Antitumor Effect of Honey and Squirting Cucumber Fruit Juice Mixture on Glioblastoma Cells in Vitro
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ABSTRACT

The study aimed to investigate the antitumor effects of Ecbalium elaterium (squirting cucumber) (Cucurbitaceae) fruit juice and some fruit extracts as well as bee honey separately and in mixture. The fruit juice of E. elaterium, which is found to be rich in cucarbitacins, was selected for further evaluation of antitumor activity on the bases of a phytochemical study by thin layer chromatographic technique (TLC). The antitumor effects of the fruit juice and bee honey (separately and in mixture) were assessed for human brain tumor cell line (U87) using trypan blue exclusion assay for evaluating cytotoxicity, and H2-dihymidine assay for estimating DNA synthesis. ELISA technique for measuring cell cycle regulation and the evaluation of the effects on metastasis and angiogenesis were also achieved. Results suggested that honey did not inhibit tumor cell growth through cyclin D1, P21 regulation or cell number reduction but through a significant inhibition of DNA synthesis. Results showed that E. elaterium fruit juice significantly down regulated P21, up-regulated cyclin D1, decreased cell number and inhibited DNA synthesis. Mixing of honey with E. elaterium fruit juice decreased the cytotoxicity induced by the latter and produced intermediate inhibition of DNA synthesis and down regulation of P21. All treatments inhibited MMP2 and MMP9. Thus, honey was able to reduce the cytotoxic effect of E. elaterium juice on glioblastoma cells enhancing its ability of inhibiting DNA synthesis and they acting together as antiangiogenic and antimetastatic agents.
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INTRODUCTION

Natural products form the basis of many of the drugs currently in commercial use or under development. More than 3000 species of herbs used in cancer treatment since ancient times are known to biomedicine (Montbriand 1999; Cragg et al. 2006). Ecbalium elaterium fruit juice (a Mediterranean plant belonging to Cucurbitaceae) possesses marked antitumor activity and tumor necrotizing capacity (Seger et al. 2005). It has high content of cucarbitacins, the bitter principles that cause the high toxicity of this plant especially of the fruit and they are well known biologically active compounds (Lavie and Glotter 1971). The high toxicity of the fruit juice of E. elaterium in spite of its medicinal properties limits further researches as antitumor drug. The alleged uses of the fruit juice are as a potent cathartic, analgesic, and antiinflammatory agent. Cucurbitacin B, a triterpene derivative is the active antiinflammatory principal (Raikhlin-Eisenkraft and Bentur 2000).

Honey, as a natural product, is known with its several biological activities (Molan and Allen 1996; Sesta et al. 2006). It is considered one of the most complex mixtures of carbohydrates produced in nature (Swallow 1990). Studies that report the use of honey as an antitumor are few. Crude honey is known to enhance proliferation of both normal and malignant cells (Suguna et al. 1992; Abuhasel 1999; Al-Jady et al. 2000; Tonks 2001) because it provides substrates for glycolysis, which is the major mechanism for energy production for cell proliferation (Spoden et al. 2009).

On the other hand, it was reported that honey is a promising antitumor agent with pronounced antimetastatic and antiangiogenic effects in different model systems (Gribel and Pashinskii 1990; Dunford et al. 2000; Egeblad and Werb 2002; Nada and Ivan 2004; Orsolic et al. 2005). It was found that honey decreases the total extracellular protease and extracellular gelatinolytic activities of HepG2 cells which have been implicated in the induction of the angiogenic switch (Amer et al. 2008). Most of the biological activities of honey were attributed mainly to its high content of vitamins such as vitamin C which is known by its powerful medicinal effects including its antitumor effect (Kong et al. 2009). The biological activity of honey may also was attributed to the presence of an unknown substance(s) called inhibitor(s) (Molan and Allen 1996; Molan and Brett 1998).

Therapy directed against oncogenes or their products would be more specific to cancer and less harmful to normal tissues of the body. New targets for therapy no longer focus on the traditional foundations of cytotoxic therapy, but focus instead on the processes of invasion, metastasis, cell cycle control, differentiation. Proteolytic degradation of the basement membrane and the extracellular matrix is a central aspect of neovascularization (angiogenesis) and malignant growth (Scorilas et al. 2001). Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are likely to be play a key role as relevant mediators of the extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation and hence neovascularization (Yu et al. 1998; Belleguic et al. 2002). An inhibitor of metastasis or angiogenesis might prevent new lesions from appearing and/or preexisting lesions from growing (Almholm 2008). Therefore, MMPs are considered as drug targets (Sang 1998; Lubbe et al. 2006). In addition, the key genes in controlling cell cycle provide obvious target for new generation of anticancer drugs. P21 protein is an important regulator at the G1 checkpoint in the cell cycle (Li et al. 2004) and Cyclin D1 is a key regulator of G1 to S phase progression of the cell cycle (Zhao et al. 2001).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

*Ecbalium elaterium* fruits were collected from Libya and transferred to Egypt on dry ice. The juice of the fruits was prepared by direct squeezing then extracted by 70% ethanol. The *E. elaterium* fruits were also extracted with 70% methanol and then a successive extraction with petroleum ether, ether, ethyl acetate, chloroform, and chloroform/methanol respectively. A dark brown residue was remained. All extracts were distilled off under reduced pressure at 50°C. Cucurbitacins were detected using thin layer chromatographic technique and vanillin-phosphoric acid spray reagent.

Tests of honey adulteration

Three commercial honey samples were collected from Egyptian markets and then tested for adulteration and improper condition of storage (Amer et al. 2008). Honey (I) called El Semny brought from Cairo markets, honey (II) was brought from El Sharkia Governorate and honey (III) was brought from El Fayoum Governorate. Honey samples were subjected to tests of adulteration [diastase activity, 5hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) content and detection of commercial glucose]. Unadulterated honey II was selected for further bioassays. Honey samples were brought as one lot (1 Kg) to avoid any consistency in the results.

Biological studies

1. **Tissue culture**

Tumor brain glioblastoma cell line (U251), established by Tsuboi et al. (1996), was supplied by the National Cancer Institute, Department of Cancer Biology, Cairo University. Cells were propagated and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium with L-glutamine (Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma) for growth and 2% for maintenance medium, 1% of 4% sodium bicarbonate (Merek) and 1% antibiotic mixture (Sigma). Exponentially growing cells were used in all experiments.

2. **Cytotoxicity**

Cells were treated with *Ecbalium elaterium* fruit juice, ethanol extract, and ether extract of the fruits at fixed concentration of 2 μg/5 × 10^6 cells and then left for 72 hrs. Viable cells were counted using trypan blue exclusion assay (Sugibayashi et al. 2002). Based on the results of the cytotoxicity, the fruit juice was selected for further experiments because of their low cytotoxicity. LC50 of *E. elaterium* fruit juice on glioblastoma U251 cells propagated in vitro was estimated. Cells were also treated with different dilutions of honey (10, 100, 1000 μg/2 × 10^6 cells). The viable cell number was also determined.

3. **Bioassays**

Cells were treated with honey (1000 μg/2 × 10^6 cells), fruit juice (30 μg/2 × 10^6 cells) and honey-fruit juice mixture (1000: 30 μg/2 × 10^6 cells) then incubated for different time intervals. The selected concentration of the fruit juice was below the LC50 range in order to avoid its high toxicity. Cells were treated for three days then harvested and then subjected for further bioassays.

4. **Determination of DNA synthesis**

DNA synthesis was measured according to the method described by Yamao et al. 1993. In brief, a 6 well plate, cells were seeded in a concentration of 500 × 10^3 cells/well and treated with 1000 μg/2 × 10^6 of honey, 30 μg/2 × 10^6 of juice or 1000: 30 μg/2 × 10^6 honey and fruit juice mixture for three days. Wells were pulsed with 0.5 μCi H-thymidine /well and left over night in the incubator at 37°C. Cells were trypsinized, harvested and dried then counted in a liquid scintillation counter using a scintillation cocktail (5 g 2, 5, diphenyloxazole pop + 1 liter toluene + 500 ml of 10% triton X-100).

5. **Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)**

The method was carried out according to Hahn-Dantona et al. (2001) to evaluate the effect of honey, fruit juice and honey fruit juice mixture on protein levels of P21, cyclin D1 and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP2 and MMP9). A microtiter plate (96- well) was coated with 100 μl of the lysate of cells which were treated with different treatments at 4°C overnight. The plate was washed three times with PBS-NP40 and blocked with 1% BSA buffer containing 5 mM CaCl2 for 1 hr at room temperature. The plate was washed again with PBS-NP40 three times to remove the unbounded proteins. Subsequently, the anti-mouse anti-human IgG monoclonal antibodies of P21, D1, MMP2 or MMP9 (1 μg/ml) was added, respectively to each cell lysate for 2 hrs. To develop the color of the reaction 10 μl of the peroxidase substrate (1 mg/ml p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate in 10 mM diethanolamine) were added. The reaction was measured as absorbance values at 405 nm using a microplate reader.

Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed using SPSS computer program version 1.1 to evaluate all the above determinations. The analysis included the means ± standard deviation and the significance levels of P < 0.05 or 0.001. Two tailed Spearman’s Rho test was used to analyze the effect of different concentrations of the fruit juice on the cell viability. One way ANOVA post-hoc test was used for the analysis of the cytotoxicity of honey, juice and the mixture on the cells at different interval. MANOVA test was used to analyze the effect of the different treatments on H2-thymidine uptake, cell cycle (P21 and cyclin D1) and angiogenesis and metastasis processes (MMP2 and MMP9).

RESULTS

Phytochemical studies revealed the presence of cucurbitacins at different proportions and types in all *E. elaterium* fruit juice fractions tested. The extracts of petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, chloroform, chloroform-methanol and residual portion were excluded from the study because of their relatively low content of cucurbitacins. Fruit juice, ethanol and ether extracts were considered suitable for further biomedical studies according to thin layer chromatography (TLC).

The adulteration tests showed that honey II was considered unadulterated and used for further bioassays due to its neglected amounts of HMF, 3.36 × 10^-5 mg/kg, negative commercial glucose test and a diastase activity around the required limits (7.32 units/kg) whereas the required limits is 8 units/g (Amer et al. 2008). Cytotoxicity

Fig. 1 represents the growth curve of brain tumor cell line (U251) propagated as a monolayer in RPMI-1640 for six days. The doubling time of the tumor cells as elicited from the growth curve of U251 equals to 72 hrs. Cell growth of U251 cells was inhibited significantly in a concentration-dependent manner when treated with the *E. elaterium* fruit juice and the LC50 range equal to 40 to 50 μg/2 × 10^6 cells (Fig. 2). While treatments with ethanol or ether extracts did not affect U251 cell growth. A concentration of *E. elaterium* fruit juice lower than LC50 values was selected for further tests. Ethanol and ether extracts were excluded for further biological tests because of their nonsignificant effects (data not shown). Honey, at different concentrations (10, 100, 1000 μg/2 × 10^6 cells), exhibited non significant effects on U251 cell growth. A concentration of *E. elaterium* fruit juice lower than LC50 values was selected for further tests. Treatment with honey at different time intervals (for six days) revealed that cell growth of U251 cells was not affected. On the other hand, treatment with *E. elaterium* fruit juice and honey-juice mixture for six days inhibited cell growth of U251 significantly (Fig. 4).
DNA synthesis

There was no significant difference between various treatments. Fig. 5 illustrates the inhibition of H$^3$-thymidine uptake in glioblastoma cells (U251) after treatments with honey, fruit juice and honey-juice mixture.

Cell cycle regulation

The treatment of glioblastoma cells with honey, *E. elaterium* fruit juice and honey-juice mixture upregulated cyclin D$_1$ protein level with no significant difference between the treatments of juice and honey-juice mixture (Fig. 6). On the other hand, the same treatments decreased P$_{21}$ protein level significantly.

Regulation of angiogenesis

The gene expression of protein levels of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP$_2$, MMP$_9$) of glioblastoma cells were down regulated after the treatment with honey, fruit juice and honey-juice mixture. The down regulation in case of honey...
was higher than that caused by other treatments and was significantly different to that in case of *E. elaterium* fruit juice treatment (Figs. 7, 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Nature is an attractive source of new therapeutic candidate compounds as a tremendous chemical diversity is found in millions of species of plants and animal products. *E. elaterium* has a high content of cucurbitacins which are well known biologically active compounds. Cucurbitacins, the bitter principles, cause the high toxicity of this plant, especially the fruit (Raikhlin-Eisenkraft and Bentur 2000).

Another natural product of animal and plant origins with several biological activities is honey which known with its safe biological activities (Molan and Brett 1998). Reports in the literature on honey as antitumor substance are few (Valavichyus *et al.* 1975; Keast-Butler 1980; Rady 1996; Dunford *et al.* 2000; Egeblad and Werb 2002).

Antitumor therapy has expanded beyond previous concepts of cytotoxicity and includes agents that induce differentiation through non-cytotoxic pathways (Braverman 1993). Angiogenesis, the process of new blood vessel formation, is considered as a central pathogenic step in the process of tumor growth, invasion and metastasis (Amer *et al.* 2008). Antiangiogenic agents have been recently recognized to be potentially useful in the treatment of malignant processes (Marshall and Hawkins 1995; Gately *et al.* 1997).

Our results revealed that honey had no cytotoxic effect on the human tumor brain cell line (U 251) propagated in vitro at different concentrations and different time intervals. These results are concomitant with the fact proved by many authors that honey causes no tissue or cell damage and is not harmful to tissues or cells (Phuapradit and Saropala 1992; Rady 1996; Subrahmanyan 1996; Molan and Brett 1998; Amer *et al.* 2008). However, honey inhibited DNA synthesis (H^-thymidine uptake) of U 251 after the treatment for three days. These results proved that honey is not a mitogenic agent and the high proliferation rate induced by honey can be attributed to a direct nutritional effect on the cells (Schmidt *et al.* 1992).

Cyclin D1 protein level remains unchanged after the treatment with honey like other antitumor antibiotics (Galoustian 2002; Bogner *et al.* 2003). P 21 is over-expressed in brain metastasis on the contraire with other tumors (Zhang 1995). The down regulation of P 21 protein level of glioblastoma U 251 cells after treatment with honey may be specific for this type of tumor. Effect of honey on P 21 protein level of other tumor cell lines will be evaluated in further studies. Honey also down regulated the protein level of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP2 and MMP 9) of U251 cells significantly. These results suggested that honey may inhibit the angiogenesis and metastasis of brain tumors. These findings in agreement with the results reported by Molan and Brett (1998), who found that treatment with honey, increased the synthesis and cross-linking of collagen (the main component of the extracellular matrix). In addition, honey was implicated in the induction of the angiogenic switch in different other model systems (Egeblad and Werb 2002). These results may attributed to the fact that high sugar concentration is known to increase the synthesis of many matrix components, decreases the expression of MMPs and increases expression of tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases (McLennan *et al.* 2000).

On the other hand, the treatment of glioblastoma cells (U 251) with *E. elaterium* fruit juice showed significant cytotoxic effect in a dose dependent manner with LC 50 of 50-40 µg/2 × 10^6 cells. According to D’Atri *et al.* (2000), a low
cytotoxic dose of the fruit juice was selected in order to induce changes in tumor cells without killing it. Our results suggested that E. elaterium fruit juice cabable of down-regulating matrix metalloproteinases when used in low, non-cytotoxic concentrations. Many of the promising antiangiogenic factors are examples for noncytotoxic antitumor agents for example, squallamine (Sills et al. 1998).

Combined treatment of glioblastoma cells (U251) with honey and E. elaterium fruit juice reduced the cytotoxic effect of E. elaterium juice on glioblastoma cells and enhanced its ability of inhibiting DNA synthesis and also maintained the antiangiogenic and antimetastatic potential. Further studies are recommended to elucidate the mechanism(s) by which these effects are exerted on the tumor cells in vitro and in vivo.

CONCLUSION

The results of the current study revealed that the effect of honey, like other antitumor antibiotics, was not exerted through cell cycle control, where it did not change either the cell number or the expression of cyclin D1, although it down regulated P21. These results, together with the inhibition of DNA synthesis suggest that the treated cells may enter the cell cycle and DNA synthesis is blocked. Therefore, glioblastoma cells after treatment with honey, characterized by low DNA content compared with the control tumor cells. E. elaterium juice, at the concentration used in the current study (< LC50) caused a reduction in the cell number and inhibited DNA synthesis. Our results suggested that honey modulates the cytotoxic effect of E. elaterium fruit juice and at the same time enhanced its inhibitory effect on DNA synthesis when used in a mixture. The mixture also retained the inhibitory effect on MMPs and MMPs of glioblastoma cells. Thus, honey was able to reduce the cytotoxic effect of E. elaterium juice on glioblastoma cells enhancing its ability to inhibit DNA synthesis and maintains its antiangiogenic and antimetastatic potential. Further studies are recommended to elucidate the mechanism(s) by which these effects are exerted on the tumor cells in vitro and in vivo.
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