The Asian and Australasian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology ©2012 Global Science Books



# Community Characteristics of Earthworms in Different Age Groups of Pineapple Plantations (Ananus comosus) in West Tripura, India

# Animesh Dey • P. S. Chaudhuri\*

Department of Zoology, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar, West Tripura -799022, India Corresponding author: \* priya\_1956@rediffmail.com

# ABSTRACT

A total of 13 species of earthworms were collected from four age groups (0-5 years, 15-20 years, 30-35 years and 40-45 years) of pineapple plantations. Among them 4 species belonged to the family Megascolecidae [*Metaphire houlleti* (Perrier), *Metaphire posthuma* (Vailant), *Kanchuria* sp., *Kanchuria sumerianus* Julka], 5 species to the family Octochaetidae [*Eutyphoeus gigas* Stephenson, *Eutyphoeus scutarius* Michaelsen, *Eutyphoeus comillahnus* Michaelsen, *Eutyphoeus gammiei* (Beddard), *Eutyphoeus sp.*], 3 species to the family Moniligastridae [*Drawida assamensis* Gates, *Drawida papillifer papillifer* Stephenson, *Drawida nepalensis* Michaelsen] and one species to the family Glossoscolecidae [*Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Muller)]. Out of 13 species, only 5 [*Drawida assamensis, Drawida papillifer papillifer, Pontoscolex corethrurus, Metaphire houlleti* and *Eutyphoeus gigas*] were common to all the age groups of pineapple plantations. While *M. houlleti, M. posthuma* and *P. corethrurus* are exotic, the rest of the earthworm species are endemic to the Indian subcontinent. *D. assamensis* was the dominant earthworm species in all the age groups of pineapple plantation in respect of its density, biomass and relative abundance. While 30-35 years old pineapple plantation showed highest species. The overall earthworm densities and biomasses increased significantly (P < 0.01) with increase in the age of pineapple plantation. A significant decrease (P < 0.05) in Shannon diversity index and species evenness and significant increase (P < 0.05) in Simpson's dominance index with increase in the age of pineapple plantation. A significant decrease (P < 0.05) in Shannon diversity index and species evenness and significant increase (P < 0.05) in Simpson's dominance index with increase in the age of plantation was worthy of note.

Keywords: earthworm diversity, monoculture, pineapple plantation, plantation age, species richness

# INTRODUCTION

Pineapple (Ananas comosus (L.) Merr.) native to the Southern Brazil and Paraguay was introduced to India by Portuguese in 1548 A.D. (Bartholomew et al. 2003). It is one of the most delicious tropical fruit crops of commercial importance and widely cultivated in the hill slopes of Tripura, checking soil erosion. Pineapple – the only edible fruit crop of Bromeliaceae, is herbaceous, hardy with weak root system and good draught tolerant due to its high stomatal resistance (Kole 2011). It is perennial that usually flowers from February to April. Reproduction is exclusively through vegetative propagules. Fruits are harvested during mid May to mid July. Crude extracts from fruits, stem and leaves of pineapple are good sources of various kinds of sugars, organic acids, vitamins and several proteinase enzymes including bromelains and peroxidases with immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, antithrombotic, fibrinolytic, antihelminthic and tumour growth inhibitory property (Ghosh et al. 2008; Kole 2011). The agro-climatic conditions prevailing in Tripura is ideal for commercial production of its three common varieties viz. Queen, Kew and Mauritius.

Direct effect of plant species on soil organisms are caused by the plant's inputs of organic matter above and below ground, while indirect effect of plants on biota include shading, soil protection and uptake of water and nutrients by roots (Neher 1999). Satchell (1967) showed that there was an inverse correlation between the palatability of leaf litter and its total polyhydric phenol content and a positive correlation with the amount of soluble carbohydrate. According to Bernhard-Reversat and Loumeto (2002) the decomposition rate was negatively correlated with lignin content suggesting that the lignin content of the litter could control faunal consumption. Interestingly decrease in lignin, flavonoid and polyphenol contents of plant with increase in plot age was reported by Howell et al. (1976), Arunachalam et al. (1996) and Chaudhuri et al. (2013). According to Chaudhuri et al. (2003), the quality and quantity of food material influences not only the size but also the species composition, growth rate, fecundity of earthworm population. Since earthworms constitute the highest macro-fauna biomass in tropical soil (Fragoso and Lavelle 1992), they play an important role in maintaining soil fertility, ecosystem function and production (Kavdir 2011; Chaudhuri et al. 2012). Monoculture practices always lead to dominance and decrease in biodiversity (Chaudhuri and Nath 2011; Dey et al. 2012). Interestingly Nath and Chaudhuri (2010) reported human induced biological invasion of exotic earthworm Pontoscolex corethrurus in monoculture rubber plantation.

In fact, reports are scanty on the effect of the age of plantation on soil biota (Chaudhuri *et al.* 2013). Therefore we undertook this study to describe changes if any in community structure, species diversity and dominance of earthworms with increasing age of pineapple plantation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area and sites

The studies on the earthworm communities were conducted during April 2008 – September 2011 in the pineapple plantations (queen variety) of four different age groups: 1-5 years old, 15-20 years

old, 30-35 years old and 40-45 years old plantations in Tripura having a total area of 10,491 sq. km. The state is almost encircled by Bangladesh except in the north-east where it meets its neighbouring states, Assam and Mizoram. For each age group of plantation, 3 replicates were taken. Sampling of earthworms were done at different localities viz. Bamutia, Nandannagar, Shalbagan, Nutannagar, Bishalgarh, Bishramganj, Padmanagar, Jumerdhepa and Boiragibazar of west Tripura. The distance between the studied sites varied from 20-50 km.

Pineapple cannot tolerate water logged condition and are thus usually on undulating uplands, locally called tilla. The soils of well drained pineapple plantations were acidic (pH 4.6-5.5) in nature with loamy sand, loam or sandy loam texture. *Nephelium litche* (Sapindaceae) was the most abundant tree common to all age groups of pineapple plantation. Besides this, presence of *Mangifera indica* (Anacardiaceae) and *Casia tora* (Caesalpinaceae) in 15-20 years plantation, *Syzygium cumini* (Myrtaceae) and *Casia sophera* (Caesalpinaceae) in both 30-35 years and 40-45 years pineapple plantations were remarkable. A few juvenile plants of *Nephelium litche* and *Mangifera indica* were scatteredly distributed in 1-5 years plantation.

The year is divisible into summer (March-May), monsoon (June-September), autumn (October-November) and winter (December-February). The study areas experienced a tropical climate with a mean annual rainfall of 2000 mm and temperature of  $25^{\circ}$ C.

# Earthworm sampling

Earthworms were collected during periods of earthworm activity i.e. from June to October of 2008-2011 by conventional digging and hand sorting (25 cm × 25 cm × 25 cm) (Dey et al. 2012). In each of the replicated study plots (three in number) under different age groups of pineapple plantations, 100 samples were taken from a generally plain terrain of  $150 \times 150 \text{ m}^2$  along transects with random origin. Each transect passed in between the rows of pineapple plantations. The distance between the neighbouring plants and two rows of pineapples were 30 and 60 cm, respectively. A total of 300 samples were taken from each of the age group of plantations. Earthworms were only collected from the plain plots above and below the stiff slopes due to the difficulties of sampling in the latter. In the field, earthworms were counted and weighed on a electronic balance. Results were expressed in terms of biomass (fresh weight g  $m^{-2}$ ) and density (ind.  $m^{-2}$ ). Using the data available, relative abundance, frequency, index of dominance (Simpson 1949), species richness index (Menhinick 1964), index of general diversity (Shannon and Weaner 1963), species evenness (Dash and Dash 2009) of earthworm communities of the studied sites were determined. Morisita's index of dispersion (I<sub>d</sub>) (Morisita 1959) for quadrate count of earthworms was employed to find out the horizontal distribution pattern of earthworms in different age groups of pineapple plantations.  $I_d$  will give expected values <1 and >1 for uniform and clumped distribution, respectively.

Sample data of all species collected during the study period from the four age groups of pineapple plantations were pooled to create species accumulation curve for determining sampling efficiency i.e. a plot with a number of species as a function of the number of individuals sampled (Sorensen et al. 2002). Raw data on species richness counts during the study period from each of the age group of pineapple plantations were pooled to provide rarefaction curves (Unterseher et al. 2008) for comparing estimated species richness among the four age groups of plantations. Steeper curves indicate more diverse communities. To determine the abundance pattern of the earthworm species, the rank abundance curve (Ramesh et al. 2010) was plotted using overall relative abundance of each species in the four types of studied sites. The common species are displayed on the left and rare species on the right side of the curve plotted for the earthworm species of four types of plantations.

### Soil analysis

Soil samples were dug from 0-15 cm depth. Composite soil samples comprising of 5 sub-samples were prepared for physicochemical analysis. Soil samples were air dried, ground with mortar and pestle and sieved with 1 mm and 2 mm sieves. Soil samples were analysed for their moisture (gravimetric wet weight method), pH (1: 2.5 dilution method), soil organic matter (Walkley and Black 1934) and hand texture method (Daji 1996). Soil temperature was recorded in-situ at each sample plot at a depth of 15 cm.

# Data analysis

Variations in physico-chemical properties of soil and some biological parameters like earthworm density and biomass among the four studied sites were tested using one way ANOVA at 5% level of significance (Zar 1999). Where significant factors were evident, Tukey's post-hoc test (Tukey 1953) was applied to examine which particular means were significantly different, at 5% level of significance. A univariate ANOVA was carried out separately by using earthworm density and biomass as dependent (response) and age group of plantation as independent variable (predictor) (Montgomery et al. 2007) to assess the influence of vegetation age on earthworms and whether it is significant or not (Santos et al. 2010; Potvin et al. 2011). Difference among the species indices data viz. index diversity, index of dominance, index of evenness, species richness index and Morisita's index were tested non-parametrically by using Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance (Kruskal and Wallis 1952) followed by Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test (Wilcoxon 1945; Mann and Whitney 1947) for pair wise comparisons. The nature of relationship between soil parameters viz. temperature, moisture, pH, organic matter and earthworm population density and biomass were calculated as simple regression co-efficient (r) (Zar 1999; Pagano and Gauvreau 2004). Similarities of earthworm species composition in four age groups of pineapple plantations were identified using single link cluster analysis based on Bray-Curtis similarity (McAleece 1998).

# RESULTS

### Site characteristics

Pineapple plantations of 1-5 years and 15-20 years age groups had loamy sand and loam soils, while both 30-35 years and 40-45 years age groups had sandy loam soils respectively. In all the studied sites earthworm species were mostly distributed within 15 cm soil depth. Temperature, moisture, pH, organic matter differed significantly (P < 0.01) among the different age groups of pineapple plantations (**Table 1**). According to Tukey's post-hoc test all the soil parameters of the four different age group of plantations were significantly (P < 0.05) different from each other also.

### **Community composition**

A total of 13 species of earthworms were collected from the four age groups of pineapple plantation. Among them 4 species belonged to the family Megascolecidae [Metaphire houlleti (Perrier), Metaphire posthuma (Vailant), Kanchuria sp., Kanchuria sumerianus Julka], 5 species to the family Octochaetidae [Eutyphoeus gigas Stephenson, Eutyphoeus scutarius Michaelsen, Eutyphoeus comillahnus Michaelsen, Eutyphoeus gammiei (Beddard), Eutyphoeus sp.], 3 species to the family Moniligastridae [Drawida assamensis Gates, Drawida papillifer papillifer Stephenson, Drawida nepalensis Michaelsen] and one species to the family Glossoscolecidae [Pontoscolex corethrurus (Muller)]. Out of 13 species, only 5 species [Drawida assamensis, Drawida papillifer papillifer, Pontoscolex corethrurus, Metaphire houlleti and Eutyphoeus gigas] were common to all the age groups of pineapple plantations (Table 2). M. houlleti, M. posthuma and P. corethrurus are exotic, whereas the rests are endemic to the Indian subcontinent. Density, biomass and relative abundance of different earthworm species are shown in Table 2. In respect of their density, biomass and relative abundance, D. assamensis was the dominant earthworm species and M. posthuma, Kanchuria sp., K. sumerianus, E. gigas, E. scutarius, E. comillahnus, E. gammiei, Eutyphoeus sp. and D. nepalensis were the rare species

<b>Table 1</b> Physico-chemical properties of soil and biosynecological parameters of 4 pineapple plantations of different age	gro	ou	p
--	-----	----	---

Parameters*	1-5 years	15-20 years	30-35 years	40-45 years	F value	P value
Soil texture	Loamy sand	Loam	Sandy loam	Sandy loam		
Temperature ( <sup>o</sup> C	$26.62 \pm 0.07a$	$26.14\pm0.07b$	$25.83 \pm 0.07c$	$25.45 \pm 0.07d$	45.68	< 0.01
Moisture (%)	$16.61 \pm 0.29a$	$18.84\pm0.43b$	$20.09\pm0.35c$	$22.33 \pm 0.30d$	47.45	< 0.01
pH	$5.02 \pm 0.04a$	$4.81\pm0.33b$	$4.63\pm0.04c$	$4.25\pm0.02d$	92.41	< 0.01
Oxidizable carbon (%)	$0.79 \pm 0.01a$	$0.99\pm0.06b$	$1.38 \pm 0.03c$	$1.45 \pm 0.03c$	69.35	< 0.01
Organic matter (%)	$1.36 \pm 0.02a$	$1.93\pm0.08b$	$2.38\pm0.06c$	$2.48\pm0.06c$	77.99	< 0.01
Worm density (ind./m <sup>2</sup> )	$53.73 \pm 2.57a$	$77.2 \pm 2.89b$	$158.67 \pm 8.17c$	$191.07 \pm 2.24d$	196.32	< 0.01
Worm biomass (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	$15.04 \pm 1.83a$	$23.78\pm2.06b$	$41.92\pm0.67c$	$45.66 \pm 3.19c$	46.85	< 0.01
Species richness	7	7	11	8		
Diversity indices**	1-5 years	15-20 years	30-35 years	40-45 years	H value	P value
Shannon H	$1.24 \pm 0.04a$	$0.92 \pm 0.02b$	$0.67 \pm 0.06c$	$0.61 \pm 0.03c$	9.67	< 0.05
Dominance D	$0.35 \pm 0.01a$	$0.54\pm0.02b$	$0.69 \pm 0.02c$	$0.72 \pm 0.01c$	9.66	< 0.05
Evenness index	$0.71 \pm 0.06a$	$0.43\pm0.05b$	$0.28\pm0.04c$	$0.27 \pm 0.01c$	9.46	< 0.05
Species richness index	$0.43\pm0.04a$	$0.43\pm0.05a$	$0.37\pm0.05ac$	$0.31\pm0.02bc$	5.67	>0.05
Morisita's index	$1.15\pm0.02a$	$1.28\pm0.047b$	$1.2\pm0.02ab$	$1.05\pm0.03c$	9.22	< 0.05

Values represent mean ± standard error (SE); Dissimilar letters indicate significant difference at 5% level of significance

\*One-way ANOVA, \*\*Kruskal-Wallis test



Fig. 1 Species accumulation curve for earthworm species in pineapple plantations.

(Table 2) of pineapple plantations. *M. houlleti* and *D. papillifer papillifer* were the only epianecic (phytogeophagus) worms found in pineapple plantations, whereas the remaining species were endogeic (geophagus). Species accumulation curve showed an initial steep slope for samples of about 400 individuals followed by a gentle rise to reach a near saturation of species richness at overall abundance between 2800 and 3200 earthworms (Fig. 1).

# Inter-habitat variations in community characteristics

The survey showed varied number of earthworm species (species richness) in different habitats: seven species in 1-5 year age group (viz. *D. assamensis*, *P. corethrurus*, *D. papillifer papillifer*, *E. gigas*, *M. houlleti*, *M. posthuma* and *E. gammiei*), seven in the 15-20 years age group (viz. *D. assamensis*, *P. corethrurus*, *D. papillifer papillifer*, *E. gigas*, *M. houlleti*, *Eutyphoeus* sp. and *Kanchuria* sp.), eleven in the 30-35 years age group (viz. *D. assamensis*, *P. corethrurus*, *D. papillifer papillifer*, *E. gigas*, *M. houlleti*, *Eutyphoeus* sp. and *Kanchuria* sp.), eleven in the 30-35 years age group (viz. *D. assamensis*, *P. corethrurus*, *D. papillifer papillifer*, *E. gigas*, *M. houlleti*, *Eutyphoeus* sp., *Kanchuria* sp., *E. comillahnus*, *D. nepalensis*, *E. scutarius* and *K. sumerianus*) and eight species in the 40-45 years age group of plantations (viz. *D. assamensis*, *P. corethrurus*, *D. papillifer*, *D. nepalensis*, *E. gigas*, *M. houlleti*, *Kanchuria* sp. and *E. comillahnus*).

Cluster analysis based on Bray-Curtis single linkage similarity value revealed the percent similarity between earthworm species composition across the four pineapple plantation types. The dendrogram obtained by clustering of four age groups of pineapple plantations divided all sites in two distinct categories A and B (**Fig. 2A**). Category A comprised of less aged plantations (1-5 and 15-20 year plantations) and the category B of old plantations (30-35 and 40-45 year plantations). Both the clusters were subdivided into individual plantations with definite age group (**Fig. 2A**). The categories, A and B showed linkage at 64.93% (which represent lowest) similarity. The similarity between 1-5 and 15-20 year plantations was 73.6%, while 30-35 and 40-45 year plantations were liked at 89.13% similarity. The similarity matrix of four age groups of pineapple plantations revealed that with increase in plantation age, pair-wise inter-habitat percentage of similarity decreased gradually (**Fig. 2B**).

The species richness index was highest in 30-35 years old plantation, while in the other age groups of plantation it varied from 7 to 8 (**Table 1**). Rarefaction curves from the four age groups of plantations initially showed a sharp rise (up to 5 species level) and approached towards asymptote gently in 1-5 year, 15-20 years and 30-35 years age groups of plantation (**Fig. 3**). Steepness of the rarefaction curve was highest in the 30-35 years old and lowest in the 15-20 years old plantation (**Fig. 3**).

The overall earthworm densities and biomasses differed significantly (P < 0.01) among different age groups of pineapple plantations. There was an increasing trend in overall biomasses and densities of earthworms with increase in plantation age (Table 1). The analysis of univariate ANOVA confirms the fact by showing significant (P < 0.01) influence of pineapple plantation age on earthworm population densities (F=208.69,  $R^2$ =0.57) and biomasses (F=47.29,  $R^2=0.23$ ). Maximum earthworm density (191 ind m<sup>-2</sup>) and biomass (45 g m<sup>-2</sup>) were recorded in 40-45 year old pineapple plantation. Earthworm population densities and biomasses differed significantly (P < 0.05) among different age groups of plantation except those in between 40-45 year and 30-35 year age groups of plantation. The overall mean densities and biomasses of earthworms in the pineapple plantation were 120.17 $\pm$ 32.62 ind m<sup>-2</sup> and 31.6 $\pm$ 7.30 g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Near absence of some rare earthworm species viz. Eutyphoeus sp, E. comillahnus, D. nepalensis and E. scutarius in young pineapple plantations (Table 2; Fig. 5) were noteworthy. Among the five common earthworm species of pineapple plantations, the density and biomass percent of D. assamensis gradually increased, whereas those of the others decreased with increase in age of the pineapple plantation (Fig. 4). Interestingly in 40-45 years old pineapple plantation, both densities and biomasses of D. assamensis accounted for more than 80% densities and biomasses of all other earthworm species.

Relative abundance of *D. assamensis* increased significantly (P < 0.01) from 48% in 1-5 year age group of plantation to 70% in 15-20 year old plantation and 82% in the 30-35 year old plantation (**Table 2**). In the rank abundance curve, *D. assamensis* occupied the highest position followed by a few moderately abundant and large proportions

<b>Table 2</b> Density, biomass, relative abundance and frequency of earthworm species in different	ge groups (	of pineapple p	lantatior
---	-------------	----------------	-----------

Family and earthworm species	Age group	Biomass (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	Density (ind. m <sup>-2</sup> )	Relative abundance (%)	Frequency (%)
Megascolecidae	8.8.1				1
M. houlleti*	1-5 vr	$1.25 \pm 0.06$	$4.53 \pm 0.27$	$8.52 \pm 0.86$	$20 \pm 1.44$
	15-20 vr	$0.58 \pm 0.19$	$333 \pm 0.81$	$425 \pm 0.89$	15 + 2.89
	30-35 vr	$1.64 \pm 0.50$	$3.07 \pm 0.87$	$1.89 \pm 0.43$	$13 \ 33 \pm 4 \ 64$
	40-45 yr	$1.04 \pm 0.09$	$440 \pm 0.61$	$2.08 \pm 0.13$	$15.83 \pm 2.21$
M posthuma*	1-5 yr	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.53 \pm 0.05$	$0.91 \pm 0.09$	$167 \pm 107$
m. posinuma	15-20 yr	0	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0
	$30_{-}35 \text{ yr}$	0	0	0	0
	40-45 yr	0	0	0	0
Kanchuria sp	1_5 yr	0	0	0	0
Kunenunu sp.	$15_{-20}$ yr	$0.08 \pm 0.08$	$0 27 \pm 0.13$	$0.36 \pm 0.18$	$167 \pm 0.83$
	30.35 yr	$0.63 \pm 0.00$	$0.27 \pm 0.15$ 1 20 ± 0.46	$0.50 \pm 0.10$	$1.07 \pm 0.03$ $3.33 \pm 0.83$
	40.45 yr	$0.02 \pm 0.09$ 0.15 ± 0.08	$1.20 \pm 0.40$ 0.67 ± 0.35	$0.70 \pm 0.50$ $0.35 \pm 0.18$	$3.33 \pm 0.83$
V aumonianus	1.5 yr	$0.15 \pm 0.08$	0.07 ± 0.55	0.55 ± 0.18	$5.55 \pm 1.07$
K. sumerianus	1-5 yl	0	0	0	0
	13-20 yr	$0 15 \pm 0.05$	$0 0 12 \pm 0.02$	$0 08 \pm 0.01$	$0 0 82 \pm 0.02$
	40.45 yr	0.15 ± 0.05	0.15 ± 0.05	0.08 ± 0.01	0.85 ± 0.05
Ostashaatidaa	40-45 yi	0	0	0	0
E giggs	1.5 xm	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	$0.77 \pm 0.07$	$1.67 \pm 1.07$
L. gigas	1-5 yr	$0.09 \pm 0.03$	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	$0.77 \pm 0.07$	$1.07 \pm 1.07$
	13-20 yr	$0.89 \pm 0.20$	$0.07 \pm 0.13$	$0.83 \pm 0.13$	$3.33 \pm 0.83$
	30-35 yr	$1.11 \pm 0.01$ 0.17 + 0.00	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.17 \pm 0.02$	$1.07 \pm 0.02$
<b>F</b>	40-45 yr	$0.17 \pm 0.09$	$0.67 \pm 0.35$	0.35 ± 0.19	$3.33 \pm 1.07$
E. scutarius	1-5 yr	0	0	0	0
	15-20 yr	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0
	30-35 yr	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	$1.67 \pm 0.05$
	40-45 yr	0	0	0	0
E. comillahnus	1-5 yr	0	0	0	0
	15-20 yr	0	0	0	0
	30-35 yr	$0.63 \pm 0.06$	$0.40 \pm 0.04$	$0.26 \pm 0.01$	$1.6/\pm 0.0/$
	40-45 yr	$0.18 \pm 0.09$	$0.80 \pm 0.46$	$0.43 \pm 0.25$	$4.17 \pm 2.21$
Eutyphoeus sp.	1-5 yr	0	0	0	0
	15-20 yr	$0.11 \pm 0.11$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$	$1.67 \pm 1.06$
	30-35 yr	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	$0.27 \pm 0.07$	$0.15 \pm 0.05$	$1.67 \pm 0.05$
E	40-45 yr	0	0	0	0
E. gammiei	1-5 yr	$0.04 \pm 0.03$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$0.23 \pm 0.02$	$0.83 \pm 0.08$
	15-20 yr	0	0	0	0
	30-35 yr	0	0	0	0
	40-45 yr	0	0	0	0
Moniligastridae	1.5	7.24 + 1.01	26.12 + 1.50	49.65 + 1.90	(0 + 2.00)
D. assamensis	1-5 yr	$7.34 \pm 1.01$	$26.13 \pm 1.50$	$48.65 \pm 1.80$	$60 \pm 2.89$
	15-20 yr	$15.12 \pm 0.51$	$54.40 \pm 1.41$	$70.56 \pm 1.61$	$95 \pm 2.88$
	30-35 yr	$28.16 \pm 0.39$	$130.93 \pm 4.96$	$82.63 \pm 1.12$	$95.83 \pm 3.01$
	40-45 yr	$38.58 \pm 2.7$	$161.4 / \pm 2.91$	84.50 ± 0.65	$100 \pm 0.00$
D. papillifer papillifer	1-5 yr	$1.76 \pm 0.26$	$6.27 \pm 0.48$	$11.63 \pm 0.33$	$30 \pm 2.89$
	15-20 yr	$0.85 \pm 0.22$	$4.53 \pm 0.27$	$6.04 \pm 0.59$	$17.5 \pm 2.5$
	30-35 yr	$2.36 \pm 0.48$	$4.93 \pm 0.93$	$3.07 \pm 0.41$	$21.67 \pm 4.17$
	40-45 yr	$0.78 \pm 0.03$	$3.33 \pm 0.35$	$1.75 \pm 0.20$	$14.17 \pm 2.21$
D. nepalensis	1-5 yr	0	0	0	0
	15-20 yr	0	0	0	0
	30-35 yr	$0.44 \pm 0.22$	$0.67 \pm 0.35$	$0.40 \pm 0.21$	$2.5 \pm 1.44$
~	40-45 yr	$0.31 \pm 0.16$	$1.33 \pm 0.71$	$0.70 \pm 0.38$	$4.17 \pm 2.21$
Glossoscolecidae		1.00		<b>2</b> 2.22.11.1	
P. corithrurus*	1-5 yr	$4.38 \pm 0.45$	$15.73 \pm 0.87$	$29.30 \pm 1.14$	$55 \pm 3.82$
	15-20 yr	$6.04 \pm 1.04$	$13.73 \pm 1.87$	$17.72 \pm 1.98$	$59.17 \pm 3.01$
	30-35 yr	$6.35 \pm 2.27$	$16.53 \pm 0.93$	$10.44 \pm 0.48$	$74.17 \pm 7.12$
	40-45 yr	$4.44 \pm 0.63$	$18.4 \pm 1.51$	$9.62 \pm 0.72$	$83.33 \pm 6.82$

Values represent mean ± standard error (SE); \*exotic species

of rare species of earthworms (Fig. 5).

A significant decrease (P < 0.05) in Shannon diversity index and species evenness and significant increase (P < 0.05) in Simpson's dominance index with increase in the age of pineapple plantation up to 30-35 years old plantation was noted. However, there was no significant change (P > 0.05) in Shannon diversity index, species evenness and Simpson's dominance index with further increase in the age of pineapple plantation as revealed by Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

The Morisita's index of dispersion ( $I_d$ ) was greater than 1 in all the age groups of pineapple plantation (**Table 1**) that reveals the aggregated nature spatial distribution of earthworm communities. Highest value (1.28) of  $I_d$  in 15-20 year

age group of plantation indicates the highest degree of clumping. A gradual decrease in the in the degree of clumping in 30-35 and 40-45 years age groups of plantation was remarkable.

# Earthworms / soil properties relationship

Soil temperature and moisture of the studied sites showed a significant (P < 0.01) negative and positive correlation with overall earthworm biomass and density respectively (**Fig. 6A-D**). Soil organic matter also showed a significant (P < 0.01) positive correlation with earthworm density (**Fig. 6E**). Although earthworm population in general showed insignificant correlation (P > 0.05) with pH, density and biomass

Earthworm community characteristics in pineapple plantations. Dey and Chaudhuri



Fig. 2 (A) Single linkage cluster analysis and (B) inter-habitat similarity matrix showing individual pair-wise percentage of similarity between different age groups of pineapple plantations.



Fig. 3 Rarefaction curves for the four different age groups of pineapple plantations.

of *D. assamensis* showed significant (P < 0.01) negative correlation with pH (**Fig. 6F, 6G**).

# DISCUSSION

A minimum of seven (1-5 year old and 15-20 year old plantation) and a maximum of eleven (30-35 year old plantation) earthworm species are distributed in the studied sites among the 13 earthworm species found during the survey. This is well within the reported range of 4 to 14 species (mean species richness  $6.5\pm1.3$  species) in the earthworm communities of tropical rain forest (Edwards and Bohlen 1996). The nature of steepness and non attainment of asymptote of species accumulation curve is indicative of underestimate of the true species richness (Coddington *et al.* 1996) of the study sites which is evident by occurrence of a good number of rare species of earthworms in the pineapple



Fig. 4 (A) Density (%) and (B) biomass (%) values of *Drawida assamensis* and other four common earthworm species in different age groups of pineapple plantations compared.



Fig. 5 Overall species rank abundance of earthworms from different age groups of pineapple plantations.

plantations (Unterseher et al. 2008). Since short term sampling does not cover all the species which are active at different seasons of a year, the actual number of species estimated for the pineapple plantations of west Tripura might be higher than the present predicted value. Occurrence of 5 earthworm species in pineapple plantations in east Khasi hills of Meghalaya was reported by Tiwari et al. (1992). Less species richness in the pineapple plantations of east Khasi hills compared to our present observation is probably linked with altitude effect on faunal diversity (Palin et al. 2011). The difference in the species composition in the earthworm communities among the different studied age groups of pineapple plantations of west Tripura indicates the importance of habitat heterogeneity (β-diversity) in the diversity of earthworms as shown by Fragoso and Lavelle (1987) in the forests of Mexico. The steeper rarefaction curve observed for 30-35 years age group of plantation supports the occurrence of maximum number of species (11 species) including some less abundant or rare species of earthworms like K. sumerianus, Kanchuria sp, E. scutarius, E. comillahnus, E. gigas and D. nepalensis in comparison to all other age groups of plantations. In fact, species richness estimates were highly influenced by rare species. The larger the number of rare species, the greater would be the difference between observed and the true species richness for the assemblages sampled (Dey et al. 2012)

Cluster analysis showed that approximately 65% of the total earthworm species recorded during the sampling in all plantation types was same despite of differences in habitat characteristics. Study conducted by Dey and Chaudhuri (2012) in two age groups of pineapple plantations also showed low beta diversity of earthworms. High similarity value of earthworm fauna between different age groups of pineapple plantation is the indication of low beta diversity and availability of more or less similar niches in each of the studied plantation. This fact corroborates Chaudhuri *et al.* (2013) and Nath and Chaudhuri (2010) who also found similar facts in the different age groups of rubber plantations of Tripura.

A clear increasing trend in average biomasses and densities of earthworms with the increase in the age of pineapple plantation corroborates with the study of Gillot *et al.* (1995) and Chaudhuri and Bhattacharjee (2009), who also reported gradual increase in earthworm densities and biomasses in rubber plantations with increase in age of plantations. Significant increase in the earthworm density associated with the increasing age of *Eucalyptus* plantations was noticed by Mboukou-Kimbatsa and Bernhard-Reversat (2001). The mean earthworm densities (120.17 $\pm$ 32.62 ind m<sup>-2</sup>) and biomasses (31.6 $\pm$ 7.30 g m<sup>-2</sup>) in pineapple plantations of Tripura are comparable to those of the tropical rain forest (Fragoso and Lavelle 1987; Leaky and Proctor 1987), natural forest and *Acacia* plantation (Blanchart and Julka 1997), rubber plantation (Chaudhuri *et al.* 2008). A four folds increase in densities and three folds increase in biomasses of earthworms in the 40-45 year age group plantation compared with the 1-5 year old plantation was probably due to a significant increase (P < 0.01) in soil organic carbon and soil moisture and significant decrease (P < 0.01) in temperature with increasing plantation age (Edwards and Bohlen 1996). Decaenas (2003) proposed that increased faunal activity with the aging of plots was due to availability of trophic resource (i.e. dead roots, decomposed leaves) that sustained a high carrying capacity.

D. assamensis was the only dominant species in all of our studied age groups of pineapple plantations in respect of its biomass, density, frequency and relative abundance. Tiwari et al. (1992) also reported D. assamensis as a dominant earthworm species in pineapple plantations in the east Khasi hills of Meghalaya. This is a reflection of a situation where one or a few factors dominate the ecology of a community (Magurann 1988). Factors contributing to the dominance in pineapple plantation may be individual plant species effect (Sarlo 2006) that favoured D. assamensis over other species of earthworms in addition to the competitive interaction with other earthworm species of pineapple plantations. The latter is important because density and biomass percent of D. assamensis increased and those of other earthworm species decreased with increase in plantation age. Recently, Chaudhuri et al. (2008) and Nath and Chaudhuri (2010) reported dominance of P. corethrurus and its invasion in man-made agro-ecosystems like rubber plantation. The highest rank of D. assamensis in the rank abundance curve reveals its survival superiority over other earthworm species of pineapple plantation.

The gradual decrease in the indices of Shannon diversity together with an increase in dominance is probably linked with the dramatic increase in the population density of dominant earthworm D. assamensis in pineapple plantations with increase in their age. According to Shakir and Dindal (1997), population density is negatively correlated with species diversity. These authors reiterated that the lower population density for the rare species were linked to high diversity and highest population densities for dominant species correlated with lower diversity. Thus lower population densities of rare octochaetid species such as E. gigas, E. comillahnus and E. scutarius in young plantations (1-5 year old) and higher population densities of dominant earthworm species, D. assamensis in aged plantations (30-35 year and 40-45 years old) were correlated with higher diversity in the former and lower diversity in the latter. In spite of higher species richness (11 species) in 30-35 year old plantation its diversity was lower compared to young plantations having a smaller number of species (7 species) due to dramatic increase in the densities of dominant species, D. assamensis in the former. Highest population density (191 ind m<sup>-2</sup>) of dominant species, D. assamensis in 40-45 years old plantation attributed to its lowest diversity. According to Fragoso and Lavelle (1992) species diversity of earthworms in tropical rain forests ranges from 1.7 to 6.5. Thus species diversity of earthworms in pineapple plantation (0.61-1.24) is much less than that of tropical rain forest (3.6), natural forests in western ghat (2.5) and mixed forest (1.76) of Tripura (Fragoso and Lavelle 1992; Blanchart and Julka 1997; Chaudhuri and Nath 2011) but similar to that of monoculture rubber plantation (0.86) (Chaudhuri and Nath 2011).

Spatially clumped distribution of earthworm communities in the four age groups of pineapple plantation corroborates Sileshi (2008), who also observed the spatially distributed clusters within earthworm communities in the agroforestry assemblages of Mimbo, Eastern Zambia. Patchiness at spatial level of earthworm populations was also reported by several other authors in tropics (Rossi and Lavelle 1998; Gonzalez *et al.* 1999; Jimenez *et al.* 2001; Rossi 2003; Martinez *et al.* 2006; Rossi *et al.* 2006) and under



Fig. 6 Relationship between (A) Earthworm biomass and soil temperature (B) Earthworm density and soil temperature (C) Earthworm biomass and soil moisture (D) Earthworm density and soil moisture (E) Earthworm density and soil organic matter (F) Biomass of *Drawida assamensis* and pH (G) Density *Drawida assamensis* and pH.

temperate conditions (Whalen and Costa 2003; Whalen 2004; Decaens *et al.* 2008; Valckx *et al.* 2009). Highest value of Morisita's index (I<sub>d</sub>) in 15-20 year age group of plantation may be due to greater heterogeneity in soil conditions and food distribution (Whalen 2004) than in other plantation groups. A gradual decrease in the soil environmental heterogeneity (Rossi *et al.* 2006) coupled with decline in the intensity of disturbance (Jimenez *et al.* 2001) with increasing plantation age, may attribute to the decrease in Morisita's index (I<sub>d</sub>) i.e. less clumped earthworm community with increase in the pineapple plantation age.

Gradual decrease in the soil temperature with increase in plantation age not only improves soil moisture status but also leads to reduced oxidation of soil organic matter and favours its build up. Thus both moisture and temperature correlate strongly with earthworm density and biomass. Tiwari et al. (1992) and Lalthanzara et al. (2011) also found a significant correlation between earthworm populations and edaphic factors such as temperature and moisture. According to Edwards and Bohlen (1996) moisture and temperature of soil can act synergistically to influence earthworm population. Significantly (P < 0.01) low density and biomass values of earthworms in the 1-5 year old plantations compared to mature plantations is probably due to high temperature and low moisture content of the soil due to direct solar radiation in the plantation floor in absence of canopy cover. Difference in canopy cover, quality and quantity of leaf litter, biotic resistance, variations in the edaphic factors etc. may have triggered changes in the abundance and community structure of earthworms among four plantation age groups. Significantly negative ( $P \leq$ 0.01) correlation between pH and population density and biomass of D. assamensis indicates its acid tolerant characteristics. According to Spiers et al. (1986) acid tolerant earthworm species have a major role in the decomposer subsystem.

According to Sinha *et al.* (2003) and Dey *et al.* (2012), functional guild diversity of earthworm is lower in agroecosystems with homogeneous ecological niches, compared to forest ecosystems with varied ecological niches. A pineapple agro-ecosystem is largely dominated by endogeic earthworm species. Epianecic species (*M. houlleti*) forms a minor component of earthworm communities in pineapple plantation. Fragoso *et al.* (1999) also advocated that earthworm communities of tropical agro-ecosystem are composed mostly of endogeic species of earthworms.

In conclusion, *D. assamensis* was the dominant earthworm species of pineapple plantations in Tripura. The earthworm densities and biomasses increased significantly (P < 0.01) with increase in the age of pineapple plantation. A significant decrease (P < 0.05) in Shannon diversity index and species evenness and significant increase (P < 0.05) in dominance index with increase in the age of plantation were remarkable.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr. R. Paliwal, Zoological Survey of India, Solan for identification of earthworm species and Head, Department of Zoology, M. B. B. College, Tripura for providing laboratory facilities.

#### REFERENCES

- Arunachalam A, Maithani K, Das AK, Pandey HN, Tripathi RS (1996) Decomposition dynamics of *Quercus dealbata* Hook. F & Th. Leaf litter in two re-growing subtropical humid forest stands. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation* 2, 87-91
- Bartholomew DP, Paull RE, Rohrback KG (2003) *The Pineapple: Botany, Production and Used*, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, total pp
- Bernhard-Reversat F, Loumeto JJ (2002) The litter system in African foresttree plantations. In: Reddy MV (Ed) *Management of Tropical Plantation* -*Forests and Their Soil Litter System; Soil, Biota and Soil-Nutrient Dynamics*, Science Publishers Inc., Enfield, New Hampshire, 11 pp
- Blanchart E, Julka JM (1997) Influence of forest disturbance on earthworm (Oligocheata) communities in the Western Ghats (South India). Soil biology

and Biochemistry 29, 303-306

- Chaudhuri PS, Bhattacharjee S (2009) Impact of rubber plantation on the earthworm communities in Tripura (India). In: Singh SM (Ed) Earthworm Ecology and Environment, International Book Distributing Co., Lucknow, pp 97-110
- Chaudhuri PS, Bhattacharjee S, Dey A, Chattopadhay S, Bhattacharjee D (2013) Impact of age of rubber (*Hevea brassiliensis*) plantation on earthworm communities of West Tripura (India). *Journal of Environmental Biol*ogy 44 in press
- Chaudhuri PS, Nath S (2011) Community structure of earthworms under rubber plantations and mixed forests in Tripura, India. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 32, 537-541
- Chaudhuri PS, Nath S, Paliwal R (2008) Earthworm population of rubber plantation (*Hevea brasilensis*) in Tripura, India. *Tropical Ecology* 49(2), 225-234
- Chaudhuri PS, Pal TK, Battachrjee G, Dey SK (2003) Rubber leaf litters (*Hevea brasilensis*, var RRIM 600) as vermi-culture substrate for epigeic earthworms, *Perionyx excavatus*, *Eudrilus eugeniae* and *Eisenia fetida*. *Pedobiologia* 47, 796-800
- Chaudhuri PS, Pal TK, Nath S, Dey SK (2012) Effects of five earthworm species on some physico-chemical properties of soil. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 33, 713-716
- Coddington JA, Young LH, Coyle FA (1996) Estimating spider species richness in a southern Appalachian cove hardwood forest. *Journal of Arachnology* 24, 111-128
- Daji JA (1996) A Text Book of Soil Science, Media Promoters and Publishers Pvt. Ltd., Bombay, 526 pp
- Dash MC, Dash SP (2009) Fundamentals of Ecology, Tata McGraw-Hill Education Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 562 pp
- Decaens T, Bureau F, Margerie P (2003) Earthworm communities in a wet agricultural landscape of the Seine Valley (Upper Normandy, France). *Pedobiologia* **47**, 479-489
- Decaens T, Margerie P, Aubert M, Hedde M, Bureau F (2008) Assembly rules within earthworm communities in North-Western France - A regional analysis. *Applied Soil Ecology* 39, 321-335
- Dey A, Chaudhuri PS (2012) Community analysis of earthworms in two different age groups of pineapple plantation in West Tripura, India. *NeBio* **3** (3) in press
- Dey A, Nath S, Chaudhuri PS (2012) Impact of monoculture (rubber and pineapple) practice on the community characteristics of earthworms in West Tripura (India). *NeBio* **3** (1), 53-58
- Edwards CA, Bohlen PJ (1996) *Biology and Ecology of Earthworms*, Chapman and Hall, London, 425 pp
- Fragoso C, Lavelle P (1987) The earthworm community of a tropical rain forest. In: Bonvicini- Pagliani AM, Omodeo P (Eds) On Earthworms, Mucchi Editore, Itali, pp 281-295
- Fragoso C, Lavelle P (1992) Earthworm communities of tropical rain forests. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 24, 1397-1408
- Fragoso C, Lavelle P, Blanchart E, Senapati BK, Jimenz JJ, Martinez MA, Decaens T, Tondoh J (1999) Earthworm communities of tropical agro-ecosystem: origin, structure and influence of management practices. In: Lavelle P, Brusaard L, Hendrix P (Eds) *Earthworm Management in Tropical Agroecosystems*, CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp 27-55
- Ghosh R, Chakraborty J, Ghosh D (2008) Peroxidase activity of two cultivars (Kew and Queen) of ripe pineapple (*Ananas cosmosus*) of Tripura. *Journal of Applied Bioscience* 34 (1), 106-109
- Gilot C, Lavelle P, Blanchart E, Keli J, Kouassi P, Guillaume G (1995) Biological activity of soil under rubber plantations in Cote d' Ivorie. Acta Zoologica Fennica 196, 186-189
- Gonzalez G, Zou X, Sabat A, Fetcher N (1999) Earthworm abundance and distribution pattern in contrasting plant communities within a tropical wet forest in Puerto Rico. *Caribbean Journal of Science* **35** (1-2), 93-100
- Howell CR, Bell AA, Stipanovic RD (1976) Effect of aging on flavonoid content and resistance of cotton leaves to Verticillium wilt. *Physiological Plant Pathology* 8 (2), 181-188
- Jimenez JJ, Rossi JP, Lavelle P (2001) Spatial distribution of earthworms in acid-soil savannas of eastern plains of Colombia. *Applied Soil Ecology* 17, 267-278
- Kavdir Y, Ilay R (2011) Earthworms and soil structure. In: Karaca A (Ed) Biology of Earthworms, Springer, London, pp 39-50
- Kole C (2011) Wild Crop Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources, Tropical and Subtropical Fruits, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 316 pp
- Kruskal WH, Wallis WA (1952) Use of ranks in one-criterion analysis of variance. Journal of American Statistical Association 47, 583-621
- Lalthanzara HS, Ramanujam N, Jha LK (2011) Population dynamics of earthworms in relation to soil physico-chemical parameters in agro-forestry systems of Mizoram, India. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 32, 599-605
- Leaky RJG, Proctor J (1987) Invertebrates in the litter and soil at a range of altitudes on Gunug Silam. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **3**, 119-129
- Magurran AE (1988) *Ecological Diversity and its Measurement*, Chapman and Hall, London, 192 pp
- Mann HB, Whitney DR (1947) On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*

370

18, 50-60

- Martinez AF, Quintero H, Fragoso CE (2006) Earthworm communities in forest and pastures of the Colombian Andes. *Caribbean Journal of Science* 42 (3), 301-310
- Mboukou-Kimbatsa LMC, Bernhard-Reversat F (2001) Effect of exotic tree plantations on invertebrate soil macro fauna. In: Bernhard-Reversat F (Ed) Effect of Exotic Tree Plantations on Plant Diversity and Biological Soil Fertility in Congo, Svana: With Special Reference to Eucalyptus, Centre for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia, pp 49-55
- McAleece N (1998) Biodiversity Professional Beta, version 2. Statistics Analysis Software. The Natural History Museum and The Scottish Association for Marine Science. Available online:

www.sams.ac.uk/peter-lamnont/biodiversity-pro

- Menhinick EF (1964) A comparison of some species diversity indices applied to samples of field insects. *Ecology* **45**, 859-861
- Montgomery DC, Peck EA, Vining GG (2007) Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis, John Wiley & Sons (Asia) Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 641 pp
- Morisita M (1959) Measuring of the dispersion of individual and analysis of distribution patterns. *Memoirs of the Faculty of Science Kyushu University Series E Biological Sciences* 2, 215-235
- Nath S, Chaudhuri PS (2010) Human-induced biological invasions in rubber (*Hevea brasilensis*) plantations of Tripura (India) *Pontoscolex corethrurus* as a case study. *Asian Journal of Experimental Biological Science* **1** (2), 360-369
- Neher DA (1999) Soil community composition and ecosystem process: comparing agricultural ecosystems with natural ecosystems. Agroforestry Systems 45, 159-165
- Pagano M, Gauvreau K (2004) Principles of Biostatistics (2<sup>nd</sup> Edn), Thomson Asia Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 525 pp
- Palin OF, Eggleton P, Malhi Y, Girardin AJ, Davila AR, Parr CL (2011) Termite diversity along an Amazon-Andes elevation gradient, Peru. *Biotropica* 43 (1), 100-107
- Potvin C, Mancilla L, Buchmann N, Monteja J, Moore T, Murphy M, Oelmann Y, Scherer-Lorenzen M, Turner BL, Wilcke W, Zeugin F, Wolf S (2011) An ecosystem approach to biodiversity effects: Carbon pools in a tropical tree plantation. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261, 1614-1624
- Ramesh T, Hussain KJ, Selvanayagam M, Satpathy KK, Prasad MVR (2010) Patterns of diversity, abundance and habitat associations of butterfly communities in heterogeneous landscapes of the department of atomic energy (DAE) campus at Kalpakkam, South India. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation* 2 (4), 75-85
- Rossi JP, Huerta E, Fragoso C, Lavelle P (2006) Soil properties inside earthworm patches and gaps in a tropical grassland (la Mancha, Veracruz, Mexico). *European Journal of Soil Biology* 42, S284-S288
- Rossi JP (2003) Clusters in earthworm spatial distribution. *Pedobiologia* 47, 490-496
- Rossi JP, Lavelle P (1998) Earthworm aggregation in the Savannas of Lamto (Cote d'Ivore). Applied Soil Ecology 7, 195-199
- Santos MJ, Greenberg JA, Ustin SL (2010) Using hyper spectral remote sensing to detect and quantify south-eastern pine senescence effects in red-

cockaded woodpecker (Picoides borealis) habitat. Remote Sensing and Environment 114, 1242-1250

- Sarlo M (2006) Individual tree species effects on earthworm biomass in a tropical plantation in Panama. *Caribbean Journal of Sciences* 42 (3), 419-427
- Satchell JE (1967) Lumbricidae. In: Burgess A, Raw F (Eds) Soil Biology, Academic Press, London, pp 259-322
- Shakir SH, Dindal DL (1997) Density and biomass of earthworms in forest and herbaceous micro-ecosystem in central New York, North America. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 29, 275-285
- Shannon CE, Weaner W (1963) The Mathematical Theory of Communication, University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 117 pp
- Sileshi G (2008) The excess-zero problem in soil animal count data and choice of appropriate models for statistical inference. *Pedobiologia* **52**, 1-17
- Simpson EH (1949) Measurement of diversity. Nature (London) 163, 688
  Sinha B, Bhadauria T, Ramakrishnan PS, Saxena KG, Maikhuri RK (2003) Impact of landscape modification on earthworm diversity and abundance in the Himalayan sacred landscape, Garhwal Himalaya. Pedobiologia 47, 357-
- Sorensen LI, Coddington JA, Scharff NJ (2002) Inventorying and estimating sub-canopy spider diversity using semi-quantitative sampling methods in an Afromontane forest. *Environmental Entomology* **31 (2)**, 319-330
- Spiers GA, Gagnon D, Nason GE (1986) Effects and importance of indigenous earthworms on decomposition and nutrients cycling in coastal forest ecosystems. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 16, 983-989
- Tiwari SC, Tiwari BK, Mishra RR (1992) Relationship between seasonal populations of earthworms and abiotic factors in pineapple plantations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science India, Section B (Biological Science)* **62** (2), 223-226
- Tukey JW (1953) The problem of multiple comparisons. In: Braun HI (Ed) The Collected Works of John W. Tukey VIII. Multiple Comparisons, Chapman and Hall, New York, pp 1948-1983
- Unterseher M, Schnittler M, Dormann C, Sickert A (2008) Application of species richness estimators for the assessment of fungal diversity. FEMS Microbiology Letters 282, 205-213
- Valckx J, Cockx L, Wauters J, Meirvenne V, Govers G, Hermy M, Muys B (2009) Within-field spatial distribution of earthworm populations related to species interactions and soil apparent electrical conductivity. *Applied Soil Ecology* 41, 315-328
- Walkley A, Black IA (1934) Determination of organic carbon in soil. Soil Sciences 37, 29-38
- Whalen JK (2004) Spatial and temporal distribution of earthworm patches in corn field, hayfield and forest systems of southwestern Québec, Canada. *Applied Soil Ecology* 27, 143-151
- Whalen JK, Costa C (2003) Linking spatio-temporal dynamics of earthworm populations to nutrient cycling in temperate agricultural and forest ecosystems. *Pedobiologia* 47, 801-806
- Wilcoxon F (1945) Individual comparisons by ranking methods. *Biometrics Bulletin* 1, 80-83
- **Zar JH** (1999) *Biostatistical Analysis* (4<sup>th</sup> Edn), Pearson Education Singapore Pte. Ltd. (Indian Branch), New Delhi, India