

Effect of Pesticides on Microbial Diversity and Urease in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Soil

Mekapogu Madakka^{1*} • Mandala Srinivasulu² • Gooty Jaffer Mohiddin² • Vengatampalli Rangaswamy²

¹ Department of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa – 516 003. A.P. India ² Department of Microbiology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur – 515 003. A.P. India Communication and the Committee of the C

Corresponding author: * madakka@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The influence of four pesticides viz., profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram and difenoconazole and two insecticide combinations viz., profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan at 0.0, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ were assessed for their effects on the activity of urease (measured in terms of hydrolysis of urea by sodium hypochlorite method) and microbial populations like bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes in two agricultural soils, collected from a fallow groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) fields of Anantapur district. The effects of selected pesticides profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram and difenoconazole and profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan on microbial population and urease activity were dose dependent. Urease activity and microbial populations increased with increasing concentrations of the pesticides up to 5.0 kg ha⁻¹. Higher rates (7.5, 10.0 kg ha⁻¹) of these pesticides were either toxic or innocuous to the urease activity and microbial population. The significant stimulation in the activity of urease was associated with 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ of pesticides in black soil, where as in red soil it was 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ of profenofos, 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ of deltamethrin + endosulfan in black clay soils whereas in red soils, population of microorganisms increased with 2.5 to 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ of pesticides. With further incubation the activity of urease was significantly more at day 20 and enzyme activity decreased progressively with increasing incubation period.

Keywords: deltamethrin, difenoconazole, insecticide combinations, microbial populations, profenofos, thiram

INTRODUCTION

Pesticides in recent years are widely used in modern agriculture to control various insect pest populations and with the growing use of these pesticides in agricultural soils, there may be an interaction with soil organisms and their metabolic activities (Baxter and Cummings 2008). Therefore the behaviour of the total micro flora and their biological activities under continue pesticide input is an important aspect of research of the agricultural ecology (Li et al. 2008). The testing programs included soil enzymes as good indicators of soil biological fertility because of their participation in the decomposition of organic matter (Qian et al. 2007; Swaminathan et al. 2009). Microflora of soils are of major concern because of their role in sustaining agricultural productivity through various biochemical reactions mediated by soil enzymes (Mahía et al. 2008; Madakka et al. 2009). Additionally, soil microbes serve as principal agents of pesticide cleavage and modification of these compounds (White et al. 2010). In the majority of studies for testing side-effects of pesticides on non-target organisms and enzyme activities, the addition of a single insecticide was made to soil systems (Vijay Gundi et al. 2007). In modern agriculture, it has become a common trend to apply different groups of pesticides, either simultaneously or in succession, for effective control of a variety of pests (Surya Kalyani et al. 2010). Consequently, different pesticides may often exist together in the soil ecosystem at a given point of time. According to Schuster and Schroder (1990) and Srinivasulu et al. (2010), the effect of various combinations of pesticides may deviate from the behaviour of an individual pesticide because of the occurrence of synergistic, antagonistic or additive interactions between different pesticides. Information generated from studies with the application of

single pesticide to soils cannot be extrapolated to soil systems containing more than one pesticide. Apparently, it has become necessary to determine the effects of agronomically needed combinations of pesticides, applied at recommended levels, in order to establish the ecological significance of the pesticide effects (Gundi et al. 2007; Tejada 2009). Soil enzymes are remarkable biomolecules that show extraordinary specificity in catalyzing biological reactions, important for both soil microorganisms and plants (Kumar and Philip 2006; Hussain et al. 2007a, 2007b; Srinivasulu et al. 2010). Further, they act as important indices of soil fertility (Pascual et al. 2000). The extensive application of pesticides leads to interference with the normal enzymatic activity of proliferating soil microorganisms, and disturbing the delicate balance of soil ecosystem (Shushkova et al. 2010). Adverse impacts of pesticides on soil microbial diversity and activities have been described by many researchers (Littlefield-Wyer et al. 2008; Singh et al. 2008; Dutta et al. 2010). In spite of the maximum potential of soil enzymes in maintaining soil biodynamics, only limited studies were available (Mahía et al. 2008; Kalyani et al. 2009) on influence of soil enzymes with organochemicals. However, no literature was found regarding the interaction of the present selected pesticides viz., profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram, difenoconazole and insecticide combinations profenofos + cypermethrin, deltamethrin + endosulfan on enzymatic activities of soils. Chemical control of several major pests of groundnut, cotton and tomato by spraying organophosphates, synthetic pyrethroid, carbamate, triazole and organochlorine groups of pesticides either singly or in combination, has been common practice (Jayashree and Vasudevan 2007; Vijay Gundi et al. 2007; Romeh et al. 2009). Hence, an attempt was made in this study to find out the interaction effects of these pesticides on urease which is in-

 Table 1 Details of the pesticides used in the present study.

	1	1 5		
Technical name	Commercial name	Chemical class	Commercial formulation	Source (all India)
Thiram	Spotrete	Carbamate	75% WP*	Rallis India Ltd., Mumbai
Difenoconazole	Score	Triazole	25% EC**	Syngenta India Ltd., Mumbai
Deltamethrin	Decis	Synthetic pyrethroid	2.8% EC**	Bayer Bayer Carpo Science India Ltd., Gujarath
Cypermethrin	Cyperkill	Synthetic pyrethroid	25 % EC**	Bharat Pulversing Mills (Pvt) Ltd., Mumbai
Endosulfan	Thiodam	Organochlorine insecticide	35% EC**	Hoechst Schering Agro Evo Ltd., Gujarat
Profenofos	Prowess	Organophosphate	50% EC**	Sudharsha Industries Ltd., Pune

* wettable powder ** emulsifying concentration

volved in the nitrogen cycle and microbial populations, in two soils of agricultural importance.

The present paper reveals that the effect of pesticides on urease activity and microflora in groundnut soils.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soils

Samples of black clay soil and red sandy clay soils, collected from groundnut cultivated fields of Anantapur district in a semi-arid zone of Andhrapradesh, India, to a depth of 12 cm, were air-dried and sieved through a 2 mm mesh screen before use. These soil samples were chosen because of agricultural importance as farmers are growing two crops per year in these fields.

Pesticides

The influence of two fungicides, thiram (75% WP, wettable powder)(carbamate), difenoconazole (triazole) (25% EC, emulsifying concentration), two insecticides, deltamethrin (2.8% EC) (synthetic pyrethroid) and profenofos (50% EC)(organophosphate), and two insecticide combinations deltamethrin (2.8% EC) + endosulfan (35% EC), profenofos (50% EC) + cypermethrin (25% EC) was determined in the present study. Commercial formulations dissolved in distilled water of the tested pesticides were used for determining the microbial activities like soil enzymes. Details of the pesticides used in the investigation are furnished in **Table 1**.

Soil incubation

The soil ecosystem stimulating non-flooded conditions consisting of 10-g portions of soil samples were added in test tubes (25×150 mm) and moistened to a water potential of 0.090 MPa, in order to maintain at 60% water holding capacity Jaya Madhuri and Rangaswamy (2003).

Assay of urease

Soil urease (E.C. 3.5.1.5) activity was based on the hydrolysis of urea. The assay of urease enzyme activity was determined using the method of Fawcett and Scott (1960) and adopted by Malkomes (2001).

At desired intervals (i.e., 10, 20, 30 and 40 days), 1 ml of 3% urea (urease substrate) and 2 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.1) were added to one gram portion of soil samples and incubated for 30 min at 37°C in a water bath shaker. Then the tubes were placed in ice until ammonia was extracted with 10 ml of 2 M KCl and filtered through Whatman filter paper No.1. To 4 ml of the filtrate, 5 ml of phenol sodium nitroprusside solution and 3 ml of 0.03 M sodium hypochlorite solution were added. Mixture was shaken, and kept aside for 30 min in the dark, and the developed blue colour was measured at 630 nm. Rate of urease enzyme activity was assayed at 10, 20, 30 and 40 days of soil incubation with the respective stimulatory concentrations of pesticides.

Enumeration of microbial population

The effect of different concentrations of selected pesticides, Profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram and difenoconazole and the insecticide combinations profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + Endosulfon on bacterial population in two different agricultural soil samples, in duplicate, were determined. Aliquots (0.05 ml) from stock solutions of the pesticides were applied to 5-g portions of soil contained in test tubes (15×150 mm). The final concentrations (w/w) of each pesticide included 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100 µg g⁻¹ soil, which are equivalent to 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 kg ha⁻¹ (Anderson 1978). The soil samples receiving only distilled water served as controls.

Soil samples were then homogenized to distribute the fungicide, and enough distilled water was added to maintain at 60% water holding capacity (WHC) and incubated at room temperature ($28 \pm 4^{\circ}$ C). Seven days after incubation, duplicates of each treatment were withdrawn for estimation of bacterial population. Aliquots were prepared from 10^{-1} to 10^{-7} from treated and untreated soil samples by serial dilution plate method on nutrient agar medium and subsequently incubated for 24 h in an incubator at 30° C (Shukla and Mishra 1997).

After incubation, bacterial colonies grown on nutrient agar medium were counted by Quebec colony counter. Bacterial populations were enumerated and expressed as number of colonies formed g⁻¹ of soil (dry weight basis) (Shetty and Magu 2000). Soil plate method was used to assess fungal propagules developing on rose Bengal agar medium and subsequently incubated for five days at 25°C (Shukla and Mishra 1997). The population of actinomycetes was estimated by using Ken Knight's agar medium and subsequently incubated for 3 days in the dark at 30°C (Balasubramanian and Sankaran 2001).

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed on an air-dry soil basis and were averages of two or three replicate determinations. The data of pesticidal effects on microbial populations and urease activity was analyzed for significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) between pesticide treated and untreated soils using Duncan's multiple range test (Megharaj *et al.* 1999).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Urease activity

Urea is an organic chemical used as a nitrogenous fertilizer in agriculture. Conversion of organic nitrogen to inorganic nitrogen through hydrolysis of urea to ammonia and carbon dioxide is due to activity of urease enzyme secreted by certain microorganisms and plants. This enzyme is responsible for supply of nitrogen demand to growing crop and also in the evaluation of changes in soil quality (Pascual et al. 1999; Chakrabarti et al. 2000), in the present study the impact of selected pesticides on the activity of urease in two soils was assessed. The data are presented in Tables 2-5. All tested individual pesticides in all concentrations appeared to be innocuous to urease activity in black and red soil up to 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ except in case of profenofos in red soil, which was shown maximum activity at 5 kg ha⁻¹ (**Tables 2, 3**). This enzyme activity was continued up to 20 days of incubation and then decline in urease activity was observed (Table 4) in all individual pesticides in both black and red soils at all concentrations. This observation was in similar with results reported earlier by Rangaswamy and Venkateswarlu (1992b). According to this study, monocrotofos, Quinalphos and cypermethrin also stimulated urease activity up to 25 ppm but were inhibitory to urease at concentrations > 25 ppm. According to Gianfreda et al. (1994), glyphosate enhanced urease activity of soils by 1.1-1.4-fold

Table 2 Effect of different concentrations of selected pesticides on urease activity* in black soil after 10 days.

Concentration of pesticide (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Profenofos	Deltamethrin	Thiram	Difenoconazole		
0.0	134 ± 2.309 c (100)	$134 \pm 2.309 \text{ c} (100)$	$134 \pm 2.309 \text{ b} (100)$	$134 \pm 2.309 \text{ b} (100)$		
1.0	136 ± 2.309 c (102)	$138 \pm 1.155 \text{ c} (102)$	$136 \pm 2.309 \text{ b} (102)$	$134 \pm 2.309 \text{ b} (111)$		
2.5	156 ± 2.309 a (116)	160 ± 2.887 a (119)	146 ± 1.154 a (108)	141 ± 0.577 a (105)		
5.0	140 ± 1.154 b (104)	150 ± 2.887 b (111)	141 ± 0.577 a (105)	138 ± 1.155 b (102)		
7.5	124 ± 1.154 d (92)	126 ± 2.309 d (94)	$130 \pm 2.886 \text{ b} (97)$	$124 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (92)$		
10.0	108 ± 1.154 e (80)	$112 \pm 1.155 e(83)$	104 ± 1.154 c (77)	$108 \pm 1.154 \text{ d} (80)$		
*µg ammonia g ⁻¹ soil formed after 30 min incubation at 37°C with urea. Figures in parentheses indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by						

the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT.

	4	5	5	
Concentration of pesticide (kg ha ⁻¹)	Profenofos	Deltamethrin	Thiram	Difenoconazole
0.0	84 ± 2.309 c (100)	84 ± 2.309 c (100)	84 ± 2.309 c (100)	84 ± 1.154 c (100)
1.0	94 ± 1.154 b (111)	88 ± 1.154 c (104)	88 ± 1.154 c (104)	86 ± 1.154 c (102)
2.5	98 ± 1.154 b (116)	114 ± 1.154 a (135)	110 ± 1.154 a (130)	120 ± 1.154 a (142)
5.0	104 ± 1.154 a (123)	102 ± 1.154 b (120)	100 ± 2.886 b (119)	100 ± 2.886 b (119)
7.5	82 ± 1.154 c (97)	78 ± 1.154 d (92)	84 ± 1.154 c (100)	80 ± 2.886 c (95)
10.0	64 ± 1.154 d (76)	$62 \pm 1.154 \text{ e} (76)$	62 ± 1.154 d (73)	$60 \pm 2.886 \text{ d} (71)$
*u a ammania a ⁻¹ sail formad after 20 min	in authorized at 270C with ymag	Eigenes in nonentheses indicate no	lative muchuation noncontaces M	ages in each achumn fallerriad hri

* μ g ammonia g⁻¹ soil formed after 30 min incubation at 37°C with urea. Figures in parentheses indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT.

Table 4 Influence of selected pesticides on urease activity* in black and red soil.

Pesticide	Soil incubation (days)					
	10 days	20 days	30 days	40 days		
Black soil						
Control	$134 \pm 1.154 \text{ d}$	$144 \pm 2.309 \text{ c}$	$136 \pm 1.732 \text{ d}$	120 ± 2.886 c		
Profenofos (2.5 kg ha ⁻¹)	$156 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	$164 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	158 ± 5.733 a	140 ± 2.886 a		
Deltamethrin (2.5 kg ha ⁻¹)	160 ± 1.154 a	170 ± 2.886 a	160 ± 5.733 a	140 ± 2.886 a		
Thiram (2.5 kg ha^{-1})	$146 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$	$160 \pm 2.886 \text{ b}$	$150 \pm 5.733 \text{ b}$	$122 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$		
Difenoconazole (2.5 kg ha ⁻¹)	$142 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$	$162 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	$146 \pm 1.732 \text{ c}$	$130 \pm 2.886 \text{ b}$		
Red soil						
Control	84 ± 1.154 d	$100 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$	88 ± 1.154 d	$62 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$		
Profenofos (2.5 kg ha ⁻¹)	$104 \pm 2.309 \text{ c}$	$124 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	$108 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$	90 ± 1.154 a		
Deltamethrin (2.5 kg ha ⁻¹)	$114 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	$128\pm1.154~b$	$116 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	$82 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$		
Thiram (2.5 kg ha^{-1})	$110 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	$122 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	$108 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$	80 ± 1.154 b		
Difenoconazole (2.5 kg ha ⁻¹)	120 ± 1.154 a	136 ± 1.154 a	122 ± 1.154 a	90 ± 1.154 a		
*	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	101 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4			

* μ g ammonia g⁻¹ soil formed after 30 min incubation at 37°C with urea. Figures in parentheses indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT.

Table 5 Effect of different concentrations	of selected insecticide combinations	on urease activity* in black	and red soil after 10 days.
Tuble of anterent concentrations	or serected misecticide comomations	on arease activity in black	

Insecticide concentration (kg ha ⁻¹)	Profenofos + cypermethrin	Deltamethrin + endosulfan	Profenofos + cypermethrin	Deltamethrin + endosulfan
		Black soil		Red soil
0.0	45 ± 2.886 d (100)	45 ± 2.886 e (100)	31 ± 0.577 c (100)	31 ± 0.577 d (100)
1.0	55 ± 2.886 c (122)	58 ± 1.154 c (128)	$45 \pm 2.886 \text{ b} (145)$	$46 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (148)$
2.5	61 ± 0.577 b (135)	62 ± 1.154 b (137)	50 ± 2.886 b (161)	52 ± 1.154 a (167)
5.0	82 ± 0.577 a (182)	75 ± 2.886 a (166)	64 ± 2.309 a (206)	42 ± 1.154 c (135)
7.5	51 ± 0.577 c (113)	58 ± 1.154 c (128)	36 ± 2.886 c (116)	$30 \pm 2.886 d$ (96)
10.0	$39 \pm 0.577 e (86)$	$50 \pm 1.154 \text{ d} (111)$	$25 \pm 2.309 \text{ d} (80)$	$24 \pm 2.309 \text{ e}(77)$
*µg ammonia g ⁻¹ soil formed a	after 30 min incubation at 37°C	with urea. Figures in parentheses in	dicate relative production percentage	es. Means in each column followed by

* μ g ammonia g⁻¹ soil formed after 30 min incubation at 37°C with urea. Figures in parentheses indicate relative production percentages. Mea the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT.

and of soil extracts by 2.59- to 6.73-fold at 0.3 and 1.5 mM but had no influence on free or immobilized jackbean urease. In contrast, thiram at 10 ppm decreased urease activity in both sandy and organic soils after 7 days (Tu 1990). Many researchers have revealed either unchanged, an increase or decrease in urease activity following the application of pesticides (Chen et al. 2001; Antonious 2003; Nowak et al. 2004; Ingram et al. 2005). Decreased urease activity in soil with the application of pesticides reduces urea hydrolysis which is generally beneficial, because it helps to maintain N in a form (NH4⁺) less leachable Antonious (2003). Yang et al. (2006) showed that chlorimuron ethyl and furadan activated urease in the four different soils. Both enhanced urease activity up to 14–18 and 13–21%, respectively. Contrarily, acetamiprid reduced up to 35% urease activity in soil at 43 days after sowing cotton (Singh and Kumar 2008). Srinivasulu et al. (2010) observed monocrotofos, chloropyrifos alone and in combination with mancozeb and carbendazim on urease activity in two agricultural soils of groundnut. Ingram et al. (2005) revealed that Bacillus pasteurii was unaffected by the insecticides

diazinon and imidacloprid. Only diazinon significantly reduced urease activity in washed cells as well as in Maury soils (fine, mixed, semiactive, mesic Typic Paleudalf). The pyrethrins, neemix-4E inhibited urease activity (Antonious 2003). Increased soil urease was observed in sand and loam soils by the use of isoproturon (Nowak *et al.* 2004). Increased soil urease activity was observed with fungicide application, namely benomyl and captan (Chen *et al.* 2001). According to Srinivasulu *et al.* (2010), the rate of urea hydrolysis is more rapid in two different soils in which groundnut was grown and treated with the fungicides tridemorph and captan at 5.0 kg ha⁻¹.

Effect of pesticides and insecticides in combinations on soil microflora

1. Bacterial populations

The effect of profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram, difenoconazole and insecticide combinations, profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan on bacterial popu-

Table 6 Influence of selected insecticide combinations on urease activity* in black and red soils after 30 min of incubation

Treatment	Soil incubation in days					
	10	20	30	40		
Black soil						
Control	45 ± 2.886 c	$64 \pm 1.763 \text{ c}$	54 ± 1.154 b	$32 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$		
Profenofos + cypermethrin (5.0 kg ha ⁻¹)	82 ± 1.154 a	100 ± 1.154 a	$57 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	43 ± 1.732 a		
deltamethrin + endosulfan (5.0 kg ha^{-1})	75 ± 2.886 b	$82 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	60 ± 1.154 a	40 ± 1.154 a		
Red soil						
Control	31 ± 0.577 c	51 ± 0.577 c	$37 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$	$22 \pm 1.154 \text{ c}$		
Profenofos + cypermethrin (5.0 kg ha ⁻¹)	64 ± 2.309 a	80 ± 2.886 a	55 ± 2.886 a	43 ± 1.732 a		
deltamethrin + endosulfan (5.0 kg ha^{-1})	$52 \pm 1.154 \text{ b}$	65 ± 2.886 b	$45\pm2.886\ b$	33 ± 1.732 b		
* : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	12700 14 E	4 1 1 4 1 4	1	. 1 1 6 11 11		

* μ g ammonia g⁻¹ soil formed after 30 min incubation at 37°C with urea. Figures in parentheses indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT.

Tuble 7 Enect of selected pesticides, at varying concentrations, on population of sactenia (er e 10 g alf son).

Pesticide concentration (kg ha ⁻¹)	Profenofos	Deltamethrin	Thiram	Difenoconazole
Black soil				
0.0	$155 \pm 2.886 e (100)$	$155 \pm 2.886 \text{ e} (100)$	155 ± 2.886 d (100)	155 ± 2.886 d (100)
1.0	$185 \pm 2.886 \text{ d} (119)$	178 ± 1.154 d (114)	$169 \pm 0.577 \text{ c} (109)$	190 ± 2.886 c (122)
2.5	$217 \pm 1.15 4 b (140)$	250 ± 2.886 a (161)	$189 \pm 0.557 \text{ b} (121)$	212 ± 1.155 b (136)
5.0	263 ± 1.732 a (169)	207 ± 1.155 b (133)	215 ± 8.660 a (138)	245 ± 8.660 a (158)
7.5	$202 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (130)$	191 ± 0.577 c (123)	$187 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (120)$	197 ± 1.154 c (127)
10.0	$150 \pm 2.886 e (96)$	$134 \pm 1.155 \text{ f}(86)$	$132 \pm 1.154 \text{ e}(85)$	$147 \pm 1.154 \text{ e} (94)$
Red soil				
0.0	135 ± 2.886 d (100)	$135 \pm 2.886 \text{ e} (100)$	135 ± 2.886 d (100)	135 ± 2.886 d (100)
1.0	$152 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (112)$	152 ± 1.154 d (105)	$150 \pm 2.886 \text{ c} (107)$	141 ± 0.577 c (104)
2.5	$167 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (123)$	172 ± 1.154 b (127)	$168 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (124)$	162 ± 1.154 b (120)
5.0	207 ± 1.154 a (153)	195 ± 2.886 a (144)	200 ± 2.886 a (148)	194 ± 1.154 a (143)
7.5	137 ± 1.154 d (101)	$164 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (121)$	159 ± 2.886 c (117)	139 ± 0.577 c (102)
10.0	$117 \pm 1.154 e (86)$	$120 \pm 2.886 \text{ f}(88)$	$121 \pm 0.577e$ (89)	$103 \pm 0.577 \text{ e}(76)$
* 1 1 6 1 1 1 1				

* Number of colonies per gram soil = <u>No. of colonies × Dilution factor</u> Dry weight of soil

Figures, in parentheses, indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT. CFU: Colony forming units.

lation was studied. Data on the effects of test compounds, profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram, difenoconazole and insecticide combinations such as profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan on bacterial populations are summarized in **Table 7** and **Fig. 1**.

Bacterial populations were significantly higher in black soil treated with profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram and difenoconazole and the identical was happened in case of two insecticide combinations, profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan at 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ than in the untreated control, after 7 days of incubation (Table 7; Fig. 1). Bacterial population in black soil was enhanced with increasing concentrations (up to 7.5 kg ha⁻¹) of four pesticides and in the same was observed in case of insecticide combinations used in the present study. The escalating level of cell number in pesticides and insecticide combinations amended black soil at 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ were 9-61% and 10-64% over control by the end of $\overline{7}$ days of incubation. As seen in the case of black soil the four pesticides and two insecticide combinations were individually stimulatory to the bacterial populations in the red soil too, at all tested concentrations (up to 7.5 kg ha⁻¹) as reflected by higher count of bacterial pollution in pesticidetreated soil than in soil without pesticides (Table 7; Fig. 1). Individual stimulatory effect of monocrotofos, quinalfos and cypermethrin at 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ has been confirmed on nitrifiers, nitrogen-fixing organisms and the population of Azospirillum sp. in soils of groundnut fields (Rangaswamy 1990) and similar observations were observed when pesticides profenofos, deltamethrin, difenoconazole, thiram and their combinations viz., profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan on the populations of Azospirillum sp. in groundnut soils (Madakka and Rangaswamy 2009). In Chinese loamy soils, methamidophos at 0.5, 2.5, 5 and 10 μ g g⁻¹ inhibited the population of bacteria strongly throughout the incubation period (Xu et al. 1997). Chlorpyrifos at 10-300 µg g⁻¹ decreased the population of bacteria in loamy soil (Martínez-Toledo et al. 1992b) whereas profenofos at the same levels increased the populations of



Fig. 1 Effect of insecticide combinations at varying concentrations, on population of bacteria (colony-forming units (CFU) \times 10⁻⁶g⁻¹ dry soil) in black and red soils.

bacteria (Martínez-Toledo et al. 1992a). No significant change in total viable count of bacteria was observed when treated with phorate, carbofuran, carbosulfan, thiamethoxam, imidacloprid, chlorpyrifos, monocrotofos both at high and low concentrations (Sarnaik et al. 2006). Adversely-affected Rhizobium sp. populations were found after the application of any concentration of the herbicides atrazine, isoproturon, metribuzin, and sulfosulfuron in soils in which chickpea was grown (Khan et al. 2006). Wang et al. (2006) concluded that the effect of methamidophos and urea reduced microbial biomass and enhanced functional diversities of soil microbial communities, i.e., some species of bacteria might be enriched in soils under methamidophos stress. Similar observations were found by Demanou et al. (2006), who investigated the effect of a combined application of copper and mefenoxam on the functional diversity of soil and found that microbial populations increased. In another study, benzene and heavy metals reduced the number or diversity of bands in bacterial DGGE gels, indicating toxicity responses (Girvan et al. 2005). Sáez et al. (2006) observed the effect of some pesticides on growth and denitrifying activity of *Xanthobacter autotrophicus* CECT 7064. Chen *et al.* (2007) and Lin *et al.* (2007) who investigated the associated impact of inorganic fertilizers, heavy metals, and pesticides on microbial com-munities in soils. Similarly, Madhaiyan et al. (2006) who studied the effect of various pesticides on the growth and survival of Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus strain PAL5. The monocrotofos, lindane and dichlorvos proved lethal to Gluconacetobacter, while in case of endosulfan, chlorpyrifos, and malathion effects were intermediate. The influence of profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram, difenoconazole and insecticide combinations such as profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan at different levels on the number of bacteria in both soils was assessed (Table 7; Fig. 1). The interaction responses are usually illustrious on the basis of the percent stimulation values (over control) regarding any parameter in soil treated with combination of insecticides or a single pesticide at specified dose in soil. In case, the per cent stimulation in parameter of interest by combination of two different insecticides at a particular dose is comparable to the sum of per cent stimulation in the same parameter shown by respective single insecticides at corresponding dose, an interaction between two insecticides is considered as an additive type. Percent stimulation observed for a combination of insecticides is significantly greater or less than the sum of individual effects of insecticides observed at respective concentrations, indicating synergistic or antagonistic interactions, respectively.

The interaction between different agrochemicals in combination on bacterial populations in soils received least attention in comparison to effects of a single agrochemical. There were no differences in the degree of diversity in bacterial populations by application of combination of 5 pesticides including chlorfenvinfos and glyphosate to a field plot for 20 years (Nicholson and Hirsch 1998). In this study, dominant strains in bacterial populations of treated and untreated plots were not same. Furthermore, higher count of cultured bacteria in treated plots was recorded. In all these studies, a variety of interaction effects such as synergistic, additive and antagonistic observed were dependent on the concentration of the interacting chemical. For instance, the combination of different pesticides, monocrotofos and cypermethrin or fenvalerate, at a lower level (5 µg ml⁻¹) yielded an additive or synergistic interaction response to inhibition of growth of Scenedesmus bijugatus in pure culture studies whereas the same combination at a higher level $(25 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$ exerted an antagonistic interaction with same test system (Megharaj et al. 1989). Antagonistic interactions were predominant in interaction studies with the same pesticides on another photosynthetic organism, Synechococcus elongatus. However, results from interaction studies with

another combination, quinalphos and cypermethrin/fenvalerate were not clear because of high toxicity of quinalphos alone at an even lower level (5 μ g ml⁻¹) to the growth of S. bijugatus. In another study, using a two-component mixture on a cyanobacterium, Anabaena inaequalis, all combinations containing permethrin or its degradation product, 3phenoxybenzaldehyde interacted in an additive manner on toxicity towards photosynthesis whereas antagonistic interactions were predominant with any combination containing 3-phenoxybenzoic acid on inhibition of growth (Stratton and Corke 1982a). Correspondingly, consistent interactions took place between individual pesticides and insecticide combinations on bacterial populations in both soils in the present study. Gundi et al. (2007) investigated that such diverse effects of insecticides on two enzyme activities was in concomitant to populations of cellulolytic and amylolytic microbes in soils treated with insecticides and their combinations. Niewiadomska (2004) and Niewiadomska and Klama (2005) reported the adverse effects of carbendazime, thiram (fungicides), and imazetapir (herbicide) on nitrogenase activity of Rhizobium leguminosarum, Sinorhizobium meliloti and Bradyrhizobium sp. Wang et al. (2007) demonstrated that the addition of a high concentration of butachlor applied in combination with Cd significantly affected the diversity of microbial community.

2. Fungal populations

Profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram and difenoconazole and two insecticide combinations such as profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan were tested for their effects on fungal populations in two soils. The data obtained from these experiments were furnished in **Tables 8** and **9**.

Fungal populations in both soils increased with increasing concentrations (up to 5 kg ha⁻¹) of all the tested pesticides and insecticide combinations. Stimulation in fungal populations in the range of 12-62% by profenofos, delta-methrin, thiram, difenaconazole and 11-55% by profeno-fos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfon at all three levels i.e., 10, 25 and 50 ppm for 5 days incubation in black soil occurred. About 16-75% by individual pesticides and 13-66% by insecticide combinations increase in fungal flora was observed in red soil (Tables 8, 9). In contrast, phorate considerably stimulated a population of fungi in soil than fenvalerate under laboratory conditions (Das and Mukherjee 1998a). In their study, both insecticides affected fungal composition and diversity in soil by stimulating relative proportion of Penicillium and reducing Rhizophus. In a similar study, even under field conditions, fenvalerate exerted stimulatory effect on fungal populations (Das et al. 1995). Sigler and Turco (2002) revealed that chlorothalonil removed a number of bands from the fungus community DGGE profile of agricultural and turfgrass soils 2 weeks

Table 8 Effect of selected 1	pesticides, at vary	ying concentrations,	on population c	of fungi (CFU $\times 10^5$	$g^{-1} dr$	v soil).*	*
					0	5	

Pesticide concentration (kg ha ⁻¹)	Profenofos	Deltamethrin	Thiram	Difenoconazole
Black soil				
0.0	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (100)$	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (100)$	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (100)$	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (100)$
1.0	18 ± 1.154 b (112)	19 ± 0.577 a (118)	19 ± 0.577 b (118)	$18 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (112)$
2.5	24 ± 1.154 a (150)	26 ± 1.154 a (162)	25 ± 2.886 a (156)	22 ± 1.154 a (137)
5.0	20 ± 1.154 a (125)	20 ± 1.154 a (125)	18 ± 1.154 b (112)	19 ± 0.577 b (118)
7.5	$15 \pm 2.886 \text{ b} (93)$	$15 \pm 0.577 \text{ b} (93)$	13 ± 1.732 c (81)	15 ± 0.577 c (93)
10.0	$10 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (62)$	$11 \pm 0.577 c$ (68)	$10 \pm 1.154 \text{ d} (62)$	9 ± 0.577 d (56)
Red soil				
0.0	$12 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (100)$	$12 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (100)$	$12 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (100)$	$12 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (100)$
1.0	$15 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (125)$	14 ± 1.154 b (116)	15 ± 0.577 b (125)	$15 \pm 0.577 \text{ b} (125)$
2.5	21 ± 0.577 a (175)	19 ± 0.577 a (158)	20 ± 1.154 a (166)	21 ± 0.577 a (175)
5.0	18 ± 1.154 a (150)	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (133)$	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (133)$	$17 \pm 0.577 \text{ b} (141)$
7.5	$10 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (63)$	$12 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (100)$	11 ± 0.577 c (91)	14 ± 0.577 b (116)
10.0	$7 \pm 0.577 \text{ d} (58)$	6 ± 1.154 d (50)	8 ± 0.577 d (66)	$7 \pm 0.577 \text{ d} (58)$

* Number of colonies per gram soil = <u>No. of colonies × Dilution factor</u>

Dry weight of soil

Figures, in parentheses, indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT. CFU: Colony forming units.

Table 9 Effect of insecticide combinations	s, at varying concentrations, on
population of fungi (CFU $\times 10^5 g^{-1}$ dry soil) *

Concentration of insecticide combinations (kg ha ⁻¹)	Profenofos + cypermethrin	Deltamehtrin + endosulfan
Black soil		
0.0	18 ± 1.154 d (100)	$18 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (100)$
1.0	20 ± 1.154 c (111)	21 ± 0.577 b (116)
2.5	$24 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (133)$	$24 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (133)$
5.0	27 ± 0.577 a (150)	28 ± 1.154 a (155)
7.5	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ d} (88)$	$16 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (88)$
10.0	$11 \pm 0.577 e(61)$	$12 \pm 1.154 d$ (66)
Red soil		
0.0	15 ± 0.577 c (100)	15 ± 0.577 d (100)
1.0	17 ± 0.577 b (113)	$18 \pm 1.154 \text{ c} (120)$
2.5	19 ± 0.577 b (126)	$20 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (133)$
5.0	23 ± 1.732 a (153)	25 ± 0.577 a (166)
7.5	22 ± 1.154 a (146)	14 ± 0.577 d (93)
10.0	$10 \pm 1.154 d (66)$	$9 \pm 0.577 e(60)$
* Number of colonies per	gram soil = No. of colonies	× Dilution factor

Dry weight of soil

Figures, in parentheses, indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT. CFU: Colony forming units.

after application.

Like in bacterial populations, profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram and difenoconazole and the tested two insecticide combinations such as profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan interacted differently and yielded synergistic, additive and antagonistic interactions towards fungal populations in both soils (Table 8, 9). According to studies of Houseworth and Tweedy (1973), additive responses occurred when atrazine was applied to the soil in combination with the fungicide, captan or thiram at 10 ppm towards the population of fungi. On the other hand, application of another fungicide, benomyl in combination with the thiram to a raw mulch soil as a dust significantly decreased the population of total fungi and Fusarium sp. over control (Ferris and Mitchell 1981). Permethrin and its degradation products in combination interacted to yield antagonistic, additive and synergistic interaction towards the growth of fungi in pure culture studies (Stratton and Corke 1982a). Similarly, a combination of DDT + parathion + zineb enhanced fungal population in soils when applied at field rates (Hubbel et al. 1973). According to Nowak et al. (2004), isoproturon decreased population of actinomycetes and fungi. Gundi et al. (2005) studied the effect of three insecticides (monochrotophos, quinalphos, and cypermethrin) on micro-bial populations in a black clay soil. They observed synergistic effects at the lower level and adverse effects at the highest level of the insecticides.



Fig. 2 Effect of insecticide concentration on population of actinomycetes (colony-forming units (CFU) $\times 10^{-5}$ g⁻¹ dry soil) in black and red soil.

In the present study, considerable information was obtained regarding interaction effects between pesticides towards fungal populations in soils. Synergistic/additive interactions between insecticides in combinations at lowest concentration were predominant towards fungal population in soils whereas antagonistic response mostly figured in soils with combination of insecticides at the highest level in the present study.

3. Actinomycete populations

Profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram and difenoconazole and two insecticide combinations such as profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulfan were tested for their effects on actinomycetes populations in two soils as described. The data obtained from these experiments, furnished in Table 10 and Fig. 2, reveal the impact of different concentrations of pesticides profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram, difenoconazole and the tested insecticide combinations profenofos + cypermethrin and deltamethrin + endosulphan on actinomycetes population in black and red soil after 7 days of soil incubation. Concentrations of the four pesticides and the tested insecticide combinations up to 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ significantly increased the population of actinomycetes. The increase in cell number of actinomycetes was 4-47% in black soil, whereas in red soil, it was 14-59%. However, in the case of insecticide combination applications to the soil samples, the increase cell number of actinomycetes was 3-40% in black soil and 9-54% in red soil. Even though the actinomycetes population was increased up to 7.5 kg ha⁻¹, the stimulation was more pronounced at the 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ of profenofos, deltamethrin, thiram, difenoconazole and insecticide combinations profenofos + cypermethrin and delta-

Table 10 Effect of selected	pesticides, at varying	concentrations, on po	opulation of actinomy	ycetes (CFU \times 10 ⁵	g ⁻¹ dr	ry soil).*
-----------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------	------------

Pesticide concentration (kg ha ⁻¹)	Profenofos	Deltamethrin	Thiram	Difenoconazole
Black soil				
0.0	$115 \pm 2.886 \text{ d} (100)$	115 ± 2.886 d (100)	115 ± 2.886 d (100)	115 ± 2.886 d (100)
1.0	129 ± 0.577 c (112)	$120 \pm 2.886 \text{ c} (104)$	127 ± 1.154 c (110)	$124 \pm 2.309 \text{ c} (107)$
2.5	144 ± 1.154 b (125)	$140 \pm 2.886 \text{ b} (121)$	146 ± 1.154 b (126)	137 ± 1.154 b (119)
5.0	169 ± 0.577 a (146)	164 ± 2.309 a (142)	165 ± 2.886 a (143)	170 ± 2.886 a (147)
7.5	127 ± 1.154 c (110)	$120 \pm 2.886 \text{ c} (104)$	$115 \pm 2.886 \text{ d} (100)$	$118 \pm 2.886 \text{ d} (156)$
10.0	$105 \pm 2.886 e (91)$	$95 \pm 2.886 e(82)$	$87 \pm 1.154 e(75)$	$96 \pm 2.309 e (83)$
Red soil				
0.0	$96 \pm 1.154 \text{ e} (100)$	$96 \pm 1.154 e (100)$	$96 \pm 1.154 e (100)$	$96 \pm 1.154 e (100)$
1.0	$112 \pm 1.154 \text{ d} (116)$	121 ± 0.577 c (126)	112 ± 1.154 c (116)	110 ± 2.886 c (114)
2.5	$127 \pm 1.154 \text{ b} (132)$	$135 \pm 2.886 \text{ b} (140)$	$123 \pm 1.732 \text{ b} (128)$	127 ± 1.154 b (132)
5.0	$142 \pm 1.154 \text{ a} (147)$	$151 \pm 0.577 a (157)$	143 ± 1.732 a (148)	153 ± 1.732 a (159)
7.5	$119 \pm 2.309 \text{ c} (123)$	$110 \pm 2.886 \text{ d} (114)$	$107 \pm 1.154 \text{ d}(111)$	$104 \pm 2.309 \text{ d} (108)$
10.0	87 ± 1.154 f (90)	$86 \pm 1.154 f(89)$	$89 \pm 0.577 \text{ f}(92)$	$92 \pm 1.154 \text{ e} (95)$
* Number of colonies per gram soil = N	o. of colonies × Dilution factor	•		

Dry weight of soil

Figures, in parentheses, indicate relative production percentages. Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$) from each other according to DMRT. CFU: Colony forming units.

methrin + endosulfan in black and red soil. Significant stimulation of actinomycetes population was more in black soil than the red soil (**Table 10; Fig. 2**).

Shetty and Magu (2000) reported that metalaxyl at 0.5 ppm, incubated for 4 and 8 weeks significantly stimulated the actinomycete population in a sandy loam soil. Current findings revealed that actinomycete population was inhibited at 10 kg ha⁻¹ of the selected pesticides and the used insecticide combination application in both soils. The inhibitory effect was more distinct in red soil compared to black soil (Table 10; Fig. 2). Gundi et al. (2005) studied the effect of three insecticides (monochrotophos, quinalphos, and cypermethrin) on microbial populations in a black clay soil. They observed synergistic effects at the lower level and adverse effects at the highest level of the insecticides. In contrary, toxic effects of pesticides (captan, deltameth-rin, isoproturon, and pirimicarb) were observed on freshwater sediment microbial communities even at concentrations predicted to be environmentally safe (Widenfalk et al. 2004). Wang et al. (2007) investigated the combined effect of cadmium (Cd) and butachlor on microbial activity. They demonstrated that the addition of high concentration of butachlor applied in combination with Cd significantly affected the diversity of microbial community. Almost similar results were reported by Chen et al. (2007) and Lin et al. (2007) who investigated the associated impact of inorganic fertilizers, heavy metals, and pesticides on microbial communities in soils.

CONCLUSION

This study has shown that the variation in soil microbial activity reflects the capacity of microorganisms to respond to inputs of pesticides in combinations profenofos + cypermethrin, deltamethrin + endosulfan or in individual applications of profenofos, deltamethrin, difenoconazole, thiram to soils increased the enzyme activity and microbial populations up to 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ and decreased the activity when increased pesticide concentration in both soils. Stimulation and pronounced activity of urease by selective pesticides was at 20 day period of incubation. Prolonged incubation up to 40 days of pesticides treated soils on the enzyme activity showed no effect. Individual application of pesticides the maximum observed increment of urease activity in two soils was up to 42%, where as in combinations of pesticides it was up to 82%. The results of the present study clearly indicate that these pesticides in combinations and their individuals at field application rates, enhance the activity of urease and microbial populations in soils.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MM is thankful to the University Grants Commission New Delhi for financial assistance. The authors thank Dr. Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva for improving the grammar, style and structure.

REFERENCES

- Anderson JR (1978) Pesticide effects on non-target soil microorganisms. In: Hill IR, Wright SJL (Eds) *Pesticide Microbiology*, Academic Press, London, pp 313-533
- Antonious GF (2003) Impact of soil management and two botanical insecticides on urease and invertase activity. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health* B 38, 479-488
- Balasubramanian K, Sankaran S (2001) Effect of pendimethalin on soil microorganisms. *Indian Agriculture* **45**, 93-98
- Baxter J, Cummings SP (2008) The degradation of the herbicide bromoxynil and its impact on bacterial diversity in a top soil. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 104, 1605-1616
- Chakrabarti K, Sarkar B, Chakraborty A, Banik P, Bagchi DK (2000) Organic recycling for soil quality conservation in a sub tropical plateau region. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 184, 137-142
- Chen J, Zhuang X-L, Xie H-J, Bai Z-H, Qin H-Y, Zhang H-X (2007) Associated impact of inorganic fertilizers and pesticides on microbial communities in soils. *World Journal of Microbiology Biotechnology* **23**, 23-29

Chen SK, Edwards CA, Subler S (2001b) A microcosm approach for eval-

uating the effects of the fungicides benomyl and captan on soil ecological processes and plant growth. *Applied Soil Ecology* **18**, 69-82

- Das AC, Mukherjee D (1998a) Insecticidal effects on soil microorganisms and their biochemical processes related to soil fertility. World Journal of Microbiology Biotechnology 14, 903-909
- Das AC, Chakravarty A, Sukul P, Mukherjee D (1995) Insecticides: Their effect on microorganisms and persistence in rice soil. *Microbiological Research* 150, 187-194
- Demanou J, Sharma S, Weber A, Berndt-Michae W, Njine T, Monkiedje A, Munch JC, Schloter M (2006) Shifts in microbial community functions and nitrifying communities as a result of combined application of copper and mefenoxam. FEMS Microbiology Letters 260, 55-62
- Dutta M, Sardar D, Pal R, Kole RK (2010) Effect of chlorpyrifos on microbial biomass and activities in tropical clay loam soil. *Environmental Moni*toring Assessment 160, 385-391
- Fawcett JK, Scott JE (1960) A rapid and precise method for the determination of urea. Journal of Clinical Pathology 13, 156-159
- Ferriss RS, Mitchell DJ (1981) Population dynamics of soil microorganisms associated with fungicide dusted *Caladium* seed pieces. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 13, 57-63
- Gianfreda I, Sannino F, Ortega N, Nannipieri P (1994) Activity of free and immobilized urease in soil: Effects of pesticides. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 26, 777-784
- Girvan MS, Campbell CD, Killham K, Prosser JI, Glover LA (2005) Bacterial diversity promotes community stability and functional resilience after perturbation. *Environmental Microbiology* 7, 301-331
- Gundi VAKB, Narasimha G, Reddy BR (2005) Interaction effects of insecticides on microbial populations and dehydrogenase activity in a black clay soil. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health* B 40, 269-283
- Gundi VAKB, Viswanath B, Chandra MS, Kumar VN and Reddy BR (2007) Activities of cellulase and amylase in soils as influenced by insecticide interactions. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 68, 278-285
- Houseworth LD, Tweedy BG (1973) Effect of atrazine in combination with captan or thiram upon fungal and bacterial populations in the soil. *Plant Soil* 38, 493-500
- Hubbel DH, Rothwell DF, Wheeler WB, Tappan WB, Rhoads FM (1973) Microbial effects and persistence of some pesticide combinations in soil. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **2**, 96
- Hussain S, Arshad M, Saleem M, Khalid A (2007a) Biodegradation of a- and b-endosulfan by soil bacteria. *Biodegradation* **18**, 731-740
- Hussain S, Arshad M, Saleem M, Zahir ZA (2007b) Screening of soil fungi for *in vitro* degradation of endosulfan. *World Journal of Microbiology Biotechnology* 23, 939-945
- Ingram CW, Coyne MS, Williams DW (2005) Effects of commercial diazinon and imidacloprid on microbial urease activity in soil. *Journal of Environmen*tal Quality 34, 1573-1580
- Jaya Madhuri R, Rangaswamy V (2003) Influence of selected fungicides on microbial population in groundnut (*Arachis hypogeae* L.) soils. *Pollution Research* 22, 205-212
- Jayashree R, Vasudevan N (2007) Persistence and distribution of endosulfan under field condition. Environmental Monitoring Assessment 131, 475-487
- Kalyani SS, Sharma J, Singh S, Dureja P, Lata S (2009) Enrichment and isolation of endosulfan degrading microorganism from tropical acid soil. *Jour*nal of Environmental Science and Health B 44, 663-672
- Khan MS, Zaidi A, Rizvi PQ (2006) Biotoxic effects of herbicides on growth, nodulation, nitrogenase activity, and seed production in chickpeas. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 37, 1783-1793
- Kumar M, Philip L (2006) Enrichment and isolation of a mixed bacterial culture for complete mineralization of endosulfan. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health B* 41, 81-96
- Li G, Wang K, Liu YH (2008) Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel pyrethroid hydrolyzing esterase originating from the metagenome. *Microbial Cell Factories* **7**, 38
- Lin Q, Zhao HM, Chen YX (2007) Effects of 2,4-dichlorophenol, pentachlorophenol and vegetation on microbial characteristics in a heavy metal polluted soil. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health B* 42, 551-557
- Littlefield-Wyer JG, Brooks P, Katouli M (2008) Application of biochemical fingerprinting and fatty acid methyl ester profiling to assess the effect of the pesticide Atradex on aquatic microbial communities. *Environmental Pollution* 153, 393-400
- Madakka M, Rangaswamy V (2009) Effect of pesticides and insecticide combinations on Azosirillum sps in groundnut soils. Pollution Research 28, 105-109
- Madhaiyan A, Poonguzhali S, Hari K, Saravanan VS, Sa T (2006) Influence of pesticides on the growth rate and plant-growth promoting traits of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus*. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 84, 143-154
- Mahía J, Cabaneiro A, Carballas T, Díaz-Raviña M (2008) Microbial biomass and C mineralization in agricultural soils as affected by atrazine addition. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 45, 99-105
- Malkomes HP (2001) Microbiological tests of the effects of plant protection products in soil. Experience and proposals to improve ecotoxicological significance. *Bulletin of DEPP* 31, 159-167

- Martinez-Toledo MV, Salmeron V, Gonzalez-Lopez J (1992a) Effect of an organophosphorus insecticide, profenofos, on agricultural soil microflora. *Chemosphere* 24, 71-80
- Martinez-Toledo MV, Salmeron V, Gonzalez-Lopez J (1992b) Effect of the insecticides pyrimifos-methyl and chlorpyrifos on soil microflora in an agricultural loam. *Plant and Soil* 147, 25-30
- Megharaj M, Singleton I, Kookana R, Naidu R (1999) Persistence and effects of fenamiphos on native algal populations and enzymatic activities in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **31**, 1549-1553
- Megharaj M, Venkateswarlu K, Rao AS (1989) Interaction effects of insecticide combinations towards the growth of *Scenedesmus bijugatus* and *Syne*chococcus elongatus. Plant and Soil 114, 159-163
- Nicholson PS, Hirsch PR (1998) The effects of pesticides on the diversity of culturable soil bacteria. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **84**, 551-558
- Niewiadomska A (2004) Effect of carbendazim, imazetapir and thiramon nitrogenase activity, the number of microorganisms in soil and yield of red clover (*Trifolium pretense* L). *Pollution Journal Environmental Studies* 13, 403-410
- Niewiadomska A, Klama J (2005) Pesticide side effect on the symbiotic efficiency and nitrogenise activity of Rhizobiaceae bacteria family. *Pollution Journal of Microbiology* **54**, 43-48
- Nowak A, Nowak J, Klodka D, Pryzbulewska K, Telesinski A, Szopa E (2004) Changes in the microflora and biological activity of the soil during the degradation of isoproturon. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection* 19, 1003-1016
- Pascual JA, García C, Hernández T (1999) Lasting microbiological and biochemical effects of the addition of municipal solid waste to an arid soil. *Biology of Fertile Soils* 30, 1-6
- Pascual JA, García C, Hernández T, Moreno JL, Ross M (2000) Soil microbial activity as a biomarker of degradation and remediation processes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 32, 1877-1883
- Qian H, Hu B, Wang Z, Xu X, Hong T (2007) Effects of validamycin on some enzymatic activities in soil. Environmental Monitoring Assessment 125, 1-8
- Rangaswamy V (1990) Interactions between insecticides and micro-organisms in soils. PhD thesis, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur, India, 171 pp
- Rangaswamy V, Venkateswarlu K (2000) The influence of cypermethrin and fenvalerate on soil population of *Azospirillum* sps. In: Maheshwari DK, Dubey RC, Prasad G, Navneet N (Eds) *Microbes, Agriculture Industry and Environment*, Denra Dun, India, pp 225-230
- Rangaswamy V, Venkateswarlu K (1992b) Effects of monocrotophos, quinalphos, cypermethrin and fenvalerate on urease activity in groundnut soils. *Environmental Ecology* **10**, 429-433
- Romeh AA, Mekky TM, Ramadan RA, Hendawi MY (2009) Dissipation of profenofos, Imidacloprid and Penconazole in tomato fruits and products. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 83, 812-817
- Sáez F, Pozo C, Gómez MA, Martínez-Toledo MV, Rodelas B, Gónzalez-López J (2006) Growth and denitrifying activity of Xanthobacter autotrophicus CECT 7064 in the presence of selected pesticides. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 71, 563-567
- Sarnaik SS, Kanekar PP, Raut VM, Taware SP, Chavan DS, Bhadbhade BJ (2006) Effect of application of different pesticides to soybean on the soil microflora. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 27, 423-426
- Schuster E, Schroder D (1990) Side-effects of sequentially- and simultaneously-applied pesticides on non target soil microorganisms: Laboratory experiments. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 22, 375-383
- Shetty PK, Magu SP (2000) Effect of metalaxyl on soil microbial population. Journal of Tropic Agriculture 38, 63-65

- Shukla PK, Mishra RR (1997) Influence of herbicides on microbial population and enzyme activities in potato (Solanum tuberosum) field soil. Journal of Agricultural Science 67, 610-611
- Shushkova T, Ermakova I, Leontievsky A (2010) Glyphosate bioavailability in soil. *Biodegradation* 21, 403-10
- Sigler WV, Turco RF (2002) The impact of chlorothalonil application on soil bacterial and fungal populations as assessed by denaturing gradient electrophoresis. *Applied Soil Ecology* 21, 107-118
- Singh DK, Kumar S (2008) Nitrate reductase, arginine deaminase, urease and dehydrogenase activities in natural soil (ridges with forest) and in cotton soil after acetamiprid treatments. *Chemosphere* 71, 412-418
- Srinivasulu M, Jaffer Mohiddin G, Madakka M, Rangaswamy V (2010) Phosphatase and urease activity in groundnut soils as influenced by the selected fungicides. Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Environmental Sciences 12, 141-146
- Srinivasulu M, Madakka M, Jaffer Mohiddin G, Rangaswamy V (2010) Effect of monocrotofos, chloropyriphos alone and in combination with mancozeb and carbendazem on urease activity in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) soils. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation* 16, 89-94
- Stratton GW, Corke CT (1982a) Toxicity of the insecticide permethrin and some degradation products towards algae and cyanobacteria. *Environment* and Pollution Search A 29, 71-80
- Surya Kalyani S, Sharma J, Dureja P, Singh S, Lata S (2010) Influence of endosulfan on microbial biomass and soil enzymatic activities of a tropical alfisol. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 84, 351-356
- Swaminathan P, Prabaharan D, Uma L (2009) Fate of few pesticides-metabolizing enzymes in the marine cyanobacterium *Phormidium valderianum* BDU 20041 in perspective with chlorpyrifos exposure. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 94, 68-72
- Tejada M (2009) Evolution of soil biological properties after addition of glyphosate, diflufenican and glyphosate + diflufenican herbicides. *Chemosphere* 76, 365-373
- Vijay Gundi AKB, Viswanath B, Subhosh Chandra M, Narahari Kumar V, Rajasekhar Reddy B (2007) Activities of cellulose and amylase in soils as influenced by insecticide interactions. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 68, 278-285
- Wang J, Lu Y, Shen G (2007) Combined effects of cadmium and butachlor on soil enzyme activities and microbial community structure. *Environmental Geology* 51, 1093-1284
- Wang MC, Gong M, Zang HB, Hua XM, Yao J, Pang YJ, Yang YH (2006) Effect of methamidophos and urea application on microbial communities in soils as determined by microbial biomass and community level physiological profiles. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health B* 41, 399-413
- White PM, Potter TL, Culbreath AK (2010) Fungicide dissipation and impact on metolachlor aerobic soil degradation and soil microbial dynamics. *Science* of the Total Environment 408, 1393-402
- Widenfalk A, Svensson JM, Goedkoop W (2004) Effects of the pesticides captan, deltamethrin, isoproturon and pirimicarb on the microbial community of a freshwater sediment. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 23, 1920-1927
- Xu BJ, Zhang YX, Zhu NW, Ming H, Zao YH (1997) Effects of methamidophos on soil microbial activity. Environmental behaviour of crop protection chemicals. Proceedings of an International Symposium on the use of Nuclear and Related Techniques for Studying Environmental Behaviour of Crop Protection Chemicals, Vienna, Australia, pp 489-494
- Yang C, Sun T, He W, Chen S (2006) Effects of pesticides on soil urease activity. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao (Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology)* 17, 1354-1356