3 mm depth). Each hole was inoculated with 30 µL of Alternaria, 30 µL of Colletotrichum or 20 µL of Lasiodiplodia spore suspension using a micropipette. The inoculated mangoes were placed in 2 L glass jars, one fruit per bottle, with 13 mL of water at the bottom to create a humidity saturated atmosphere and incubated at 20°C in the dark. Stainless steel supports were used to suspend mangoes above water surface and an aluminum foil was used to cover the mouth. After 24 h, the water was removed. The blocks containing all the treatments were conducted eight times, at weekly intervals.

Volatile accumulation and analysis

The inoculated mangoes were transferred into new sampling jars at the end of each incubation period (3 and 6 days for Alternaria, Colletotrichum, Lasiodiplodia and controls). A magnetic stirrer was placed at the bottom to agitate headspace gas to establish equilibrium while gas sampling. The bottles were flushed with pure dry air, covered tightly with 0.02 mm Teflon film (ENFLO Canada LTD, Montreal), and incubated at 20°C in dark for 150 min. The headspace gas was sampled and analyzed using a portable GC/MS system (Model: Hapsite -10122: Inficon, Syracuse, NY, USA) (Vikram et al. 2004a). The GC/MS was programmed to sample headspace air for 15 s at the rate of 100 mL min⁻¹ and 25 mL of the headspace air was preconcentrated in a carboxen trap (15 mg) which was heated to 225°C to desorb volatiles. Compounds were separated using a 30 m long SPB-5 capillary column with 0.32 mm internal diameter (Inficon, NY, model: 930-489-G8). Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 3 ml min The column was heated to 50°C followed by a ramping of 3°C min⁻¹ for 50 min, when the temperature reached 200°C, it was held for 2 min. Mass ions were scanned at the rate of 1.08 s per mass decade over a mass range of 46-300 m/z.

Disease severity assessment

The diameter of diseased mango tissue was measured 3 and 6 days after inoculation (DAI) (for *Alternaria*, *Lasiodiplodia* and *Colleto-trichum*). At 6 DAI, the mango was cut at the site of inoculation and the depth of diseased tissue was measured, from which the volume of the diseased tissue was calculated (πr^2h). Disease specific discoloration or rot was considered as diseased tissue.

Experimental design, compound identification, and data analysis

The experiment was designed as a randomized complete block design and consisted of five main treatments, designated as inoculation/disease: non-wounded-non-inoculated (N-control), wounded-non-inoculated (W-control), inoculated with *Alternaria alternata (Alternaria)*, inoculated with *Lasiodiplodia theobromae (Lasiodiplodia)*, and inoculated with *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (Colletotrichum)*. Two observations were made at two incubation times (3 and 6 DAI) for *Colletotrichum, Lasiodiplodia, Alternaria*, N-control and W-control to represent disease severity levels. The experimental unit consisted of one mango fruit per bottle. The entire experimental block was conducted 8 times, at weekly intervals, thus 8 blocks and two observations for each block.

The initial output of GC/MS consisted of scans and mass ion abundances which were further processed by the Saturn software to derive the peak abundance and the retention time. The metabolites and their abundances were exported in to an EXCEL spreadsheet and sorted using the pivot procedure to develop a list of compounds detected in this study. The NIST mass spectral search program (version 2.0) was used to tentatively identify the compounds. The peaks with an abundance of greater than 1000 (quadrupole analyzer output) were retained for further analysis.

The volatiles which occurred (frequency) in four or more observations (out of 16 observations = eight blocks x two incubation times for *Lasiodiplodia*, *Alternaria*, *Colletotrichum*, N-control, and W-control treatments) were considered relatively consistent. The consistent metabolites unique or common to a few but not to all inoculation/diseases were separated and designed as disease discriminatory. The initial output of GC/MS was also used to derive the metabolic fingerprints. The abundance of each mass ion for all the scans of the chromatogram was summed, normalized by dividing the abundance of each mass ion with the total abundance for 150 mass ions (46-195 m/z) and designated as the metabolic fingerprint. The abundance of relatively consistent metabolites, excluding those that were unique to an inoculation/disease, were normalized by dividing each by the total for all the consistent metabolites and designated as the metabolic fingerprint.

Statistical analysis

The relatively consistent metabolites and the mass ion fingerprints were subjected to discriminant analysis using the DISCRIM procedure of SAS (Johnson 1998). The discriminant analysis was to find models with a reasonably accurate capability of classifying entries (disease \times mango cultivar combination) into their respective groups (Johnson 1998). An accurate classification must theoretically have an error rate of zero or near to zero; however for practical purposes a fair classification with some extent of errors may also be satisfactory. Misclassification probability combined with risk of probable future losses is of critical importance in decision making for both market managers and disease management of post harvest diseases.

The modeling approach is based on development of separate discriminant models for each disease \times mango cultivar combination. The following steps were employed to determine the misclassification probability for a model through validation procedure. The following steps are employed. In resubstitution, each observation enters all the models and based on the discriminant value it would be assigned to a group. Basically misclassification probability of a model at this level of validation is lower as the model is validated in its data space. To validate further however jackknifing (cross validation) was used, where the procedure starts with removing the first observation vector; rebuilding a discriminant

| Table 1 Volatile metabolites and their average abundances (× 10 |) detected in the headspace gas of mango | fruits (cv. 'Kent') inoculated with water or three |
|---|--|--|
| plant pathogens. ^a | | |

| RN ^b | Compounds | Alternaria | Colletotrichum | Lasiodiplodia | N-control | W- control | Inoculation |
|-----------------|---|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 8 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | | | 107 | | | L |
| 36 | Propanoic acid, ethyl ester | | | 40 | | | L |
| 7 | 2-Butenoic acid, methyl ester, (Z)- | | 7 | | | | С |
| 13 | Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene-, (1S)- | | 2 | 204 | | | CL |
| 17 | Butanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 84 | 209 | | | CL |
| 29 | Ethyl acetate | | 4 | 81 | | | CL |
| 32 | Hexanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 24 | | 24 | | CN |
| 31 | Furan, 2-methyl- | | 84 | 9 | 128 | | CLN |
| 44 | α-Pinene | 7 | | | 41 | 3 | ANW |
| 45 | α-Phellandrene | | | 25 | 4 | 31 | LNW |
| 40 | Styrene | | 8 | 4397 | 3 | 4 | CLNW |
| 39 | Silanol, trimethyl | 14 | 10 | | 17 | 20 | ACNW |
| 33 | Limonene | 65 | 29 | 49 | | 15 | ACLW |
| 9 | 3-Carene | 4419 | 12113 | 4928 | 2441 | 2659 | ACLNW |
| 12 | Benzene, tert-butyl- | 7 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 5 | ACLNW |
| 20 | Chloroform | 27 | 7 | 2 | 17 | 22 | ACLNW |
| 26 | Cyclohexene, 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethylidene)- | 62 | 176 | 69 | 277 | 34 | ACLNW |
| 28 | Ethanol | 15303 | 21671 | 25585 | 7692 | 346 | ACLNW |
| 30 | Ethylbenzene | 11 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 4 | ACLNW |
| 42 | Toluene | 37 | 41 | 25 | 63 | 38 | ACLNW |

^a A: Alternaria alternata; C: Colletotrichum gloeosporioides; L: Lasiodiplodia theobromae; N: non-wounded-non-inoculated control; W: wounded-non-inoculated control. ^b RN = Metabolite reference number of the metabolites tentatively identified in this study (see Supplementary Table).

model based on the remaining data, and using the new rule to classify the first removed observation. Finally a summary table of resubstitution and cross-validated data is created by repeating the model development and validation cycle with rest of the observation vectors.

RESULTS

Cultivar susceptibility to the pathogens

The highest volume of mango flesh destroyed was by *Lasiodiplodia* on mango cultivar 'Tommy Atkins' followed by 'Keitt' and 'Kent' (**Fig. 1**), while *Colletotrichum* caused the highest loss to 'Keitt' with a significant reduction of losses to both 'Kent' and 'Tommy Atkins' (p=0.05). *Alternaria* caused the lowest losses to all three cultivars as compared to the other two fungal pathogens (**Fig. 1**).

Metabolite profiles and volatile markers of cultivar × disease

A total number of 730 metabolites were detected in all treatments with 251, 255 and 224 volatile peaks in 'Tommy Atkins', 'Kent' and 'Keitt' cultivars, respectively. The relative abundance of compounds ranged between 1×10^5 and 2.3×10^5 . Twenty compounds were found to appear relatively 10^{10} consistently among replicates in 'Kent' mangoes inoculated with various treatments (Table 1). Propanoic acid ethyl ester and 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester were unique to 'Kent' mangoes inoculated with Lasiodiplodia. Similarly, 2-butenoic acid, methyl ester were unique to 'Kent' mangoes inoculated with Colletotrichum. Ethyl acetate, butanoic acid, ethyl ester and bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene were specific to Lasiodiplodia and Colletotrichum. Thirty four compounds were found to appear relatively consistently among replicates in 'Keitt' mangoes inoculated with various treatments (Table 2). Octanoic acid, methyl ester was unique to 'Keitt' mangoes inoculated with Colletotrichum. Similarly, boronic acid, ethyl- was specific to Lasiodiplodia and Colletotrichum while 1,4-pentadiene was specific to non-wounded-noninoculated and wounded-non-inoculated 'Keitt'mangoes. Thirty compounds were found to appear relatively consistently among replicates in 'Tommy Atkins' mangoes inoculated with various treatments (Table 3). 1-butanol and propanoic acid, ethyl ester were specific to 'Tommy Atkins' mangoes inoculated with Lasiodiplodia and Colletotrichum.



Fig. 1 Bar graph of disease severity of the causal agents of three fungal diseases on each of three mango cultivars 'Keitt', 'Kent' and 'Tommy Atkins'. Bar labels show the results of Duncan's multiple range test at $\alpha = 0.05$, Bars with the same letter are not significantly different at 5%. AAL = Alternaria alternata, CGL = Colletotrichum gloeosporioides LTH = Lasiodiplodia theobromae.

Disease discriminability of metabolite profiles

A metabolic fingerprint of each specific entry (cultivar \times disease combination) consisting of 43 relatively consistent volatiles was determined based on the abundance of mass ions (46–195 m/z) and subjected to discriminant analysis (DA) through PROC DISCRIM of SAS 8.2. The entries were grouped according to disease in separate cultivars and also in the data produced by pooling all cultivars. The accuracy of classification of entries into their respective groups was evaluated for discriminant analysis model by computing error rates of classification based on resubstitution and jack-knife procedures.

The mean of the rate of classification of entries into their relevant group (cultivar and disease) was globally higher than 50% with the best results for cultivar 'Keitt' with 63.8 and 57.5% resubstituted and cross validated metabolite based classification rates. The average error rates for resubstitution and cross validation when data of each cultivar was used separately to develop separate models for each cultivar were 41.27 and 44.27%, respectively while the error rates increased to 43. 70 and 52.40% when metabolite

| Table 2 Volatile metabolites and their average abundances (×10 ⁵) detected in the | headspace gas of mango fruits (cv. | 'Keitt') inoculated with water or three |
|---|------------------------------------|---|
| plant pathogens. ^a | | |

| RN ^b | Compounds | Alternaria | Colletotrichum | Lasiodiplodia | N-control | W-control | Inoculation |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 35 | Octanoic acid, methyl ester | | 18 | | | | С |
| 15 | Boronic acid, ethyl- | | 5 | 57 | | | CL |
| 4 | 1,4-Pentadiene | | | | 1 | 1 | NW |
| 2 | 1,2,4-Benzenetricarboxylic acid, 1,2-dimethyl ester | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | CNW |
| 3 | 1,4-Cyclohexadiene, 1-methyl- | | 13 | | 4 | 5 | CNW |
| 11 | Acetic acid, methyl ester | | 7 | 5 | 1 | 8 | CLNW |
| 21 | Cycloheptane, bromo- | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 4 | CLNW |
| 22 | Cyclohexane | | 4 | 2 | 4 | 7 | CLNW |
| 23 | Cyclohexane, 1,3-dimethyl-, cis- | | 36 | 34 | 29 | 39 | CLNW |
| 24 | Cyclohexane, ethyl- | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | CLNW |
| 25 | Cyclohexane, methyl- | | 59 | 53 | 63 | 72 | CLNW |
| 31 | Furan, 2-methyl- | | 3 | 3 | 23 | 8 | CLNW |
| 41 | Terpinolene | | 418 | 621 | 367 | 325 | CLNW |
| 43 | Trichloromonofluoromethane | | 5 | 2 | 14 | 16 | CLNW |
| 18 | Butanoic acid, methyl ester | 7 | 8 | 4 | | 1 | ACLW |
| 6 | 2-Butenoic acid, ethyl ester | 151 | 16 | 50 | 1 | 9 | ACLNW |
| 7 | 2-Butenoic acid, methyl ester, (Z) - | 13 | 85 | 20 | 1 | 11 | ACLNW |
| 8 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | 267 | 351 | 450 | 28 | 305 | ACLNW |
| 9 | 3-Carene | 25573 | 28587 | 28711 | 19081 | 18595 | ACLNW |
| 45 | α-Phellandrene | 95 | 7 | 67 | 54 | 42 | ACLNW |
| 44 | α-Pinene | 115 | 177 | 265 | 162 | 163 | ACLNW |
| 12 | Benzene, tert-butyl- | 32 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ACLNW |
| 13 | Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene-, (1S)- | 483 | 150 | 103 | 178 | 175 | ACLNW |
| 17 | Butanoic acid, ethyl ester | 853 | 179 | 422 | 14 | 135 | ACLNW |
| 20 | Chloroform | 58 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 12 | ACLNW |
| 28 | Ethanol | 7579 | 1283 | 14821 | 31 | 412 | ACLNW |
| 29 | Ethyl acetate | 253 | 32 | 103 | 1 | 846 | ACLNW |
| 30 | Ethylbenzene | 3 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 7 | ACLNW |
| 32 | Hexanoic acid, ethyl ester | 1091 | 341 | 467 | 27 | 303 | ACLNW |
| 33 | Limonene | 514 | 509 | 713 | 482 | 385 | ACLNW |
| 36 | Propanoic acid, ethyl ester | 184 | 19 | 98 | 1 | 27 | ACLNW |
| 39 | Silanol, trimethyl- | 95 | 24 | 16 | 30 | 33 | ACLNW |
| 40 | Styrene | 218 | 127 | 944 | 3 | 1 | ACLNW |
| 42 | Toluene | 55 | 150 | 161 | 149 | 148 | ACLNW |
| ^a A : A | llternaria alternata: C : Colletotrichum gloeosporioides: L: Lasiodin | lodia theobrom | ae. N. non-wounded | -non-inoculated cor | trol: W: woun | ded-non-inocu | lated control |

^b \mathbf{RN} = Metabolite reference number of the metabolites tentatively identified in this study (see **Supplementary Table**).

fingerprints of all cultivars were pooled to develop general discriminant models for each disease (Table 4). According to our results on disease classification of three cultivars, 'Keitt' showed the best volatile based-classification among the three mango cultivars (with 57.5 total rate of cross validated classification). The rate of cross validated classification on both separate cultivars and pooled data of all cultivars belonged to Alternaria alternata treatment with a mean rate of classification of 91.9% with only 8.1% misclassification). The rate of correct cross-validated classification for A. alternata ranged from 93.8% (in 'Tommy Atkins') to 100% (in both 'Keitt' and 'Kent') with slightly lower classification rate of 73.8% (for pooled data of all cultivars). The problem with metabolic profile based DA models was their high false negatives, i.e. the high rates of misclassification of wounded water inoculated control (Wcontrol) into other groups.

Mass ion fingerprinting of cultivar × disease

The discriminant models developed based on mass ion fingerprints of each entry correctly classified 100% of entries (with a mean of error rate = 0%) into their respective disease-cultivar groups when DA models were developed separately. The cross-validation results also showed an excellent capability for the separate DA models to correctly classify 100% (error rate = 0) of the observations into their groups (**Table 4**). When the data for both 'Keitt' and 'Tommy Atkins' cultivars were pooled and a global DA model was developed, the results however showed a reduced classification rate (80.6% correct classification based on resubstitution and cross validation with a global error rate of 19.4%), yet the results pictured a far superior classification

as compared to near 50% mean error rates for metabolite fingerprint based classification.

The DA model developed on pooled data for two mango cultivars for the *Alternaria alternata* treatment was the best and classified 100% of entries into this treatment correctly (without any error) in both resubstitution and cross validation runs. Although the DA model for *C. gloeosporioides* treatment was better than the others for disease discrimination, it was slightly worse than the pooled DA model for *A. alternata* and the resubstitution and cross validation classification rates were 96.9% (with only 3.1 misclassification of *A. alternata* into other groups) (**Table 4**).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to provide data on the headspace volatile metabolite composition of three different mango cultivars inoculated with three different pathogens. The study provided the basis for discriminating postharvest diseases caused by Alternaria alternata, Colletotrichum gloesporioides and Lasiodiplodia theobromae in 'Kent', 'Keitt' and 'Tommy Atkins' mangoes. Early detection of these postharvest diseases based on the symptoms they cause on the fruits is not easy. The amount of injury caused to the fruits in each of these pathosystems showed a similar pattern however not adequate to discriminate pathogen/cultivar entries. C. gloesporioides and L. theobromae cannot be differentiated based on fruit disease severity on neither of the 'Keitt' and 'Kent' mangoes. On the other hand the injury to fruit flesh on 'Tommy Atkins' mango caused by the three fungi could be differentiated based on disease severity and A. alternata always caused the lowest loss to all mango cultivars (Fig. 1). The present study revealed that there are

| Table 3 Volatile metabolites and their average | abundances (×10 ⁵) |) detected in the | e headspace gas | of mango | fruits (cv. | 'Tommy | Atkins') | inoculated | with |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|--------|----------|------------|------|
| water or three plant pathogens. | | | | | | | | | |

| RN ^b | Compounds | Alternaria | Colletotrichum | Lasiodiplodia | N-control | W-control | Inoculation |
|-----------------|---|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| 1 | 1-Butanol | | 56 | 59 | | | CL |
| 36 | Propanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 70 | 95 | | | CL |
| 40 | Styrene | 48 | 2765 | 2701 | | | ACL |
| 7 | 2-Butenoic acid, methyl ester, (Z)- | | 131 | | 15 | 41 | CNW |
| 35 | Octanoic acid, methyl ester | | 3 | | 21 | 17 | CNW |
| 6 | 2-Butenoic acid, ethyl ester | | 163 | 232 | 2 | 19 | CLNW |
| 8 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | | 2271 | 1256 | 49 | 532 | CLNW |
| 13 | Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene-, (1S)- | | 1776 | 3261 | 1068 | 3329 | CLNW |
| 16 | Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | | 13 | 138 | 2 | 1 | CLNW |
| 17 | Butanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 1193 | 1314 | 70 | 115 | CLNW |
| 23 | Cyclohexane, 1,3-dimethyl-, cis- | | 32 | 38 | 53 | 15 | CLNW |
| 25 | Cyclohexane, methyl- | | 63 | 50 | 59 | 46 | CLNW |
| 27 | (E)-3-Caren-2-ol | | 1014 | 684 | 363 | 580 | CLNW |
| 29 | Ethyl Acetate | | 278 | 245 | 6 | 354 | CLNW |
| 32 | Hexanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 3787 | 1878 | 317 | 1281 | CLNW |
| 34 | Octanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 424 | 79 | 13 | 63 | CLNW |
| 20 | Chloroform | 20 | | 31 | 1 | 6 | ALNW |
| 30 | Ethylbenzene | 19 | | 3 | 2 | 2 | ALNW |
| 5 | 2,4,6-Octatriene, 2,6-dimethyl- | 875 | 285 | 905 | 84 | 872 | ACLNW |
| 9 | 3-Carene | 85827 | 92578 | 144753 | 53375 | 63080 | ACLNW |
| 10 | 3-Pentanone | 1 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 6 | ACLNW |
| 45 | α-Phellandrene | 1541 | 307 | 379 | 276 | 453 | ACLNW |
| 44 | α-Pinene | 23610 | 25452 | 48093 | 14925 | 20173 | ACLNW |
| 14 | Borane-methyl sulfide complex | 187 | 328 | 16 | 274 | 323 | ACLNW |
| 19 | Camphene | 882 | 536 | 1334 | 417 | 1383 | ACLNW |
| 26 | Cyclohexene, 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethylidene)- | 989 | 2332 | 3796 | 1276 | 5186 | ACLNW |
| 28 | Ethanol | 14292 | 9152 | 41008 | 290 | 242 | ACLNW |
| 31 | Furan, 2-methyl- | 19 | 52 | 9 | 35 | 35 | ACLNW |
| 33 | Limonene | 8062 | 4520 | 7941 | 2307 | 3803 | ACLNW |
| 42 | Toluene | 184 | 351 | 210 | 176 | 161 | ACLNW |

^b **RN** = Metabolite reference number of the metabolites tentatively identified in this study (see **Supplementary Table**).

| Table 4 Percentage of entries correctly classified into | their group by re-substitution. | , and cross-validation of | of discriminant analysis | models as well as their |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| respective error rates based on metabolic and mass ion | fingerprints. | | | |

| Molecular response | Mango cultivar(s) | Validation method | | % of (| Observatio | ons classif | ied into th | eir group* | ł |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------|
| | | | Α | С | L | Ν | W | Total | Error |
| Classification based on | Both Tommy Atkins and Keitt | cross validation | 100 | 96.9 | 56.3 | 81.3 | 68.8 | 80.6 | 19.4 |
| Mass ion fingerprint | | resubstitution | 100 | 96.9 | 62.5 | 78.1 | 65.6 | 80.6 | 19.4 |
| | Tommy Atkins | cross validation | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 |
| | | resubstitution | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 |
| | Keitt | cross validation | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 |
| | | resubstitution | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 |
| Classification based on | All cultivars pooled | cross validation | 73.8 | 28.6 | 45.2 | 50.0 | 40.5 | 47.6 | 52.4 |
| Metabolic Profile | | resubstitution | 81.0 | 47.6 | 50.0 | 52.4 | 52.4 | 56.7 | 43.3 |
| | Tommy Atkins | cross validation | 100 | 25.0 | 31.3 | 43.8 | 75.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 |
| | | resubstitution | 93.8 | 31.3 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 12.5 | 57.5 | 42.5 |
| | Keitt | cross validation | 93.8 | 31.3 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 12.5 | 57.5 | 42.5 |
| | | resubstitution | 93.8 | 31.3 | 93.8 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 63.8 | 36.3 |
| | Kent | cross validation | 100 | 25.0 | 31.3 | 43.8 | 75.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 |

A: Alternaria alternata; C: Colletotrichum gloeosporioides; L: Lasiodiplodia theobromae; N: non-wounded-non-inoculated control; W: wounded-non-inoculated control.

several compounds which are unique to a pathosystem (disease/inoculation). These compounds could be qualitatively used in discrimination of diseases.

2-Butaneoic acid, methyl ester was unique to *Colleto-trichum* inoculated 'Kent' mangoes while propanoic acid, ethyl ester and 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-ethyl ester were unique to *Lasiodiplodia* inoculated 'Kent' mangoes. Similarly, Octanoic acid methyl ester was unique to *Colleto-trichum* inoculated 'Keitt' mangoes. The above mentioned compounds can be used as a tool to diagnose the respective diseases. In an earlier study compounds like 1-pentanol, 3-methylbutanol, 2-methylpropanol, 2,3-butanedione, ethyl boronate, isopentyl methyl ether and ethane ethoxy were reported to be unique for bacterial soft rot of carrot caused by *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* (Vikram *et al.* 2006). Similarly, Laothawornkitkul *et al.* (2010) found the presence of three marker volatiles namely (*E*)-2-hexenal, 5-ethyl-2(5H)-furanone and benzene-ethanol in potato plants

infected with *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary after analyzing the headspace samples using gas chromatography - flame ionization detector (GC-FID). In another study Rizzi *et al.* (2009) detected the presence of volatiles like isobutyl-acetate, 3-methyl-1-butanol and, 3-octanone in maize seeds infected with *Fusarium graminearum* and *F. moniliforme* by using headspace samples of infected maize seeds in a gas-chromatograph equipped with a capillary column TR-WAX.

Disease-specific volatile metabolites have been used earlier in discrimination of diseased fruits and vegetables. In 'McIntosh' apples, fluoroethene and 3,4-dimethyl-1hexene were specific to blue mold rot caused by *Penicillium expansum* while butanoic acid butyl ester, 4-methyl-1hexene and 2-methyltetrazole were specific to mucor rot caused by *Mucor piriformis* (Vikram *et al.* 2004a). Correspondingly, acetic acid methyl ester and fluoroethane were specific to gray mold rot and brown rot, respectively. In potato tubers, acetic acid ethenyl ester was unique to *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* while cylcohexene diazene and methoxy-(1,1-dimethyl-2-dihydroxy-ethyl)-amine were unique to *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* and 2,5-norbornadiene and styrene were unique to *Fusarium sambucinum* (Lui *et al.* 2005). Disease specific volatiles have also been detected in onions (Prithiviraj *et al.* 2004; Vikram *et al.* 2005), carrots (Vikram *et al.* 2006), different apple cultivars (Vikram *et al.* 2004b), cereal grains (Magan and Evans 2000) and mangoes (Moalemiyan *et al.* 2006, 2007).

In the present trial several metabolites were common to a few but not all treatments. 1-Butanol and propanoic acid, ethyl ester was common to Colletotrichum and Lasiodiplodia inoculated 'Tommy Atkin' mangoes while styrene was common to Alternaria, Colletotrichum and Lasiodiplodia. These compounds were detected only in pathogen inoculated treatments but not in both the controls. These volatiles were detected in an earlier study in pathogen inoculated mangoes but absent in healthy mangoes (Narain and Galavao 2004; Moalemiyan et al. 2006). Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene, butanoic acid, ethyl ester and ethyl acetate were detected in 'Kent' mangoes inoculated with Colletotrichum and Lasiodiplodia while boronic acid, ethyl- were detected in 'Keitt' mangoes inoculated with same pathogens (Moalemiyan et al. 2007). These volatiles were not detected in 'Kent' and 'Keitt' mangoes inoculated with Alternaria and can be used in discrimination of these diseases. 2-Butenoic acid, methyl ester and octanoic acid, methyl ester were also common to Colletotrichum, N-control and W-control in 'Tommy Atkins' mangoes while 1,2,4benzenetricarboxylic acid, 1,2-dimethyl ester and 1,4-cyclohexadiene, 1-methyl- were common to the same treatments in 'Keitt' mangoes. All the above mentioned compounds can also be used in discriminating diseases of mango under conditions where unique compounds are not very consistent or absent (Moalemiyan et al. 2006, 2007)

It is reported that butanoic acid methyl ester is a characteristic aroma compound present in 'Keitt' mangoes (Pino et al. 2005). Butanoic acid methyl ester and octanoic acid methyl ester were detected only in pathogen inoculated 'Keitt' mangoes but absent in healthy ones (Moalemiyan et al. 2007). These compounds were in higher levels in pathogen inoculated treatments and so were detected while their absence in healthy mangoes could be ascribed to the fact that the compounds with lower abundances were not identified in the present trial (Pino et al. 2005; Moalemiyan et al. 2007). Although some compounds were present in all treatments, their abundance was higher in pathogen inoculated mangoes than in controls. The abundance of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester, butanoic acid, ethyl ester, (E)-3caren-2-ol, hexanoic acid, ethyl ester, octanoic acid, ethyl ester, 3-carene, limonene and ethanol were several folds higher in pathogen inoculated 'Tommy Atkins' mangoes than in controls. Similarly, the abundance of terpinolene, 2butenoic acid, ethyl ester, 3-carene, butanoic acid, ethyl ester, ethanol, hexanoic acid, ethyl ester, propanoic acid, ethyl ester and styrene were several folds higher in pathogen inoculated 'Keitt' mangoes than in controls. In the same manner, the abundance of styrene, limonene, 3-carene and ethanol were higher in pathogen inoculated treatments compared to control. All these compounds can be used in discrimination of diseases and also in conditions where there is absence of unique compounds. The presence of styrene, detected in all treatments in 'Keitt' mangoes, is in agreement with earlier studies and their abundance was several times higher in pathogen inoculated treatments than in controls (Pino et al. 2005; Moalemiyan et al. 2007). In all the three cultivars of mango ('Tommy Atkins', 'Keitt' and 'Kent'), 3-carene, α -pinene and ethanol were the most abundant metabolites in the present trial which is in confirmation with the studies done on mango by other workers (MacLeod and Snyder 1985; Malundo et al. 1997; Moalemiyan et al. 2006, 2007). Other metabolites detected in our study in 'Tommy Atkins', 'Kent' and 'Keitt' mangoes like

toluene, ethyl acetate, limonene, terpinolene, 2-methylfuran and camphene have earlier been detected by other workers in these mangoes (Narain and Galavao 2004; Moalemiyan *et al.* 2006, 2007). Butanoic acid ethyl ester and ethyl 2methyl butanoate are mango aroma esters and have been detected in all the three mango cultivars in the present study and reported by earlier workers (MacLeod and Snyder 1985; Narain and Galavao 2004; Moalemiyan *et al.* 2006, 2007). The presence of butanoic acid ethyl ester in other mango cultivars like 'Baladi', 'Kensington Pride' and several from Cuba has been reported in Egypt, Cuba and Australia (Engel and Tressl 1983; Bartley and Schwede 1987; Pino *et al.* 1989). These compounds were present in higher amounts in infected mangoes than the healthy ones in our study.

In the present study, the discriminant models (DA) based on metabolic fingerprints using abundance of 150 mass ions classified observations to their respective treatments better than those based on abundance of relatively consistent metabolites. Similar results were obtained when DA models were developed for 'Keitt' and 'Tommy Atkins' cultivars in an earlier study with two plant pathogens (Moalemiyan et al. 2006, 2007). The lower classification rate in models developed using abundance of relatively consistent metabolites could be due to the fact that unique metabolites with high discriminability were excluded from the analysis and also owing to the fact that not all peaks detected in the study were included in the analysis. Depending on the treatments DA-models correctly classified up to 100% of the observations. Marsili (1999) used multivariate analysis to discriminate different abiotic and biotic factors responsible for milk spoilage. For cross-validation of DA-models in our study we have used jack-knife method. The crossvalidation method used in this study is unbiased although it does not eliminate the necessity of the commonly preferred test validation of the models which is done by using a data set not used in model development. The test-validation of DA-models was done using a data set which was not used in developing the model. DA-models and principal component analysis has been used earlier to discriminate five diseases of onion bulbs (Vikram et al. 2005). The models developed based on relative abundance of mass ions are very easy to develop and can be automated for user-friendly applications. However, the applicability of compound-based models depends on correct identification of compounds. The advantage of DA based discrimination in the absence of unique metabolite markers is the capacity of automation of the method which brings about rapid and early detection of the diseased storage produce.

The methods devised in the present trial to discriminate mango diseases in different cultivars can be used by storage managers to make a decision on the length of product storage or in choosing which lots can be stored for longer period of time. The methods developed needs to be validated under commercial conditions to assess the quality of mangoes either before harvest, at harvest or during storage. In addition volatiles can be collected in air tight containers by placing the samples for up to 3 hours and then GC/MS can be used to trap and analyze volatiles. The discriminant models developed based on mass ions can be used to create algorithms as the main core of computer based packages. These programs may be installed on computers attached to a portable GC/MS and make possible real time disease identification based on discriminatory mass ion. One great advantage of such method is its independence from convoluted and challenging process of compound identification.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada for funding this project and also to Aliments IMEX Foods Inc., Montreal, Quebec, for providing the mango fruits for this study. The authors are also thankful to Dr. Randy C. Ploetz, University of Florida, the Tropical Research and Educational Center, Homestead, Florida, for providing fungal isolates for the present study. Supplementary Table Names (based on NIST library) of the metabolites detected in the headspace gas of mango fruits (cultivars 'Kent', 'Keitt' and 'Tommy Atkins') inoculated with water or three plant pathogens

| RN | Compounds | | ш | ~ | | | Inoculation | Cultivar |
|-------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | lternaria | Jolletotrichu | asiodiplodia | V-control | V-control | | |
| | | Y | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | | |
| 1 2 3 | 1-Butanol 1,2,4-Benzenetricarboxylic acid, 1,2-dimethyl ester 1,4-Cyclohexadiene, 1-methyl- | | 56 1 13 | 59 | 1 4 | 1 5 | CL CNW CNW | Tommy Atkins Keitt Keitt |
| 4 | 1,4-Pentadiene | | | | 1 | 1 | NW | Keitt |
| 5 | 2,4,6-Octatriene, 2,6-dimethyl- | 875 | 285 | 905 | 84 | 872 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 6 | 2-Butenoic acid, ethyl ester | 151 | 16 | 50 | 1 | 9 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 6 7 | 2-Butenoic acid, ethyl ester (7) | | 163 | 232 | 2 | 19 | CLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 7 | 2-Butenoic acid, methyl ester (Z)- | 13 | / 85 | 20 | 1 | 11 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 7 | 2-Butenoic acid, methyl ester, (Z)- | 10 | 131 | 20 | 15 | 41 | CNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 8 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | | | 107 | | | L | Kent |
| 8 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | 267 | 351 | 450 | 28 | 305 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 8 | 2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | | 2271 | 1256 | 49 | 532 | CLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 9 | 3-Carene | 4419 | 12113 | 4928 | 2441 | 2659 | ACLNW | Kent |
| 9 | 3-Carene | 25575 85827 | 28387 92578 | 144753 | 53375 | 63080 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 10 | 3-Pentanone | 1 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 6 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 11 | Acetic acid, methyl ester | | 7 | 5 | 1 | 8 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 12 | Benzene, tert-butyl- | 7 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 5 | ACLNW | Kent |
| 12 | Benzene, tert-butyl- | 32 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 13 | Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene-, (1S)- | 102 | 2 | 204 | 179 | 175 | | Kent Kaitt |
| 13 | Bicyclo[3.1.1]neptane, 6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene-, (1S)- | 485 | 150 | 3261 | 1/8 | 1/5 | ACLN W | Tommy Atkins |
| 14 | Borane-methyl sulfide complex | 187 | 328 | 16 | 274 | 323 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 15 | Boronic acid, ethyl- | | 5 | 57 | | | CL | Keitt |
| 16 | Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester | | 13 | 138 | 2 | 1 | CLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 17 | Butanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 84 | 209 | | | CL | Kent |
| 17 | Butanoic acid, ethyl ester | 853 | 179 | 422 | 14 | 135 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 17 | Butanoic acid, ethyl ester | 7 | 8 | 1314 4 | /0 | 115 | ACIW | Iommy Atkins Keitt |
| 19 | Camphene | , 882 | 536 | 1334 | 417 | 1383 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 20 | Chloroform | 27 | 7 | 2 | 17 | 22 | ACLNW | Kent |
| 20 | Chloroform | 58 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 12 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 20 | Chloroform | 20 | | 31 | 1 | 6 | ALNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 21 | Cycloheptane, bromo- | | 8 | 1 | 5 | 4 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 22 | Cyclohexane | | 4 | 2 | 4 | 20 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 23 | Cyclohexane, 1,3-dimethyl-, cis- | | 30 | 38 | 29 53 | 15 | CLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 24 | Cyclohexane, ethyl- | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 25 | Cyclohexane, methyl- | | 59 | 53 | 63 | 72 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 25 | Cyclohexane, methyl- | | 63 | 50 | 59 | 46 | CLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 26 | Cyclohexene, 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethylidene)- | 62 | 176 | 69 2706 | 277 | 34 | ACLNW | Kent |
| 26 | (F) 2 Coron 2 ol | 989 | 2332 | 3796 684 | 12/6 | 5186 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 28 | Ethanol | 15303 | 21671 | 25585 | 7692 | 346 | ACLNW | Kent |
| 28 | Ethanol | 7579 | 1283 | 14821 | 31 | 412 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 28 | Ethanol | 14292 | 9152 | 41008 | 290 | 242 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 29 | Ethyl Acetate | | 4 | 81 | | | CL | Kent |
| 29 | Ethyl Acetate | 253 | 32 | 103 | 1 | 846 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 29 | Ethyl Acetate Ethylbenzene | 11 | 278 | 245 | 6 | 354 4 | CLNW ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 30 | Ethylbenzene | 3 | 4 | 10 | 0 7 | 4 7 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 30 | Ethylbenzene | 19 | | 3 | 2 | 2 | ALNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 31 | Furan, 2-methyl- | | 84 | 9 | 128 | | CLN | Kent |
| 31 | Furan, 2-methyl- | | 3 | 3 | 23 | 8 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 31 | Furan, 2-methyl- | 19 | 52 | 9 | 35 | 35 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 32 | Hexanoic acid, ethyl ester | 1001 | 24 341 | 467 | 24 27 | 303 | CN ACLNW | Kent |
| 32 | Hexanoic acid, ethyl ester | 1071 | 3787 | 1878 | 317 | 1281 | CLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 33 | Limonene | 65 | 29 | 49 | <i>c</i> . <i>i</i> | 15 | ACLW | Kent |
| 33 | Limonene | 514 | 509 | 713 | 482 | 385 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 33 | Limonene | 8062 | 4520 | 7941 | 2307 | 3803 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 34 | Octanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 424 | 79 | 13 | 63 | CLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 35 35 | Octanoic acid, methyl ester | | 18 3 | | 21 | 17 | CNW | Nelli Tommy Atkins |
| 55 | Sounder and, monyr oster | | 5 | | <i>4</i> 1 | 1 / | U1111 | ioning Atkins |

| Sup | plementary Table (Cont.) | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| RN | Compounds | Alternaria | Colletotrichum | Lasiodiplodia | N-control | W-control | Inoculation | Cultivar |
| 36 | Propanoic acid, ethyl ester | | | 40 | | | L | Kent |
| 36 | Propanoic acid, ethyl ester | 184 | 19 | 98 | 1 | 27 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 36 | Propanoic acid, ethyl ester | | 70 | 95 | | | CL | Tommy Atkins |
| 39 | Silanol, trimethyl | 14 | 10 | | 17 | 20 | ACNW | Kent |
| 39 | Silanol, trimethyl- | 95 | 24 | 16 | 30 | 33 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 40 | Styrene | | 8 | 4397 | 3 | 4 | CLNW | Kent |
| 40 | Styrene | 218 | 127 | 944 | 3 | 1 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 40 | Styrene | 48 | 2765 | 2701 | | | ACL | Tommy Atkins |
| 41 | Terpinolene | | 418 | 621 | 367 | 325 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 42 | Toluene | 37 | 41 | 25 | 63 | 38 | ACLNW | Kent |
| 42 | Toluene | 55 | 150 | 161 | 149 | 148 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 42 | Toluene | 184 | 351 | 210 | 176 | 161 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 43 | Trichloromonofluoromethane | | 5 | 2 | 14 | 16 | CLNW | Keitt |
| 44 | α -Pinene | 7 | | | 41 | 3 | ANW | Kent |
| 44 | α -Pinene | 115 | 177 | 265 | 162 | 163 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 44 | α -Pinene | 23610 | 25452 | 48093 | 14925 | 20173 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |
| 45 | α-Phellandrene | | | 25 | 4 | 31 | LNW | Kent |
| 45 | α-Phellandrene | 95 | 7 | 67 | 54 | 42 | ACLNW | Keitt |
| 45 | α-Phellandrene | 1541 | 307 | 379 | 276 | 453 | ACLNW | Tommy Atkins |

A: Alternaria alternata; C: Colletotrichum gloeosporioides; L: Lasiodiplodia theobromae; N: non-wounded-non-inoculated control; W: wounded-non-inoculated control.

REFERENCES

236

- Abarshi MM, Mohammed IU, Wasswa P, Hillocks RJ, Holt J, Legg JP, Seal SE, Maruthi MN (2010) Optimization of diagnostic RT-PCR protocols and sampling procedures for the reliable and cost-effective detection of *Cassava brown streak virus. Journal of Virological Methods* **163**, 353-359
- Barkai-Golan R (2001) Postharvest Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 418 pp
- Bartley JP, Schwede A (1987) Volatile flavor components in the headspace of the Australian or Bowen mango. *Journal of Food Science* **52**, 353-360
- Engel KH, Tressl R (1983) Studies on the volatile components of two mango varieties. Journal of Aericulture and Food Chemistry 31, 796-801
- FAO STAT (2007) FAO Statistics, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. http://faostat.fao.org/
- Fitzel RD, Peak CM (1984) The epidemiology of anthracnose disease of mango: Inoculum sources, spore production and dispersal. Annals of Applied Biology 104, 53-59
- Johnson D (1998) Applied Multivariate Methods for Data Analysts, Duxbury Press, Albany, 565 pp
- Johnson G, Cooke T, Mead A (1993) Infection and quiescence of mango stemend rot pathogens. *Acta Horticulturae* **341**, 329-336
- Lalel HJD, Singh Z, Tan SC (2003) Glycosidically-bound aroma volatile compounds in the skin and pulp of (Kensington Pride) mango fruit at different stages of maturity. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 29, 205-218
- Laothawornkitkul J, Jansen RMC, Smid HM, Bouwmeester HJ, Muller J, van Bruggen AHC (2010) Volatile organic compounds as a diagnostic marker of late blight infected potato plants: A pilot study. Crop Protection 29, 872-878
- Lui LH, Vikram A, Abu-Nada Y, Kushalappa AC, Raghavan GSV, Al-Mughrabi K (2005) Volatile metabolic profiling for discrimination of potato tubers inoculated with dry and soft rot pathogens. *American Journal of Potato Research* 82, 1-8
- MacLeod AJ, Snyder CH (1985) Volatile components of two cultivars of mango from Florida. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 33, 380-384
- Magan N, Evans P (2000) Volatiles in grain as an indicator of fungal spoilage, odor descriptors for classifying spoiled grain and the potential for early detection using electronic nose technology: A review. *Journal of Stored Product Protection* 36, 319-340
- Malundo TMM, Baldwin EA, Moshonas MG, Baker RA, Shewfelt RL (1997) Method for the rapid headspace analysis of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) homogenate volatile constituents and factors affecting quantitative results. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **45**, 2187-2194
- Marsili RT (1999) SPME-MS-MVA as an electronic nose for the study of offflavour in milk. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 47, 648-654
- Mathioudakis MM, Maliogka VI, Dovas CI, Paunović S, Katis NI (2009) Reliable RT-PCR detection of *Apple stem pitting virus* in pome fruits and its association with quince fruit deformation disease. *Plant Pathology* 58, 228-

- Meyer UM, Spotts RA, Dewey FM (2000) Detection and quantification of *Botrytis cinerea* by ELISA in pear stems during storage. *Plant Disease* 84, 1099-1103
- Moalemiyan M, Vikram A, Kushalappa AC (2007) Detection and discrimination of two fungal diseases of mango (cv. Keitt) fruits based on volatile metabolite profiles using GC/MS. Post Harvest Biology and Technology 45, 117-125
- Moalemiyan M, Vikram A, Kushalappa AC, Yaylayan V (2006) Volatile metabolite profiling to detect and discriminate stem-end rot and anthracnose diseases of mango fruits. *Plant Pathology* 55, 792-802
- Narain N, Galavao MS (2004) Volatile aroma compounds in mango fruit cv. Tommy Atkins – A preliminary study. Acta Horticulturae 645, 671-676
- Pino J, Rosado A, Sanchez R (1989) Volatile components of three cultivars of mango from Cuba. Nahrung 33, 709-715
- Pino JA, Meso J, Munoz Y, Marti MP, Marbot R (2005) Volatile components from mango cultivars. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 53, 2213-2223
- Prithiviraj B, Vikram A, Kushalappa AC, Yaylayan V (2004) Volatile metabolite profiling for the discrimination of onion bulbs infected by *Erwinia* carotovora ssp. carotovora, Fusarium oxysporum and Botrytis allii. European Journal of Plant Pathology 110, 371-377
- Rizzi L, Galuppi R, Simioli M, Canestrari G, Bonoli C, Tampieri MP (2009) Grains colonised by moulds: Fungal identification and headspace analysis of produced volatile metabolites. *Italian Journal of Animal Sciences* 8, 334-336
- Shibamato T, Tang S (1990) Minor tropical fruit-mango, papaya, passion fruit and guava. In: Morton ID, MacLeod AJ (Eds) Food Flavours: Part C. The Flavour of Fruit, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 221-234
- Singh Z, Herianus JD, Suresh N (2004) A review of mango fruit aroma volatile compounds-state of the art research. Acta Horticulturae 645, 519-527
- Vikram A, Hamzezharghani H, Kushalappa AC (2005) Volatile metabolites from the headspace of onion bulbs inoculated with post harvest pathogens as a tool for disease discrimination. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* 27, 194-203
- Vikram A, Lui LH, Hossain A, Kushalappa AC (2006) Metabolic fingerprinting to discriminate diseases of stored carrots. *Annals of Applied Biology* 148, 17-26
- Vikram A, Prithviraj B, Hamzezharghani H, Kushalappa AC (2004a) Volatile metabolite profiling to discriminate diseases of McIntosh apple inoculated with fungal pathogens. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 84, 1333-1340
- Vikram A, Prithviraj B, Kushalappa AC (2004b) Use of volatile metabolite profiles to discriminate diseases of Cortland and Empire apples. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 86, 215-225
- Winterhalter P (1991) Volatile Compounds in Foods and Beverages, Marcel Dekker, New York, 395 pp