

Expression Analysis of Flower MADS-box Genes in Saffron *Crocus (Crocus sativus* L.) Supports a Modified ABCDE Model

Athanasios S. Tsaftaris^{1,2*} • Apostolos Kalivas¹ • Konstantinos Pasentsis¹ • Anagnostis Argiriou¹

¹ Institute of Agrobiotechnology, Center for Research and Technology Hellas, Thermi, GR-570 01 Greece ² Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, GR-541 24 Greece

Corresponding author: * tsaft@certh.gr

ABSTRACT

Crocus sativus L. is a monocot triploid species, member of the family Iridaceae, and is considered to be the highest priced spice in the world. It is cultivated for its flowers and more specifically for its red stigmas. The flower of *Crocus* is bisexual and it is sterile. The dry form of stigmas constitutes saffron. In order to uncover and understand the molecular mechanisms controlling flower development in cultivated *Crocus* and its relative wild progenitor species, and characterize a number of *Crocus* flower mutants we have cloned and characterized different full length cDNA sequences encoding MADS-box transcription factors belonging to the different ABC and E-class MADS box proteins. Herein, we review the isolation of *Crocus* MADS box genes and primarily discuss their expression patterns in leaves and the four flower organs: outer tepals, stamens and carpels. Expression analysis of the isolated MADS box genes support the hypothesis that a modified ABCDE model in the flower of *Crocus* is responsible for the development of the different *Crocus* flower organs and the transformation of the sepals and petals into tepaloid organs, designated outer tepals and inner tepals, respectively.

Keywords: flower, gene expression, MADS-box genes, monocots

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	38
THE FLOWER OF <i>CROCUS</i>	39
EXPRESSION OF <i>CROCUS</i> MADS-BOX GENES IN FLOWER	40
B-class MADS-box genes	40
C-class MADS-box genes	41
E-class MADS-box genes	42
CONCLUSIONS	43
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	43
REFERENCES	43

INTRODUCTION

Flowering plants or angiosperms represent one of the most successful and diverse groups of organisms on the planet, with more than 250,000 extant species in the wild and thousands more varieties generated by horticulturists through hybridization and other breeding methods. Although angiosperms such as orchids, roses and snapdragons have very characteristic flowers, most flowers contain four organ types and they have highly conserved developmental molecular mechanisms (Krizek and Fletcher 2005). The majority of flowers have four types of floral organs: two outer whorls of sterile organs, the sepals and petals (also known as the perianth), and two inner whorls of fertile organs, the male stamens and female carpels, with the carpels positioned centrally. Although the main characteristics of angiosperm flowers are generally conserved, the vast morphological diversity suggests a high degree of plasticity in the genetic control of floral development. Variation is observed in every aspect of floral architecture, including phyllotaxy, merosity, floral symmetry and floral organ identity. Indepth analyses of model species such as Arabidopsis thaliand and Antirrhinum majus have contributed significantly to our understanding of the genetic pathways that control these morphological components. By using this work as a foundation for comparative studies, a picture is gradually coming into focus of how alterations in floral genetic programs have contributed to the evolution of floral architecture.

Forward mutagenesis studies of that two model species uncovered an intriguing series of homeotic floral mutants (Komaki et al. 1988; Bowman et al. 1989; Coen and Meyerowitz 1991). In both taxa, the mutants appeared to fall into similar classes named A, B and C: mutations that affected sepal and petal identity were placed into what was termed the A-class; those that affected petal and stamen identity, the B-class; and those that affected stamen and carpel identity, the C-class. For instance, B mutants exhibited the transformation of petals into sepals and stamens in carpels (Bowman *et al.* 1989; Carpenter and Coen 1990). Analysis of double and triple mutants (Bowman et al. 1991) suggested a simple and elegant model that explained the major aspects of genetic interactions among the loci; this became known as the ABC model (Coen and Meyerowitz 1991). Fundamentally, the ABC model holds that the overlapping domains of three classes of gene activity, referred to as A, B and C, produce a combinatorial code that determines floral organ identity in successive whorls of the developing flower. Another critical component of the ABC program is that A and C functions are mutually exclusive (Bowman et al. 1991), such that elimination of C gene activity causes

Table 1 Homologues of the major A, B, C, D, E classes of MADs-box genes from *Crocus*, the two dicots model species *Arabidopsis* and *Antirrhinum* and the monocot model *Oryza*. The number of crocus homologues for each class of MADs-box gene obtained from *Crocus* and method followed to obtain each sequence is also indicated.

Gene	Arabidopsis	Antirrhinum	Oryza	Crocus	Number of	Cloning method	Reference
class					isolated Crocus	(Crocus)	(Crocus)
					homologues		
A	APETALA1	SQUAMOSA	nk	nk	-	-	-
В	APETALA3	DEFICIENS	SUPERWOMAN1	CsatAP3/DEF	2	5' 3' RACE	Tsaftaris et al. 2006
	PISTILLATA	GLOBOSA	OsMADS2, OsMADS4	CsatPI/GLO	5	5' 3' RACE	Kalivas et al. 2007
С	AGAMOUS	FARINELI	OsMADS3	CsatAG1	2	5' 3' RACE	Tsaftaris et al. 2005
D	SEEDSTICK	nk	OsMADS13	nk	-	-	-
E	SEPALLATA1, 2, 3	DEFH49, 72	LHS1, OsMADS5, 34	CsatSEP3	4	famRCA-RACE	Tsaftaris et al., unpublished
	AGAMOUS-LIKE6	-	-	CsatAGL6	2	5' 3' RACE	Tsaftaris et al., unpublished
			RAP1	Csat AP1/FUL	3	5' 3' RACE and	Tsaftaris et al. 2004
						RCA-RACE	

nk: not known

the A domain to expand and *vice versa* (Drews *et al.* 1991; Gustafson-Brown *et al.* 1994).

Later, based on protein - protein interactions, the ABC model was extended to the ABCDE model (Theissen and Saedler 2001). Whereas the E-class genes together with the B and C genes control stamen formation, the C- and E-class genes regulates carpel formation and the D-class genes are involved in ovule development. According to the model, two dimers of each tetramer recognize two different DNA sites (termed CArG-boxes) on the same strand of DNA, which are brought into close proximity by DNA bending.

With the exception of APETALA2, all of the organ identity genes identified to date are members of the paneukaryotic MADS transcription factor family (Becker et al. 2003; Messenguy and Dubois 2003). MADS-box genes are characterized by the highly conserved MADS-box domain and can be divided into the type I and type II main lineages that are present in plants, animals and fungi (Theissen et al. 2000; De Bodt et al. 2003b). These lineages differ in the amino acid sequence of the MADS-box as well as in the domain structure of the predicted protein. Most of type II proteins exhibit a typical MIKC-structure where the MADS-domain is followed by a short I (intervening) domain, a well conserved K (keratin-like) domain and a variable C-terminal region, while type I proteins lack the K-domain, forming a structure of a MADS-box followed by a rather undefined and length-variable C-domain (De Bodt et al. 2003a).

Although monocot flowers contain stamens and carpels, they differ from eudicot flowers in the type of organs that are present in the outer whorls. Liliaceae family members often have two outer whorls of showy petal-like tepal organs, whereas grass flowers have paleas, lemmas and lodicules in place of sepals and petals. A modified ABC model in which B function is present in whorls 1, 2 and 3 has been proposed to explain the presence of tepals in Liliaceae flowers (van Tunen et al. 1993b). This is supported by the observation of B-class AP3/DEF-like and PI/GLOlike gene expression in the outer three whorls of tulip flowers (Kanno et al. 2003), and the absence or low expression of AP3/DEF-like genes in the outermost whorl of other monocots that produce distinct sepals and petals (Ochiai et al. 2004). Studies in Zea mays and Oryza sativa indicate that B-class genes have similar roles in grass and eudicot flowers (Whipple et al. 2004). Loss of the single AP3/DEFlike gene in maize SILKY 1 and O. sativa SUPERWOMAN 1, results in replacement of lodicules by paleas or lemmas, or palea-like organs, respectively, and the replacement of stamens by carpels (Ambrose *et al.* 2000; Nagasawa *et al.* 2003). These homeotic transformations are similar to those observed in Arabidopsis and Antirrhinum B-class mutants, indicating that paleas and lemmas are homologous to sepals, and lodicules are homologous to petals. Maize contains two potential C-class AG/PLE-like genes (ZAG1 and ZMM2), but redundancy makes it difficult to determine their exact roles (Mena et al. 1996). Mutations in ZAG1 affect floral

determinacy but not organ identity. Carpels are still produced in rice plants with reduced expression of the AG/PLE-like gene MADS3 (Kang *et al.* 1998), indicating that other factors are required for carpel specification in grasses. The YABBY gene DROOPING LEAF (DL) is one such factor (panel b), as mutations in DL result in complete homeotic transformations of carpels into stamens (Nagasawa *et al.* 2003; Yamaguchi *et al.* 2004). Although A-class API/SQUA-like genes have been identified in maize and rice, their roles in flower development are not well defined.

THE FLOWER OF CROCUS

Studying flower development and flower organs formation is not only significant for improving our understanding of basic regulatory mechanisms of flower initiation and organ identity, but could have practical applications in crops cultivated for their flowers. Crocus, an autumn flowering geophyte of the Mediterranean region, is an example of such a crop with flowers of economic importance. Crocus is a monocot triploid species belonging to the Iridaceae family, whose red stigmatic styles constitute saffron, a popular food additive with delicate aroma and attractive colour. Saffron has also medicinal properties and is used in the colouring industry. The flower of Crocus is bisexual and as sterile it has an exclusively vegetative propagation forming only 3-4 cormlets each season. Perianth consists of six petaloid tepals; three tepals in whorl 1 (outer tepals) and three tepals in whorl 2 (inner tepals, Fig. 1B). Androecium consists of three distinct stamens and the gynoecium consists of a single compound pistil with: three carpels, a single threebranched style, and an inferior ovary. Several phenotypic flower mutants have been described, such as flowers with larger numbers of styles and stamens as well as flowers without stamens (Grilli Caiola et al. 2004). Crocus blooms only once a year and is hand harvested. After mechanical separation of tepals, the stigmas are hand separated from carpels and dried. The size and the amount of individual stigmas collected from each flower influence total yield and quality of saffron. Between 70,000 and 200,000 flowers are needed to produce 1 kg of dried saffron, which equates 370-470 h of work. Consequently, the cultivation of this crop for its flowers and specifically its stigmas is very labour-intensive leading to high costs (Tsaftaris et al. 2004). Thus, understanding flower development in Crocus could reveal ways to increase yield and lower production costs since flower and more specifically isolated stigmas comprise the valuable commercial part of the plant.

Towards this goal we have cloned and characterized representatives of all MADS-box genes from *Crocus*, and very recently we obtained the *APETALA2* homologue from *Crocus* (unpublished data).

In order to isolate genes involved in flowering and flower development we developed and improved new methods and protocols such as the Rolling Cycle Amplification RACE (RCA-RACE) (Polidoros *et al.* 2006; Tsaf-



Fig. 1 (A) The classic ABC model (Coen and Meyerowitz 1991) for floral organ identity in *Arabidopsis* is shown as orange, grey and green boxes. Based on recent additions to the ABC model, D- and E-class genes are shown as red and blue boxes. (B) The modified ABC model for floral organ identity in *Crocus*.

taris *et al.* 2007, 2010) and Family RCA-RACE (Kalivas *et al.* 2010). Applying the above methods allowed us to isolate full length cDNAs and genomic sequences of target genes playing critical roles in flower formation. In a previous review of MADS-box genes involved in the flower of *Crocus* we described their comparative structural and phylogenetic relationships (Tsaftaris *et al.* 2007). **Table 1** displays homologues of the major B, C, and E-classes of MADS-box genes from *Crocus*, the two dicots model species *Arabidopsis* and *Antirrhinum* and the monocot grass model crop rice. The number of *Crocus* homologues for each class of MADS-box sequences obtained from *Crocus* and the method followed to obtain each *Crocus* sequence is also indicated.

EXPRESSION OF *CROCUS* MADS-BOX GENES IN FLOWER

The expression pattern of all the isolated MADS-box genes from *Crocus* in leaves, flowers and in four different flower organs: outer tepals, inner tepals, stamens and carpels was compared by RT-PCR. More specifically the expression pattern of the isolated B, C and E-class MADS-box genes from *Crocus* was examined using cDNA synthesized from leaves, whole flowers and the flower organs sepals, petals, stamens, and carpels.

B-class MADS-box genes

B-class genes have been isolated from several monocot species and their function has been examined in mutants, such as the sil of maize (Ambrose *et al.* 2000) that exhibit homeotic conversions of stamens into carpel-like and lodicules into palea/lemma-like organs. In rice, the expression

patterns and mutant analysis of an AP3-like SPW1 and two PI-like OSMADS2 and OSMADS4 genes provide supportive evidence for conservation of B-function as predicted from the ABC model in this plant (Kang et al. 1998; Moon et al. 1999; Nagasawa et al. 2003). The above data point to a conserved role for B-class proteins between dicots and monocots of the grasses family. There is also enough evidence to suggest that B-function is conserved in other monocots. The Asparagus AODEF is expressed exclusively in whorls 2 and 3 during the hermaphrodite stages of flower development and its expression is detected in the respective organs of the male but is reduced in the female flowers (Park et al. 2003). The lily LMADS1 protein is detected only in petals and stamens although the gene is expressed in all 4 whorls. Additionally, a truncated LMADS1 lacking the MADS domain, when expressed ectopically in Arabidopsis, can confer a negative dominant phenotype resembling ap3 mutants that have petals transformed into sepal-like, and stamens into carpel-like structures (Tzeng and Yang 2001).

It has been suggested that probably a conserved role of B-class genes in monocots and dicots is the specification of male reproductive organs, while their role in the formation of lodicules in grasses or tepals in Liliales and Asparagales may be not similar to that in formation of petals in eudicots, since homology of these organs remains controversial (Kramer and Irish 1999; Ambrose *et al.* 2000). However, it has also been suggested that formation of petaloid organs in whorl 1 in several eudicots could be due to the transference of the B-function in this whorl (Baum and Whitlock 1999). The same has been proposed as explanation for the formation of tepals in lilies and tulips (Theissen *et al.* 2000). Expression of B-class genes in whorl 1 is not an uncommon phenomenon in monocots, since it can be observed (especially when in addition to northern analysis, sensitive PCR



Fig. 2 Phylogeny and expression patterns of the major classes of MADS-box proteins from *Crocus*. The tree was generated by the Neighbor-Joining method using the p-distance correction. Numbers next to the nodes are bootstrap values from 1000 replications. The grey box indicates the identification of the MADS-box transcripts in the flower organs: outer tepals (OT), inner tepals (IT), stamens (S), carpels (C) and in the leaves (L).

techniques are used) in several species (Johansen et al. 2002). However, there are examples where expression in whorl 1 was not followed by accumulation of active protein and did not support presence of B-function, as in lily (Tzeng and Yang 2001). In tulip the AP3-like genes *TGDEFA* and *TGDEFB*, as well as, the PI-like *TGGLO*, are expressed in whorls 1, 2 and 3 (Kanno et al. 2003). Presence of both, AP3-like and PI-like proteins in whorl 1 should be a strong indication to explain formation of petaloid organs since ectopic expression of both AP3 and PI in whorl 1 in Arabidopsis resulted in the conversion of sepals into petals demonstrating that these genes are sufficient to provide B-function in flowers (Krizek and Meyerowitz 1996). Thus, Kanno et al. (2003) provided evidence to support the modified ABC model that was proposed by van Tunen et al. (1993b) to explain the flower morphology in tulip. Similar results were obtained in this study for the formation of Crocus flower suggesting that the power of the modified ABC model extends in Asparagales. Our data show that the isolated AP3-like CsatAP3/DEF sequences and CsatPI/GLO are expressed in whorl 1 and may be involved in the homeotic transformation of sepals into tepals. More specifically the experiments revealed the presence of CsatPI/GLOA transcripts both in flowers and weakly in leaves while the CsatPI/GLOB and CsatPI/GLOC transcripts were present only in flowers (Fig. 2).

The expression analysis of CsatAP3/DEF, the other Bclass MADS box gene in *Crocus*, in leaves and flowers revealed the presence of both transcripts only in flowers and not in leaves (**Fig. 2**). The RT-PCR experiment performed with cDNA synthesized from outer tepals, inner tepals, stamens and carpels resulted in the identification of both transcripts in all mature flower parts (Tsaftaris *et al.* 2006). Thus as anticipated from the ABC model and found in other plant species with tepal formation, both B-class genes *CsatAP3* and *CsatP1* extend their expression to whorl1 leading to tepal formation in this whorl instead of sepals.

It is conceivable that even though our results provide supportive evidence for the relevance of a modified ABC model in outer tepal formation in *Crocus*, much has to be done in order to understand flower formation in *Crocus* and other Asparagales species. Further experiments are underway to understand homeotic transformations in *Crocus* flowers and to characterize and possibly exploit the numerous flower mutants (lack of stamens, multiple flower organs, etc.) frequently observed in fields cultivated with this asexually propagated crop.

C-class MADS-box genes

C-class genes, such as Arabidopsis AGAMOUS (AG) and Antirrhinum PLENA (PLE), play important roles in the specification of stamen and carpel identity, the control of floral meristem determinacy, and the negative regulation of Aclass gene activity (Coen and Meyerowitz 1991). The rice genome contains two C-class genes, OsMADS3 and OsMADS58, that arose through gene duplication (Kang et al. 1998; Yamaguchi et al. 2006). Molecular genetic studies on these two rice C-class genes have revealed further functional diversification of duplicated MADS-box genes (Yamaguchi et al. 2006). OsMADS3 and OsMADS58 are expressed in the stamen and carpel whorl, like typical eudicot C-class genes, but their temporal patterns of expression differ from each other. OsMADS3 is expressed only in the presumptive region of stamen and carpel primordia just before the initiation of these organs, whereas OsMADS58 is expressed in the stamen and carpel whorls before initiation and during the development of stamen and carpel primordia. In an insertional knockout mutant of OsMADS3, stamens are homeotically transformed into lodicules, whereas carpels develop almost normally. Thus, OsMADS3 plays a predominant role in stamen specification. By contrast, RNAsilenced lines of OsMADS58 develop indeterminate flowers that reiterate a set of floral organs including lodicules, stamens, and abnormal carpel-like organs. In these reiterated flowers, stamens develop almost normally, but carpels are morphologically abnormal. Thus, OsMADS58 has a critical function both in the establishment of floral meristem determinacy and in normal carpel development. These results indicate that the original functions of C-class genes have

been partitioned into two paralogous genes, OsMADS3 and OsMADS58, during the evolution of rice. The mechanism underlying this functional diversification of C-class genes in rice may be partially explained by variation in the temporal expression of the two C-class genes. For example, OsMAD\$3 is down-regulated in the floral meristem before meristem activity is terminated, whereas expression of OsMADS58 is maintained even after carpel initiation. The predominant function of OsMADS58 in floral meristem determinacy cannot be explained by its expression profile alone, however, because OsMADS58 is expressed uniformly in both whorl 3 and whorl 4. Another possibility is that differences in the proteins that interact with the two MADSdomain proteins may have led to this functional diversification. That is, OsMADS3 and OsMADS58 may interact specifically and independently with different factors that are preferentially expressed in whorl 3 and whorl 4, respectively. The exact molecular mechanism underlying the functional diversification of OsMADS3 and OsMADS58 during the evolution of rice remains an interesting subject for future study. Another role of the C-class genes in rice, revealed by functional studies, is the control of lodicule positioning (Yamaguchi et al. 2006). In both a loss-offunction line of OsMADS3 and an RNA-silencing line of OsMADS58, ectopic lodicules form at the palea side of whorl 2, leading to a radially symmetric arrangement of lodicules, whereas lodicules develop only at the lemma side of whorl 2 in wild type. The spatial expression patterns of OsMADS3 and OsMADS58 are also asymmetric in the wildtype flower; that is, the two genes are down-regulated at the lemma side of whorl2 where lodicules develop, but are upregulated in the region adjacent to the palea. These observations indicate that C-class genes in rice negatively regulate lodicule development at the palea side in the wild-type flower.

The expression analysis for the isolated C-class *CsatAG1* gene in *Crocus* organs revealed the presence of the transcript only in flowers and more specifically restricted in the reproductive parts of the flower: stamens and carpels and not in the perianth. Thus the C-class *CsatAG1*, respects the ABC model where C-class *AGAMOUS* is expressed only in the reproductive parts of the flower (**Fig.** 2; Tsaftaris *et al.* 2005). Furthermore this expression pattern, in agreement with the ABC model of floral organ development, provides the basis for classification of *CsatAG1* in the C-class MADS-box genes.

E-class MADS-box genes

Three groups of genes are included in the E-class MADSbox gene isolated from *Crocus*, namely *CsatAPETALA1/ FRUITFUL*, *CsatSEPALATA* and *CsatAGAMOUS LIKE-6*. Originally, many laboratories working with monocots including ours, described the homologues *AP1/FRU* sequences identified and described as *APETALA1* or *FRUITFUL*. Despite the homologies between *APETALA1* and *FRUIT-FUL* all the isolated sequences from monocots so far belong to the FRUITFUL sub-group and no APETALA1 function was described in all monocots so far. Thus we describe as E-class gene the *CsatAP1/FRU*, were its FRU-like sequence belongs.

The results from *Crocus* showed that transcripts of the three isolated genes *CsatAP1/FULa*, *CsatAP1/FULb*, and *CsatAP1/FULc* are present in leaves, as well as, in flowers of *Crocus* (**Fig. 2**). Expression analysis performed in sepals, petals, stamens, and carpels resulted in the identification of the *CsatAP1/FULa*, *CsatAP1/FULb*, and *CsatAP1/FULc* transcripts in all tissues examined (Tsaftaris *et al.* 2004). In *Arabidopsis*, expression of *AP1/FUL* occurs specifically in the tissues and at the developmental stage in which floral fate is assumed. In the flower, expression of *AP1/FUL* is restricted to petals and sepals. In contrast, the three isolated *CsatAP1/FUL* genes from *Crocus*, are *AP1/FUL*-like MADS-box genes expressed in vegetative as well as in all floral tissues of the plant. There are also several examples

of MADS-box genes belonging to different homeotic types that are expressed in vegetative tissues and have different functional roles (Zhang and Forde 1998; Alvarez-Buylla *et al.* 2000; Gocal *et al.* 2001; Skipper 2002; van der Linden *et al.* 2002). The similarities in expression pattern of many monocot *AP1/FUL*-like MADS-box genes, including the rice *OsMADS18*, the barley *BM3*) (Schmitz *et al.* 2000) and the three isolated in this study *Crocus CsatAP1/FUL* genes, as well as other such genes with floral and vegetative expression may indicate a novel class of MADS-box genes in monocots, and possibly reflect a novel, yet unidentified role of the corresponding proteins as transcriptional regulators in these species.

The expression analysis of *CsatSEP3a*, a second E-class MADS box gene in *Crocus*, in leaves and flowers revealed the presence of the transcript only in flowers and not in leaves. Furthermore the *CsatSEP3a* transcripts were also detected in sepals, petals, stamens and carpels (**Fig. 2**, Tsaftaris *et al.* submitted).

Unlike the functional-structural conservation, the expression pattern of SEP-like transcripts differs between monocots and eudicots. Within monocots, SEP-like genes have been most intensively studied in grasses, including the important cereals maize and rice (Tzeng et al. 2003). Similarly to other monocots, expression of the isolated SEP3like genes of Crocus was detected in all four whorls of flower organs. This pattern of extended expression of Eclass genes together with B-class genes reported previously (Tsaftaris et al. 2006; Kalivas et al. 2007) is compatible with tepal formation in whorl 1. Muscari armeniacum is another member of Asparagales that has petaloid organs in the outer two whorls and expression of B-class genes extended to whorl 1, similarly to Crocus (Nakada et al. 2006). Thus, the extended expression of B- and E-class genes in whorl 1 fits the modified ABCE model proposed to explain tepal formation in tulip and other nongrass monocots (van Tunen et al. 1993a; Kanno et al. 2003; Kanno et al. 2007).

Expression analysis of a third subgroup of E-class MADS-box gene isolated in our laboratory, CsatAGL6a, indicated expression in flowers but not in leaves and all four flower organs examined (Fig. 2). The Arabidopsis AGL6 genes named AGL6 and AGL13 despite their close relation as a result of their very recent duplication show quite different expression patterns. While AGL6 is expressed in all four types of floral organs (Mouradov et al. 1999), the expression of AGL13 is restricted to ovules (Rounsley et al. 1995). But none of them is expressed in leaves, too. In other monocots such as Asparagus officinalis the AGL6-like AOM3 is expressed not only in flower organs, but also in the different meristems present on the apical region of the shoot during the flowering season (Losa et al. 2004). ZAG3 and ZAG5 are maize AGL6-like genes and their expression was found to be floral-specific and present in both male and female maize inflorescences (Mena et al. 1995). ZAG3 is expressed in carpels, but not in stamens, and in the sterile floral organs but not in glumes (Mena et al. 1995). In that respect it is interesting the absence of expression of AGL6 transcripts in a Crocus field isolated mutant with flowers lacking stamen (Tsaftaris et al., in preparation).

Expressing AGL6-like genes under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter has dramatic effects on growth of Arabidopsis plants, such as extremely reduced plant size, very early flowering, and the formation of terminal flowers (Hsu *et al.* 2003). Even though such data, probably reflecting gain-of-function effects based on ectopic expression, are difficult to interpret, especially when obtained in a heterologous background they are repeatable after expressing *CsatAGL6a* in *Arabidopsis* under the control of CaMV 35S promoter (Tsaftaris *et al.*, in preparation). *AGL6* genes are basal genes in the MADS-box family and further work is required particularly in well studied flowers from model plants where mutant isolation in combination with transgenic and silencing technologies could better clarify their role.

CONCLUSIONS

Previous studies in dicots species, including A. thaliana and A. majus, have shown that the B-class genes AP3/DEF and *PI/GLO* are expressed in the developing petals and stamens throughout the ontogeny of these organs. The gene products function as heterodimers such that losses of either AP3/ DEF or their respective partners PI/GLO cause homeotic replacement of petals by sepaloid structures and of stamens by carpels. Expression analysis in the different flower organs showed that unlikely to the typical model, the expression of all CsatPI/GLO extends into the first whorl of tepals. The extended expression of both B-class genes paleoAP3/DEF and PI/GLO to the first whorl could be supportive evidence for their heterodimerization not only to form petals or inner tepals in Crocus., but also in combination with the extended expression of E-class genes, for the homeotic transformation of sepals into outer whorl 1 tepals in *Crocus* (Fig. 1B).

Many monocot flowers have petaloid perianths in whorls 1 and 2, and it is difficult to fully account for this type of floral morphology using the classical ABC model (Coen and Meyerowitz 1991). On the basis of morphological analyses of tulip mutants, van Tunen et al. (1993a) hypothesized that the formation of tepals is due to the expanded expression of B-class genes into whorl 1. Moreover, a number of studies in nongrass monocots, such as tulip (Kanno et al. 2003), P. equestris (Tsai et al. 2004; Tsai et al. 2005), A. praecox (Nakamura et al. 2005), M. armeniacum (Nakada et al. 2006), and D. crumenatum (Xu et al. 2006), provide support to a simple modification of the ABC model, the so-called modified ABC model (van Tunen et al. 1993a). In Crocus, D. crumenatum and M. armeniacum, the B-class genes are expressed in whorls 1, 2, and 3, which fits the modified ABC model, but are also expressed in whorl 4, which does not fit the modified ABC model. However, the protein localization of the B-class gene products is still unclear.

In order to uncover the molecular mechanism of petaloid tepal development in monocots, such as *Crocus*, functional studies with mutant analyses and genetic transformation are needed. MADS-box gene function during the *Crocus* flower development could be revealed during studies on loss-of-function mutants such as stamenless mutant described here, where the *CsatAGL6a* gene is not expressed and moreover, during studies on combinations of such mutants in diploid *Crocus* species like *C. cartwrightianus*, where genetic crosses between different parents are feasible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Mr. Yannis Patsios for his invaluable help in collecting plant material in the field and all of our lab members for their contributions, helpful comments and discussion. This work was supported by a grant from the General Secretariat for the Research and Technology (Greek Ministry of Development).

REFERENCES

- Alvarez-Buylla ER, Liljegren SJ, Pelaz S, Gold SE, Burgeff C, Ditta GS, Vergara-Silva F, Yanofsky MF (2000) MADS-box gene evolution beyond flowers: expression in pollen, endosperm, guard cells, roots and trichomes. *Plant Journal* 24 (4), 457-466
- Ambrose BA, Lerner DR, Ciceri P, Padilla CM, Yanofsky MF, Schmidt RJ (2000) Molecular and genetic analyses of the *silky1* gene reveal conservation in floral organ specification between eudicots and monocots. *Molecular Cell* 5 (3), 569-579
- Baum DA, Whitlock BA (1999) Plant development: Genetic clues to petal evolution. Current Biology 9 (14), R525-527
- Becker A, Saedler H, Theissen G (2003) Distinct MADS-box gene expression patterns in the reproductive cones of the gymnosperm *Gnetum gnemon*. *Development Genes and Evolution* **213** (11), 567-572
- Bowman JL, Smyth DR, Meyerowitz EM (1989) Genes directing flower development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **1** (1), 37-52

Bowman JL, Smyth DR, Meyerowitz EM (1991) Genetic interactions among

floral homeotic genes of Arabidopsis. Development 112 (1), 1-20

- Carpenter R, Coen ES (1990) Floral homeotic mutations produced by transposon-mutagenesis in *Antirrhinum majus*. Genes and Development 4 (9), 1483-1493
- Coen ES, Meyerowitz EM (1991) The war of the whorls: genetic interactions controlling flower development. *Nature* 353 (6339), 31-37
- De Bodt S, Raes J, Florquin K, Rombauts S, Rouze P, Theissen G, Van de Peer Y (2003a) Genomewide structural annotation and evolutionary analysis of the type I MADS-box genes in plants. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 56 (5), 573-586
- De Bodt S, Raes J, Van de Peer Y, Theissen G (2003b) And then there were many: MADS goes genomic. *Trends in Plant Science* 8 (10), 475-483
- Drews GN, Weigel D, Meyerowitz EM (1991) Floral patterning. Current Opinion in Genetics and Development 1 (2), 174-178
- Gocal GF, King RW, Blundell CA, Schwartz OM, Andersen CH, Weigel D (2001) Evolution of floral meristem identity genes. Analysis of *Lolium temulentum* genes related to *APETALA1* and *LEAFY* of *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology* **125** (4), 1788-1801
- Grilli Caiola M, Caputo P, Zanier R (2004) RAPD analysis in Crocus sativus L. accessions and related crocus species. Biologia Plantarum 48, 375-380
- Gustafson-Brown C, Savidge B, Yanofsky MF (1994) Regulation of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene APETALA1. Cell 76 (1), 131-143
- Hsu HF, Huang CH, Chou LT, Yang CH (2003) Ectopic expression of an orchid (Oncidium Gower Ramsey) AGL6-like gene promotes flowering by activating flowering time genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiology 44 (8), 783-794
- Johansen B, Pedersen LB, Skipper M, Frederiksen S (2002) MADS-box gene evolution-structure and transcription patterns. *Molecular Phylogenetics* and Evolution 23 (3), 458-480
- Kalivas A, Pasentsis K, Argiriou A, Darzentas N, Tsaftaris AS (2010) famRCA-RACE: A Rolling Cycle Amplification RACE for isolating family of homologous cDNAs in one reaction and its application to obtain NAC genes transcription factors from crocus (Crocus sativus) flower. Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology 40, 177-187
- Kalivas A, Pasentsis K, Polidoros AN, Tsaftaris AS (2007) Heterotopic expression of B-class floral homeotic genes *PISTILLATA/GLOBOSA* supports a modified model for crocus (*Crocus sativus* L.) flower formation. *DNA Sequence* 18 (2), 120-130
- Kang HG, Jeon JS, Lee S, An G (1998) Identification of class B and class C floral organ identity genes from rice plants. *Plant Molecular Biology* 38 (6), 1021-1029
- Kanno A, Nakada M, Akita Y, Hirai M (2007) Class B gene expression and the modified ABC model in nongrass monocots. *Scientific World Journal* 7, 268-279
- Kanno A, Saeki H, Kameya T, Saedler H, Theissen G (2003) Heterotopic expression of class B floral homeotic genes supports a modified ABC model for tulip (*Tulipa gesneriana*). *Plant Molecular Biology* **52** (4), 831-841
- Komaki M, Okada K, Nishino E, Shimura Y (1988) Isolation and characterization of novel mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* defective in flower development. *Development* 104, 195-203
- Kramer EM, Irish VF (1999) Evolution of genetic mechanisms controlling petal development. *Nature* 399 (6732), 144-148
- Krizek BA, Fletcher JC (2005) Molecular mechanisms of flower development: an armchair guide. *Nature Review Genetics* 6 (9), 688-698
- Krizek BA, Meyerowitz EM (1996) The Arabidopsis homeotic genes APE-TALA3 and PISTILLATA are sufficient to provide the B class organ identity function. Development 122 (1), 11-22
- Losa A, Caporali E, Spada A, Martinelli S, Marziani G (2004) AOM3 and AOM4: two MADS box genes expressed in reproductive structures of Asparagus officinalis. Sexual Plant Reproduction 16 (5), 215-221
- Mena M, Ambrose BA, Meeley RB, Briggs SP, Yanofsky MF, Schmidt RJ (1996) Diversification of C-function activity in maize flower development. *Science* **274** (**5292**), 1537-1540
- Mena M, Mandel MA, Lerner DR, Yanofsky MF, Schmidt RJ (1995) A characterization of the MADS-box gene family in maize. *Plant Journal* 8 (6), 845-854
- Messenguy F, Dubois E (2003) Role of MADS box proteins and their cofactors in combinatorial control of gene expression and cell development. *Gene* **316** (16), 1-21
- Moon YH, Jung JY, Kang HG, An G (1999) Identification of a rice APE-TALA3 homologue by yeast two-hybrid screening. *Plant Molecular Biology* 40 (1), 167-177
- Mouradov A, Hamdorf B, Teasdale RD, Kim JT, Winter KU, Theissen G (1999) A DEF/GLO-like MADS-box gene from a gymnosperm: *Pinus radiata* contains an ortholog of angiosperm B class floral homeotic genes. *Developmental Genetics* 25 (3), 245-252
- Nagasawa N, Miyoshi M, Sano Y, Satoh H, Hirano H, Sakai H, Nagato Y (2003) SUPERWOMAN1 and DROOPING LEAF genes control floral organ identity in rice. Development 130 (4), 705-718
- Nakada M, Komatsu M, Ochiai T, Ohtsu K, Nakazono M, Nishizawa NK, Nitta K, Nishiyama R, Kameya T, Kanno A (2006) Isolation of MaDEF from *Muscari armeniacum* and analysis of its expression using laser microdissection. *Plant Science* 170 (1), 143-150

- Nakamura T, Fukuda T, Nakano M, Hasebe M, Kameya T, Kanno A (2005) The modified ABC model explains the development of the petaloid perianth of *Agapanthus praecox* ssp. orientalis (Agapanthaceae) flowers. *Plant Molecular Biology* **58** (3), 435-445
- Ochiai T, Nakamura T, Mashiko Y, Fukuda T, Yokoyama J, Kanno A, Kameya T (2004) The differentiation of sepal and petal morphologies in Commelinaceae. *Gene* **343** (2), 253-262
- Park JH, Ishikawa Y, Yoshida R, Kanno A, Kameya T (2003) Expression of AODEF, a B-functional MADS-box gene, in stamens and inner tepals of the dioecious species Asparagus officinalis L. Plant Molecular Biology 51 (6), 867-875
- Polidoros AN, Pasentsis K, Tsaftaris AS (2006) Rolling circle amplification-RACE: a method for simultaneous isolation of 5' and 3' cDNA ends from amplified cDNA templates. *Biotechniques* 41 (1), 35-36, 38, 40 passim
- Rounsley SD, Ditta GS, Yanofsky MF (1995) Diverse roles for MADS box genes in *Arabidopsis* development. *Plant Cell* **7 (8)**, 1259-1269
- Schmitz J, Franzen R, Ngyuen TH, Garcia-Maroto F, Pozzi C, Salamini F, Rohde W (2000) Cloning, mapping and expression analysis of barley MADS-box genes. *Plant Molecular Biology* **42** (6), 899-913
- Skipper M (2002) Genes from the APETALA3 and PISTILLATA lineages are expressed in developing vascular bundles of the tuberous rhizome, flowering stem and flower Primordia of Eranthis hyemalis. Annals of Botany (London) 89 (1), 83-88
- Theissen G, Becker A, Di Rosa A, Kanno A, Kim JT, Munster T, Winter KU, Saedler H (2000) A short history of MADS-box genes in plants. *Plant Molecular Biology* 42 (1), 115-149
- Theissen G, Saedler H (2001) Plant biology. Floral quartets. *Nature* 409 (6819), 469-471
- Tsaftaris A, Pasentsis K, Argiriou A (2010) Rolling Circle Amplification of Genomic templates for Inverse PCR (RCA-GIP): A method for 5' and 3' Genome Walking without anchoring. *Biotechniques* **32** (1), 157-161
- Tsaftaris AS, Pasentsis K, Iliopoulos I, Polidoros AN (2004) Isolation of three homologous *AP1*-like MADS-box genes in crocus (*Crocus sativus* L.) and characterization of their expression. *Plant Science* 166, 1235-1243
- Tsaftaris AS, Pasentsis K, Polidoros AN (2005) Isolation of a differentially spliced C-type flower specific AG-like MADS-box gene from Crocus (Crocus sativus) and characterization of its expression. Biologia Plantarum 49 (4), 499-504
- Tsaftaris AS, Polidoros AN, Pasentsis K, Kalivas A (2006) Tepal formation and expression pattern of B-class paleo*AP3*-like MADS-box genes in crocus

(Crocus sativus L.). Plant Science 170 (2), 238-246

- Tsaftaris AS, Polidoros AN, Pasentsis K, Kalivas A (2007) Cloning, structural characterization, and phylogenetic analysis of flower MADS-box genes from crocus (*Crocus sativus* L.). *The Scientific World Journal* 7, 1047-1062
- Tsai WC, Kuoh CS, Chuang MH, Chen WH, Chen HH (2004) Four DEFlike MADS box genes displayed distinct floral morphogenetic roles in *Phalaenopsis orchid. Plant Cell Physiology* 45 (7), 831-844
- Tsai WC, Lee PF, Chen HI, Hsiao YY, Wei WJ, Pan ZJ, Chuang MH, Kuoh CS, Chen WH, Chen HH (2005) PeMADS6, a GLOBOSA/PISTILLATA-like gene in *Phalaenopsis equestris* involved in petaloid formation, and correlated with flower longevity and ovary development. *Plant Cell Physiology* 46 (7), 1125-1139
- Tzeng TY, Hsiao CC, Chi PJ, Yang CH (2003) Two lily SEPALLATA-like genes cause different effects on floral formation and floral transition in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* 133 (3), 1091-1101
- Tzeng TY, Yang CH (2001) A MADS box gene from lily (*Lilium Longiflorum*) is sufficient to generate dominant negative mutation by interacting with PIS-TILLATA (PI) in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiology 42 (10), 1156-1168
- van der Linden CG, Vosman B, Smulders MJ (2002) Cloning and characterization of four apple MADS box genes isolated from vegetative tissue. *Jour*nal of Experimental Botany 53 (371), 1025-1036
- van Tunen AJ, Eikelboom W, Angenent GC (1993a) Floral organogenesis in Tulipa gesneriana. Flowering News Letter 16, 33-38
- van Tunen AJ, Eikelboom W, Angenent GC (1993b) Floral organogenesis in Tulipa. Flowering Newsletter 16, 33-37
- Whipple CJ, Ciceri P, Padilla CM, Ambrose BA, Bandong SL, Schmidt RJ (2004) Conservation of B-class floral homeotic gene function between maize and Arabidopsis. Development 131 (24), 6083-6091
- Xu XY, Liu JH, Deng XX (2006) Isolation of cytoplasts from Satsuma mandarin (*Citrus unshiu* Marc.) and production of alloplasmic hybrid calluses via cytoplast-protoplast fusion. *Plant Cell Reports* 25 (6), 533-539
- Yamaguchi T, Lee DY, Miyao A, Hirochika H, An G, Hirano HY (2006) Functional diversification of the two C-class MADS box genes *OSMADS3* and *OSMADS58* in *Oryza sativa*. *Plant Cell* **18** (1), 15-28
- Yamaguchi T, Nagasawa N, Kawasaki S, Matsuoka M, Nagato Y, Hirano HY (2004) The YABBY gene DROOPING LEAF regulates carpel specification and midrib development in Oryza sativa. Plant Cell 16 (2), 500-509
- Zhang H, Forde BG (1998) An Arabidopsis MADS box gene that controls nutrient-induced changes in root architecture. Science 279 (5349), 407-409