

Grain Yield and Rutin Content of Common and Tartary Buckwheat Varieties Grown in North-Western Hungary

Andrea Brunori^{1*} • Gerardo Baviello² • Ferenc Kajdi³ • Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva⁴ • Tibor Győri³ • György Végvári⁵

ENEA, CR Casaccia, UTAGRI-INN, Via Anguillarese 301, 00123 Santa Maria di Galeria, Roma, Italy
 ² ENEA, CR Trisaia, UTTRI-BIOTEC, SS Jonica Km 419+500, 75026 Rotondella, Matera, Italy
 ³ University of West Hungary, Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences, H-9200 Mosonmagyaróvár, Vár 2, Hungary
 ⁴ Faculty of Agriculture and Graduate School of Agriculture, Kagawa University, Miki cho, Kita gun, Ikenobe, 761-0795, Japan
 ⁵ Corvinus University of Budapest, Faculty of Horticultural Sciences, H-1118 Budapest XI. Villányi út 35-43, Hungary

Corresponding author: * andrea.brunori@enea.it

ABSTRACT

Grain yield and rutin content was assessed in 31 common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) and three tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) varieties grown in the summer of 2008 at the Research and Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of West Hungary, Mosonmagyaróvár, Hungary. Grain yield of common buckwheat varied from a high of 0.98 t/ha in 'Vlada', 0.94 t/ha in 'Koto' and 0.92 t/ha in 'Anita Belorusskaya' to lows of 0.31 t/ha in 'Arakawa Village', 0.47 t/ha in 'Kora' and 0.48 t/ha in 'Springfield'. Much lower grain yield was observed in the three tartary buckwheat varieties: 0.12 t/ha in 'Ishisoba', 0.37 t/ha in 'Donan' and 0.38 t/ha in 'Golden'. As expected, and unlike grain yield performance, the rutin content observed in *F. tataricum* was as much as two orders of magnitude higher than that of *F. esculentum*, ranging between 974 mg/100 g DW in 'Golden' and 1196 mg/100 g DW in 'Ishisoba'. In common buckwheat, grain rutin content ranged from 8 mg/100 g DW in 'Darja' and 'Kitawasesoba' to 24 mg/100 g DW in 'La Harpe'. The best compromise between grain yield and rutin content in common buckwheat was observed in var. 'Vlada', which had the top yield and ranked third in rutin content. Tartary buckwheat grain was a valuable source of rutin. In general, grain yield and rutin content were largely not correlated, almost as if grain development and rutin accumulation were not competing processes. Rather, rutin accumulation appeared to be the function of a variety's aptitude likely related to origin. European varieties, regardless of their yield potential, expressed quite clearly a somewhat higher rutin content than varieties originating from Pacific areas, namely Japan and Canada.

Keywords: agronomic trials, *Fagopyrum esculentum*, *Fagopyrum tataricum*, flavonoids, HPLC analysis **Abbreviations: DW**, dry weight; **HPLC**, high pressure liquid chromatography

INTRODUCTION

Growing concern about the negative health effects of modern diets rich in refined wheat flour, sugar, fat and protein of animal origin (Wadden *et al.* 2002), and recognized as the main causes of obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disorders and degenerative diseases like cancer, has prompted renewed interest in underutilized minor crops rich in health beneficial bioactive compounds.

In this respect, buckwheat deserves particular attention thanks to the growing evidence of beneficial properties of some grain components on health, including reduced starch digestibility (Skrabanja and Kreft 1998) and consequently low glycaemic indices (Skrabanja et al. 2001), anticholesterolemic properties of the protein fraction (Kayashita et al. 1995; Kayashita et al. 1997; Tomotake et al. 2000, 2001) besides the well-balanced amino acid composition (Pomeranz and Robbins 1972), and good source of dietary fiber (Steadman et al. 2001a) and minerals (Ikeda et al. 1995; Steadman et al. 2001b; Ikeda et al. 2006). Even more impressive is the wealth of health benefits deployed by the flavonoid rutin (quercetin-3-rutinoside): improved capillary fragility (Griffith et al. 1944); retarded development of diabetes (Odetti et al. 1990); antilipoperoxidant activities (Negre-Salvayre et al. 1991); anticancer activity (Deschner et al. 1991); antihyperglycemic effect (Wang et al. 1992); protective effects against hemoglobin oxidation (Grinberg et al. 1994); a mitigation effect on cardiovascular diseases (He et al. 1995); antioxidative property (Oomah and Mazza 1996); antimutagenic activity (Aheme and O'Brien 1999); anti-inflammatory activity (Guardia *et al.* 2001); mitigation of diabetes consequences (Je *et al.* 2002); suppression of protein glycation (Nagasawa *et al.* 2003); antiplatelet formation property (Sheu *et al.* 2004); antiangiogenic effect (Guruvayoorappan and Kuttan 2007); neuroprotective effect (Pu *et al.* 2007).

Knowledge about common buckwheat cultivation in Hungary dates back to the Middle Ages (Sághi 2002). There is evidence that this crop was extensively grown in Southern Transdanubia and Northern Hungary at the turn of the 19th century (Bálint 1998). Thereafter, buckwheat was gradually replaced by the more productive cereal crops (Sághi 2002) and its cultivation is now limited to a few hundred hectares (FAOSTAT 2008).

The possibility of reintroducing buckwheat cultivation in North-Western Hungary implies that satisfactory grain yields of high quality are attainable. However, this condition needs to be proved before proposing the cultivation of buckwheat to farmers. To this end, an agronomic trial was carried out on a group of common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) and a few tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) varieties. The latter were included due to the extremely high rutin content of the grain compared to common buckwheat (Kitabayashi *et al.* 1995a; Fabjan *et al.* 2003; Park *et al.* 2004; Brunori and Végvári 2007a; Brunori *et al.* 2008, 2009a, 2010). Because of the relevance of rutin as a health beneficial grain compound, the content of this flavonoid was also assessed.

Table 1 Buckwheat varieties utilized: Origin and seed source.

Variety	Origin	Source
'Bamby'	Austria	J. Biason, Bolzano, Italy
'Lileja'	unknown	
'La Harpe'	France	Semfor, Casaleone, Verona, Italy
'Darja'*	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Parco Scientifico e Tecnologico del Molise, Campobasso, Italy
'Golden'	Slovenia	
'AC Manisoba', 'Koban', 'Mancan', 'Springfield'	Canada	Kade Research Ltd., Morden, Manitoba, Canada
'Jana', 'Pyra', 'Špačinska'	Czech Republic	University of South Bohemia, Faculty of Agriculture, České Budějovice, Czech Republic
'Kora', 'Luba', 'Panda'	Poland	Stacja Hodowli Róslin Palikije, Wojciechów, Poland
'Koto', 'Manor'	Canada	
'Aelita',	Russia	Department of Gene Bank, Division of Genetics and Plant Breeding,
'Emka', 'Hruszkowska'	Poland	Research Institute of Crop Production, Prague-Ruzyne, Czech Republic
'Prego'	Germany	
'Aleksandrina', 'Anita Belorusskaya', 'Iliya',	Belarus	RUP 'The Institute of Arable Farming and Plant Breeding of the National
'Karmen', 'Lena', 'Vlada', 'Zhnayarka'		Academy of Sciences of Belarus', Zhodino, Minsk District, Belarus
'Arakawa Village', 'Botan'	Japan	Plant Germ-Plasm Institute, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Japan
'Donan'*, 'Ishisoba'*, 'Kitawasesoba', 'Kitayuki'	Japan	Plant Genetic Resources Laboratory, Dept. of Upland Agriculture,
		National Agricultural Research Center for Hokkaido Region, Shinsei,
		Memuro-cho, Kasai-gun, Hokkaido, Japan
* F. tataricum varieties		

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present investigation 31 common buckwheat and three tartary buckwheat varieties, either purchased or kindly provided as shown in **Table 1**, were compared. Locally developed, Hungarianbred common buckwheat cultivars (e.g., 'Hajnalka', 'Oberon') were not included in the study.

Three replicated plots of 7.2 m^2 each were cultivated in the summer of 2008 at the Research and Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of West Hungary in Mosonmagyaróvár. In the rotation, the cultivation of buckwheat followed winter barley which, at the time of seedbed preparation, had received 400 kg/ha of a Genezis NPK (15:15:15) fertilizer.

Barley was harvested on the 2^{nd} of July. Buckwheat was sown on the 18^{th} of July after 10 l/ha AZOTER[®] soil bacterium fertilizer mixture had been distributed and immediately ploughed under.

Approaching seed ripening, severe and sudden bird damage occurred randomly in dispersed spots, impairing any sound evaluation of grain yield. However, an estimate of grain yield potential was tentatively inferred by considering the best yield expressed by individual varieties within the three replicated plots.

For rutin (quercetin-3-rutinoside) [CAS Registry Number: 153-18-4] analysis the grain harvest of the three replicated plots was pooled. Wholemeal was obtained from clean grains by the use of a FOSS TECATOR CYCLOTEC 1093 sample mill. Three replicated samples of 200 mg wholemeal were extracted with either 2 ml (*F. esculentum*) or 4 ml (*F. tataricum*) of methanol (HPLC grade). Extraction was performed in the dark, for 24 h, at room temperature.

Rutin content was determined with HPLC (Waters Co. Milford, MA, USA) according to a procedure described previously (Brunori and Végvári 2007b) at 350 nm. The standard was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA.

Statistical differences in mean rutin content of the grain were analysed by the *t*-test (P = 0.05).

The relationship between grain yield and grain rutin content was established by linear regression analysis.

RESULTS

Grain yield

The grain yield of common and tartary buckwheat varieties used in this study is shown in **Table 2**.

Average grain yield of common buckwheat varied from a high of 0.98 t/ha in 'Vlada', 0.94 t/ha in 'Koto' and 0.92 t/ha in 'Anita Belorusskaya' to lows of 0.31 t/ha in 'Arakawa Village', 0.47 t/ha in 'Kora' and 0.48 t/ha in 'Springfield'. Because some plots were severely damaged by local fauna, the best yield performance among the three replicates, highlighted as bold figures in **Table 2**, was also taken into account and tentatively assumed as a measure of the actual yield potential of individual varieties. In this case, much higher grain yields can be envisaged in that some varieties, like 'Anita Belorusskaya', 'Koto', 'La Harpe', 'Prego', 'Vlada' and 'Zhnayarka', showed values well above 1 t/ha.

A rather low average grain yield was observed in the three tartary buckwheat varieties: 'Donan' (0.37 t/ha), 'Golden' (0.38 t/ha) and 'Ishisoba' (0.12 t/ha). However, these varieties suffered heavy bird damage.

Grain rutin content

The rutin content of common buckwheat grain varied from lows of 8 mg/100 g DW of 'Darja' and 'Kitawasesoba' and 9 mg/100 g DW of 'Koban' to 24 mg/100 g DW of 'La Harpe', 22 mg/100 g DW of 'Kora' and 21 mg/100 g DW of 'Vlada' (**Table 3**).

Compared to common buckwheat, tartary buckwheat expressed a much higher rutin content of the grain: 1196 mg/100 g DW ('Ishisoba'), 1085 mg/100 g DW ('Donan') and 974 mg/100 g DW ('Golden') (**Table 3**).

Relationship between grain yield potential and rutin content of the grain

The relationship between grain yield potential inferred from the best yielding plot (bold figures in **Table 2**) and the rutin content of the grain are presented in **Fig. 1**.

Evidence of any clear correlation between grain yield potential and grain rutin content was not apparent, judging from the very low R^2 values regardless of each variety's origin, i.e., either from Pacific area or Europe. In general, varieties originating from the Pacific area presented a somewhat lower rutin content of the grain.

DISCUSSION

In spite of the often severe bird grain predation, the present results would indicate that several of the 31 common buckwheat varieties were able to express grain yields around 1 t/ha, in line with the average commercial grain yield for Hungary (960 kg/ha) and for the World (913 kg/ha) (FAO-STAT 2008). However, if the best yielding replication of each variety is taken as an indication of the actual yield potential, the picture becomes much more favourable and yield levels well above 1 t/ha can confidently be envisaged in the environment of North-West Hungary. Particularly meaningful is the high number of common buckwheat vari-

 Table 2 Grain yield of common buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) and tartary buckwheat (Fagopyrum tataricum Gaertn.) varieties.

Rep Rep Rep Rep Mean yield (kg/ha) plot yield (kg/ha) Fagopyrum esculentum 322 644* 398 458 637 896 `Ac Manisoba' 332 644* 398 458 637 896 `Aclita' 300 658 352 437 608 915 `Aleksandrina' 400 468 328 399 555 651 `Anita Belorusskaya' 584 542 854 660 918 1188 `Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 `Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 `Darja' 448 558 408 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 <th>Variety</th> <th colspan="4">Grain yield (g/plot)</th> <th>Average</th> <th>Best</th>	Variety	Grain yield (g/plot)				Average	Best
1 2 3 (kg/ha) yield (kg/ha) Fagopyrun esculentum *AC Manisoba' 332 644* 398 458 637 896 *AC Manisoba' 332 644* 398 458 637 896 *Aelita' 300 658 352 437 608 915 *Aleksandrina' 400 468 328 399 555 651 *Anita Belorusskaya' 584 542 854 660 918 1188 *Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 *Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 *Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 *Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 *Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 650 578 390 518		Rep	Rep	Rep	Mean	yield	plot
(kg/ha) Fagopyrum esculentum `AC Manisoba' 332 644* 398 458 637 896 `AC Manisoba' 332 644* 398 458 637 896 `Acleksandrina' 400 468 328 399 555 651 `Anita Belorusskaya' 584 542 854 660 918 1188 `Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 `Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 `Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 `Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th></th> <th>(kg/ha)</th> <th>yield</th>		1	2	3		(kg/ha)	yield
Fagopyrum esculentum 'AC Manisoba' 332 644* 398 458 637 896 'Aelita' 300 658 352 437 608 915 'Aleksandrina' 400 468 328 399 555 651 'Anita Belorusskaya' 584 542 854 660 918 1188 'Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 'Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 'Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 'Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 55							(kg/ha)
'AC Manisoba' 332 644* 398 458 637 896 'Aelita' 300 658 352 437 608 915 'Aleksandrina' 400 468 328 399 555 651 'Anita Belorusskaya' 584 542 854 660 918 1188 'Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 'Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 'Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 'Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569	Fagopyrum esculentum	1					
'Aelita' 300 658 352 437 608 915 'Aleksandrina' 400 468 328 399 555 651 'Anita Belorusskaya' 584 542 854 660 918 1188 'Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 'Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 'Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 'Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 657 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440	'AC Manisoba'	332	644*	398	458	637	896
'Aleksandrina' 400 468 328 399 555 651 'Anita Belorusskaya' 584 542 854 660 918 1188 'Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 'Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 'Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 'Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Ilara' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Ktawasesoba' 452 322 546 540 1169 71 885 'Kora' 348 486 172	'Aelita'	300	658	352	437	608	915
'Anita Belorusskaya'5845428546609181188'Arakawa Village'276182214224312384'Bamby'486476156373518676'Botan'532338190353492740'Darja'448558408471656776'Emka'574292486451627799'Hruszkowska'600364268411571835'Iliya'586578390518721815'Jana'534708376539750985'Karmen'680558468569791946'Kitawasesoba'452322546440612760'Kitayuki'410330362367511570'Koban'636334580517719885'Kora'348486172335467676'Koto'8406585286759401169'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Manor'456372352462643921'Prego'792206110<	'Aleksandrina'	400	468	328	399	555	651
'Arakawa Village' 276 182 214 224 312 384 'Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 'Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 'Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Kota' 840 658 528 675 940 1169 'La Harpe' 836 572 412 607 844 <	'Anita Belorusskaya'	584	542	854	660	918	1188
'Bamby' 486 476 156 373 518 676 'Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 'Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Kota' 840 658 528 675 940 <	'Arakawa Village'	276	182	214	224	312	384
'Botan' 532 338 190 353 492 740 'Darja' 448 558 408 471 656 776 'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Koban' 636 334 580 517 719 885 'Kora' 348 486 172 335 467 676 'Koto' 840 658 528 675 940 1169 'La Harpe' 836 572 412 607 844 1163 <td>'Bamby'</td> <td>486</td> <td>476</td> <td>156</td> <td>373</td> <td>518</td> <td>676</td>	'Bamby'	486	476	156	373	518	676
'Darja'448558408471656776'Emka'574292486451627799'Hruszkowska'600364268411571835'Iliya'586578390518721815'Jana'534708376539750985'Karmen'680558468569791946'Kitawasesoba'452322546440612760'Kitayuki'410330362367511570'Koban'636334580517719885'Kora'348486172335467676'Koto'8406585286759401169'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Spacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342 <td< td=""><td>'Botan'</td><td>532</td><td>338</td><td>190</td><td>353</td><td>492</td><td>740</td></td<>	'Botan'	532	338	190	353	492	740
'Emka' 574 292 486 451 627 799 'Hruszkowska' 600 364 268 411 571 835 'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Koban' 636 334 580 517 719 885 'Kora' 348 486 172 335 467 676 'Koto' 840 658 528 675 940 1169 'La Harpe' 836 572 412 607 844 1163 'Lena' 654 454 456 521 725 910 'Lileja' 674 694 330 566 787 966 <td>'Darja'</td> <td>448</td> <td>558</td> <td>408</td> <td>471</td> <td>656</td> <td>776</td>	'Darja'	448	558	408	471	656	776
'Hruszkowska'600364268411571835'Iliya'586578390518721815'Jana'534708376539750985'Karmen'680558468569791946'Kitawasesoba'452322546440612760'Kitayuki'410330362367511570'Koban'636334580517719885'Kora'348486172335467676'Koto'8406585286759401169'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancar'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Spacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'794768550704<	'Emka'	574	292	486	451	627	799
'Iliya' 586 578 390 518 721 815 'Jana' 534 708 376 539 750 985 'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Koban' 636 334 580 517 719 885 'Kora' 348 486 172 335 467 676 'Koto' 840 658 528 675 940 1169 'La Harpe' 836 572 412 607 844 1163 'Lena' 654 454 456 521 725 910 'Lileja' 674 694 330 566 787 966 'Luba' 524 694 286 501 698 966 'Manor' 456 354 602 471 655 838	'Hruszkowska'	600	364	268	411	571	835
'Jana'534708376539750985'Karmen'680558468569791946'Kitawasesoba'452322546440612760'Kitayuki'410330362367511570'Koban'636334580517719885'Kora'348486172335467676'Koto'8406585286759401169'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Spacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum198266344<	'Iliya'	586	578	390	518	721	815
'Karmen' 680 558 468 569 791 946 'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Koban' 636 334 580 517 719 885 'Kora' 348 486 172 335 467 676 'Koto' 840 658 528 675 940 1169 'La Harpe' 836 572 412 607 844 1163 'Lena' 654 454 456 521 725 910 'Lileja' 674 694 330 566 787 966 'Luba' 524 694 286 501 698 966 'Mancan' 290 516 394 400 557 718 'Manor' 456 354 602 471 655 838 'Pada' 656 420 358 478 665 913	'Jana'	534	708	376	539	750	985
'Kitawasesoba' 452 322 546 440 612 760 'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Koban' 636 334 580 517 719 885 'Kora' 348 486 172 335 467 676 'Koto' 840 658 528 675 940 1169 'La Harpe' 836 572 412 607 844 1163 'Lena' 654 454 456 521 725 910 'Lileja' 674 694 330 566 787 966 'Luba' 524 694 286 501 698 966 'Manor' 456 354 602 471 655 838 'Panda' 656 420 358 478 665 913 'Prego' 792 206 110 369 514 1102 'Pyra' 586 250 368 401 558 815	'Karmen'	680	558	468	569	791	946
'Kitayuki' 410 330 362 367 511 570 'Koban' 636 334 580 517 719 885 'Kora' 348 486 172 335 467 676 'Koto' 840 658 528 675 940 1169 'La Harpe' 836 572 412 607 844 1163 'Lena' 654 454 456 521 725 910 'Lileja' 674 694 330 566 787 966 'Luba' 524 694 286 501 698 966 'Manor' 456 354 602 471 655 838 'Panda' 656 420 358 478 665 913 'Prego' 792 206 110 369 514 1102 'Pyra' 586 250 368 401 558 815 'Špacinska' 662 372 352 462 643	'Kitawasesoba'	452	322	546	440	612	760
'Koban'636334580517719885'Kora'348486172335467676'Koto'8406585286759401169'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Špacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum'198266344269375479'Golden'338214276276384470'Ishisoba'86927484117128	'Kitayuki'	410	330	362	367	511	570
'Kora'348486172335467676'Koto'8406585286759401169'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Špacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum'''198266344269375479'Golden'338214276276384470'128	'Koban'	636	334	580	517	719	885
'Koto'8406585286759401169'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Špacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum'198266344269375479'Golden'338214276276384470'Ishisoba'86927484117128	'Kora'	348	486	172	335	467	676
'La Harpe'8365724126078441163'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Špacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum'198266344269375479'Golden'338214276276384470'Ishisoba'86927484117128	'Koto'	840	658	528	675	940	1169
'Lena'654454456521725910'Lileja'674694330566787966'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Špacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum'''198266344269375479'Golden'338214276276384470''128	'La Harpe'	836	572	412	607	844	1163
'Lileja' 674 694 330 566 787 966 'Luba' 524 694 286 501 698 966 'Mancan' 290 516 394 400 557 718 'Manor' 456 354 602 471 655 838 'Panda' 656 420 358 478 665 913 'Prego' 792 206 110 369 514 1102 'Pyra' 586 250 368 401 558 815 'Špacinska' 662 372 352 462 643 921 'Springfield' 420 368 237 342 475 584 'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 Fagopyrum tataricum '' '' '' '' '' '' 'Golden' 198 266 344 269 375 4	'Lena'	654	454	456	521	725	910
'Luba'524694286501698966'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Špacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum'198266344269375479'Golden'338214276276384470'Ishisoba'86927484117128	'Lileja'	674	694	330	566	787	966
'Mancan'290516394400557718'Manor'456354602471655838'Panda'656420358478665913'Prego'7922061103695141102'Pyra'586250368401558815'Špacinska'662372352462643921'Springfield'420368237342475584'Vlada'7947685507049791105'Zhnayarka'3628702084806681210Fagopyrum tataricum''198266344269375479'Golden'338214276276384470''128	'Luba'	524	694	286	501	698	966
'Manor' 456 354 602 471 655 838 'Panda' 656 420 358 478 665 913 'Prego' 792 206 110 369 514 1102 'Pyra' 586 250 368 401 558 815 'Špacinska' 662 372 352 462 643 921 'Springfield' 420 368 237 342 475 584 'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 <i>Fagopyrum tataricum</i> ' ' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Mancan'	290	516	394	400	557	718
'Panda' 656 420 358 478 665 913 'Prego' 792 206 110 369 514 1102 'Pyra' 586 250 368 401 558 815 'Špacinska' 662 372 352 462 643 921 'Springfield' 420 368 237 342 475 584 'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 Fagopyrum tataricum '' '' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Manor'	456	354	602	471	655	838
'Prego' 792 206 110 369 514 1102 'Pyra' 586 250 368 401 558 815 'Špacinska' 662 372 352 462 643 921 'Springfield' 420 368 237 342 475 584 'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 Fagopyrum tataricum ' ' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Panda'	656	420	358	478	665	913
'Pyra' 586 250 368 401 558 815 'Špacinska' 662 372 352 462 643 921 'Springfield' 420 368 237 342 475 584 'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 Fagopyrum tataricum ' ' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Prego'	792	206	110	369	514	1102
'Špacinska' 662 372 352 462 643 921 'Springfield' 420 368 237 342 475 584 'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 <i>Fagopyrum tataricum</i> ' ' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Pyra'	586	250	368	401	558	815
'Springfield' 420 368 237 342 475 584 'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 Fagopyrum tataricum ' ' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Špacinska'	662	372	352	462	643	921
'Vlada' 794 768 550 704 979 1105 'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 <i>Fagopyrum tataricum</i> 'Donan' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Springfield'	420	368	237	342	475	584
'Zhnayarka' 362 870 208 480 668 1210 Fagopyrum tataricum 'Donan' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Vlada'	794	768	550	704	979	1105
Fagopyrum tataricum 'Donan' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Zhnayarka'	362	870	208	480	668	1210
'Donan' 198 266 344 269 375 479 'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	Fagopyrum tataricum						
'Golden' 338 214 276 276 384 470 'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Donan'	198	266	344	269	375	479
'Ishisoba' 86 92 74 84 117 128	'Golden'	338	214	276	276	384	470
	'Ishisoba'	86	92	74	84	117	128

* The best yield observed among the three replicated plots of each variety is highlighted in bold.

Note: Presenting the yield of the three replicates is meant to highlight the heaviness of bird predation on certain plots. In this situation, to calculate the standard deviation would appear meaningless due to the enormous differences between replicates. Under the present circumstance, the only possibility to hint at the yield potential of buckwheat in North-West Hungary remains to point to the best yield observed.

eties that, according to the best plot yield as stated above, would appear well adapted to this specific environment (viz. 'Anita Belorusskaya', 'Koto', 'La Harpe', 'Prego', 'Vlada' and 'Zhnayarka') (**Table 2**). It may be of interest to note that all of these, with the exception of 'Koto', are of European origin, in a way demonstrating the success of breeding efforts on this continent and, more in general, that selection in a specific environment for traits like yield is an activity worth pursuing.

Since there is a relatively high number of established buckwheat varieties available for production, it appears safe to regard agronomic trials as an effective way to identify the best adapted genetic material to any given environment whose climatic conditions are susceptible to host the cultivation of this crop as confirmed by recent results obtained in Central and Southern Italy where buckwheat cultivation had never been attempted before (Brunori *et al.* 2010).

As for tartary buckwheat, grain spoilage due to bird predation was too severe to allow any assessment of the yield potential of the crop which, because of the great interest related to the high rutin content, deserves further exploratory efforts.

The grain rutin content of common buckwheat ranged from less than 10 mg 100/g DW to 24 mg/100 g DW, with

Table 3 Grain rutin content of common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) and tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) varieties

eties.			
Variety	Rutin (mg/100 g DW)	SD	
Fagopyrum esculentum			
'AC Manisoba'	10 fg	0.40	
'Aelita'	17 bcde	1.59	
'Aleksandrina'	17 bcd	0.79	
'Anita Belorusskaya'	17 bcde	0.96	
'Arakawa Village'	12 f	0.74	
'Bamby'	14 def	0.50	
'Botan'	14 cdef	1.39	
'Darja'	8 g	0.27	
'Emka'	19 abc	1.20	
'Hruszkowska'	19 bc	0.68	
ʻIliya'	17 c	0.15	
'Jana'	14 e	0.07	
'Karmen'	19 abc	1.32	
'Kitawasesoba'	8 g	0.79	
'Kitayuki'	14 def	0.98	
'Koban'	9 fg	0.48	
'Kora'	22 ab	0.89	
'Koto'	14 def	0.60	
'La Harpe'	24 a	0.96	
'Lena'	17 c	0.43	
'Lileja'	12 ef	0.74	
'Luba'	16 cd	0.60	
'Mancan'	11 fg	0.62	
'Manor'	10 fg	0.57	
'Panda'	18 bc	0.75	
'Prego'	14 cdef	2.12	
'Pyra'	15 d	0.14	
'Špacinska'	19 bc	0.83	
'Springfield'	13 def	0.91	
'Vlada'	21 ab	0.67	
'Zhnayarka'	19 b	0.38	
Fagopyrum tataricum			
'Donan'	1085 b	12.45	
'Golden'	974 c	31.88	
'Ishisoba'	1196 a	22.11	

Values with the same letter are not statistically different according to the *t*-test at P = 0.05.

most of the varieties around 15 mg/100 g DW, in line with values previously reported varying between 13 and 36 mg/100 g DW (Kitabayashi *et al.* 1995b) and between 5 and 58 mg/100 g DW (Brunori *et al.* 2010). However, as clearly shown in **Fig. 1**, it would appear that those varieties originating in Europe tended to accumulate more rutin in the grain than those from the Pacific area.

Tartary buckwheat is able to form a much higher rutin content of the grain -1110-1950 mg/100 g DW (Kitabayashi *et al.* 1995a); 941-1694 mg/100 g DW (Brunori *et al.* 2009b) – than common buckwheat, a fact that was confirmed in the North-Western Hungarian environment in this study.

In line with previous, though preliminary, data (Brunori and Végvári 2007b) and regardless of the origin of the variety, the rutin content of the grain would appear in no way related to grain yield potential as the data presented in **Fig. 1** would suggest.

Thanks to the grain yield comparable to world average (FAOSTAT 2008) it seems safe to conclude that several common buckwheat varieties among the 31 genotypes assessed can be considered to be adapted to North-West Hungary, some of which express appreciable rutin content of the grain. However, in view of the many beneficial health properties attributed to this flavonoid (Christa and Soral-Smietana 2008), varieties like 'Vlada', expressing good yield and characterised by relatively high rutin content of the grain, deserve particular attention if high yield and high quality are to be pursued.

On the other hand, despite the initial good vegetative growth and seed setting, due to the heavy bird predation, it



Fig. 1 Correlation between grain yield potential and grain rutin content of the common buckwheat varieties studied. Symbols: 🗆 Varieties originating from the Pacific area; • Varieties originating from Europe.

was not possible to evaluate the yield potential of tartary buckwheat. Nevertheless, this crop is worth further investigation for it may represent a rich source of rutin within the rationale of preventive nutrition.

Tartary buckwheat is a commodity not available on the international market, hence it needs to be locally supplied. Therefore, it is important to keep pursuing the identification of well adapted varieties and the most suited agronomic practices. Thus, along with common buckwheat varieties it seems advisable to also consider tartary buckwheat when aiming to promote the cultivation of *Fagopyrum* towards the development of more efficient buckwheat-based foods with regard to its attainable health benefits.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was developed as a part of the project Val.Gra.Sar. (Special Fund for Applied Research of the Italian Ministry of Research) and TÁMOP 4.2.1./B-09/01/KMR/2010-0005 project.

REFERENCES

- Aheme SA, O'Brien NM (1999) Protection by the flavonoids myricetin, quercetin, and rutin against hydrogen peroxide-induced DNA damage in Caco-2 HepG2 cells. *Nutrition and Cancer* 34, 160-166
- Bálint G (1998) Újra fellendülőben a pohánka termesztése. *Méhészet* 46 (7), 14
- Brunori A, Végvári G (2007a) Variety and location influence on the rutin content of the grain of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench and *Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) grown in Central and Southern Italy. In: Chai Y, Zhang Z (Eds) Advances in Buckwheat Research: Proceedings of the 10th International Symposium on Buckwheat, Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University, Yangling, China, pp 349-357
- Brunori A, Végvári G (2007b) Rutin content of the grain of buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench and Fagopyrum tataricum Gaertn.) varieties grown in Southern Italy. Acta Agronomica Hungarica 53, 265-272
- Brunori A, Végvári G, Sándor G, Xie H, Baviello G, Kadyrov R (2008) The rutin content of buckwheat grain (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench and *F. tataricum* Gaertn.): Influence of variety, location and sowing time. *Fago*pyrum 25, 21-27
- Brunori A, Végvári G, Sándor G, Xie H, Baviello G, Nehiba B, Rabnecz G (2009a) The rutin content of the grain of twenty two buckwheat (*Fagopyrum* esculentum Moench and *Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn.) varieties grown in Hungary. In: Dobranski J (Ed) Buckwheat I. The European Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology 3 (Special Issue 1), 62-65
- Brunori A, Sándor G, Toth M, Baviello G, Végvári G (2009b) Grain rutin content of 49 varieties and strains of tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tatari*-

cum Gaertn.) grown in the Apennine Mountains in the Basilicata region (Southern Italy). *Fagopyrum* **26**, 57-62

- Brunori A, Baviello G, Colonna M, Ricci M, Izzi G, Tóth M, Végvári G (2010) Recent insights on the prospect of cultivation and use of buckwheat in Central and Southern Italy. In: Zotikov VI, Parakhin NV (Eds) Advances in Buckwheat Research: Proceedings of the 11th International Symposium on Buckwheat, All-Russia Research Institute of Legumes and Groat Crops, Orel State Agrarian University, Orel, Russian Federation, pp 589-600
- Christa K, Soral-Śmietana M (2008) Buckwheat grains and buckwheat products – nutritional and prophylactic value of their components – a review. *Czech Journal of Food Science* 26, 153-162
- Deschner EE, Ruperto J, Wong G, Newmark HL (1991) Quercitin and rutin as inhibitors of azoxymethanol-induced colonic neoplasia. *Carcinogenesis* 12, 1193-1196
- Fabjan N, Rode J, Kosir IJ, Wang Z, Kreft I (2003) Tartary buckwheat (Fagopyrum tataricum Gaertn.) as a source of dietary rutin and quercetin. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 51, 6452-6455
- FAOSTAT (2008) Available online: http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/default.aspx
- Griffith JQ, Couch JF, Lindauer MA (1944) Effect of rutin on increased capillary fragility in man. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 55, 228-229
- Grinberg LN, Rachmilewitz EA, Newmark H (1994) Protective effects of rutin against hemoglobin oxidation. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 48, 643-649
- Guardia T, Rotelli AE, Juárez AO, Pelzer LE (2001) Anti-inflammatory properties of rutin, quercetin and hesperidin on adjuvant arthritis in rat. *Farmaco* 56, 683-387
- **Guruvayoorappan C, Kuttan G** (2007) Antiangiogenic effect of rutin and its regulatory effect on the production of VEGF, IL-1β and TNF-α in turnover associated macrophages. *Journal of Biological Sciences* **7**, 1511-1519
- He J, Klag MJ, Whelton PK, Mo JP, Chen JY, Qian MG, Mo PS, He GQ (1995) Oats and Buckwheat intake and cardiovascular disease risk factors in an ethnic minority of China. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 61, 366-372
- Ikeda S, Yamashita Y, Murakami T (1995) Minerals in buckwheat. In: Matano T, Ujihara A (Eds) Current Advances in Buckwheat Research: Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on Buckwheat, Shinshu University Press, Shinshu, Japan, pp 789-792
- Ikeda S, Yamashita Y, Tomura K, Kreft I (2006) Nutritional comparison in mineral characteristics between buckwheat and cereals. *Fagopyrum* 23, 61-65
- Je HD, Shin CY, Park SY, Yim SH, Kum C, Huh IH, Kim JH, Sohn UD (2002) Combination of vitamin C and rutin on neuropathy and lung damage of diabetes mellitus rats. *Archives of Pharmacal Research* 25 (2), 184-190
- Kayashita J, Shimaoka I, Nakajoh M (1995) Hypocholesterolemic effect of buckwheat protein extract in rat fed cholesterol enriched diets. *Nutrition Research* 15, 691-698
- Kayashita J, Shimaoka I, Nakajoh M, Yamazaki M, Kato N (1997) Consumption of buckwheat protein lowers plasma cholesterol and raises fecal neutral sterols in cholesterol-fed rats because of its low digestibility. *The*

Journal of Nutrition 127, 1395-1400

- Kitabayashi H, Ujihara A, Hirose T, Minami M (1995a) On the genotypic differences for rutin content in tatary buckwheat, *Fagopyrum tataricum* Gaertn. *Breeding Science* **45**, 189-194
- Kitabayashi H, Ujihara A, Hirose T, Minami M (1995b) Varietal differences and heritability for rutin content in common buckwheat, *Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench. *Breeding Science* 45, 75-79
- Nagasawa T, Tabata N, Ito Y, Aiba Y, Nishizawa N, Kitts DD (2003) Dietary G-rutin suppresses glycation in tissue proteins of streptozoticin-induced diabetic rats. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry* 252, 141-147
- Negre-Salvayre A, Affany A, Hariton C, Salvayre R (1991) Additional antilipoperoxidant activities of alpha-tocopherol and ascorbic acid on membranelike systems are potentiated by rutin. *Pharmacology* **42** (5), 262-272
- Odetti PR, Borgoglio A, De Pascale A, Rolandi R, Adezati L (1990) Prevention of diabetes-increased aging effect on rat collagen-linked fluorescence by aminoguanidine and rutin. *Diabetes* **39** (7), 796-801
- Oomah BD, Mazza G (1996) Flavonoids and antioxidative activities in buckwheat. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 44, 1746-1750
- Park BJ, Park JI, Chang KJ, Park CH (2004) Comparison in rutin content in seed and plant of tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum*). In: Faberová I, Dvořáček V, Čepková P, Hon I, Holubec V, Stehno Z (Eds) Advances in Buckwheat Research: Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Buckwheat, Research Institute of Crop Production, Prague, Czech Republic, pp 626-629
- Pomeranz Y, Robbins GS (1972) Amino acid composition of buckwheat. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 20, 270-274
- Pu F, Mishima K, Irie K, Motohashi K, Tanaka Y, Orito K, Egawa T, Kitamura Y, Egashira N, Iwasaki K, Fujiwara M (2007) Neuroprotective effects of quercetin and rutin on spatial memory impairment in an 8-arm radial maze task and neuronal death induced by repeated cerebral ischemia in rats. *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences* 104, 329-334

Sághi Z (2002) A pohánka termesztése. Méhészet 50 (5), 4

Sheu JR, Hsiao G, Chou PH, Shen MY, Chou DS (2004) Mechanisms in-

volved in the antiplatelet activity of rutin, a glycoside of the flavonoid quercetin, in human platelets. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **52**, 4414-4418

- Skrabanja V, Kreft I (1998) Resistant starch formation following autoclaving of buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) groats. An in vitro study. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 46, 2020-2023
- Skrabanja V, Liljeberg Elmsttahl EHGM, Kreft I, Bjorck IME (2001) Nutritional properties of starch in buckwheat products: Studies in vitro and in vivo. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 49, 490-496
- Steadman KJ, Burgoon MS, Lewis BA, Edwardson SE, Obendorf RL (2001a) Buckwheat seed milling fractions: Description, macronutrient composition and dietary fibre. *Journal of Cereal Science* 33 (3), 271-278
- Steadman KJ, Burgoon MS, Lewis BA, Edwardson SE, Obendorf RL (2001b) Minerals, phytic acid, tannin and rutin in buckwheat seed milling fractions. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 81, 1094-1100
- Tomotake H, Shimaoka I, Katashita J, Yokoyama F, Nakajoh M, Kato M (2000) A buckwheat protein product suppresses gallstone formation and plasma cholesterol more strongly than soy protein isolate in hamster. *The Journal of Nutrition* **130**, 1670-1674
- Tomotake H, Shimaoka I, Kayashita J, Nakajoh M, Kato M (2001) Buckwheat protein suppresses plasma cholesterol more strongly than soy protein isolate in rats by enhancing fecal excretion of steroids. In: Ham SS, Choi YS, Kim NS, Park CH (Eds) Advances in Buckwheat Research: Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Buckwheat, Organizing Committee of the Eighth International Symposium on Buckwheat, Chunchon, Korea, pp 595-601
- Wadden TA, Brownell KD, Foster GD (2002) Obesity: Responding to the global epidemic. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology 70 (3), 510-525
- Wang J, Liu Z, Fu X, Run M (1992) A clinical observation on the hypoglycemic effect of Xinjiang buckwheat. In: Lin RF, Zhou MD, Tao YR (Eds) Advances in Buckwheat Research: Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Buckwheat, 20-26 August 1992, Taiyuan, China, Agricultural Publishing House, Taiyuan, China, pp 465-467