

Panax ginseng could Improve some Symptoms of Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

Helmut Niederhofer

Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Regional Hospital Bozen, Bolzano, Italy

**Corresponding author: **helmutniederhofer@yahoo.de

ABSTRACT

In this trial, *Panax ginseng* has been checked for its efficacy in treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity (ADHD) patients. Four 14-17-year old male psychiatric outpatients, diagnosed with ADHD were rated at baseline and while taking *Panax ginseng* or placebo respectively to determine its efficacy as a treatment for ADHD. Improvement was valuated using comparisons of Conners' parent ratings. Patients' mean scores improved for Conners' Hyperactivity, Inattention and Immaturity factors. Although the sample size is very small and therefore generalization is very difficult, this observation indicates that *P. ginseng* might also be a slightly effective treatment for ADHD.

Keywords: Conners' Hyperactivity, Inattention and Immaturity factors, monotherapy, phytotherapy

INTRODUCTION

Psychostimulants (Greenhill *et al.* 1996; Swanson *et al.* 1998) are first choice pharmaceuticals for the treatment of attention deficit disorder (ADHD). Despite the impressive track record for the stimulants in the treatment of ADHD, they fail in 25% of patients due to lack of efficacy or the emergence of unwanted side effects (Crenshaw *et al.* 1999). The *de novo* onset of tics has also been documented in placebo-controlled, multiple-dose stimulant trials that excluded children with tic disorders (Barkley *et al.* 1992).

Atomoxetine is a selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI), and is a unique ADHD medication, as it affects only norepinephrine, rather than dopamine. Norepinephrine and Dopamine are structurally very similar, differing only in the presence of a hydroxyl group. As a result, Atomoxetine has a lower abuse potential than psychostimulants (Wee *et al.* 2004).

With respect to alternative treatment options of ADHD, the Alpha₂-receptor agonist clonidine has been used (Cohen *et al.* 1997). The findings from controlled studies, however, have been somewhat inconsistent, showing benefit (Connor *et al.* 2006) and negative results (Singer *et al.* 1995). The noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor Desipramine has also shown some benefit (Singer *et al.* 1995). The novel anti-depressant bupropion was found to be superior to placebo (Conners *et al.* 1996).

Niederhofer could demonstrate that drugs also affecting the serotonine system may improve some symptoms associated with ADHD (Niederhofer 2004).

Panax ginseng is described to reduce stress and to improve concentration. We found only one study, investigating the efficacy of *P. ginseng* treating children suffering from ADHD, but in combination with *Ginkgo biloba* (Lyon et al. 2001). For that reason, this observation was conducted to examine the effects of *P. ginseng* as a monotherapy on a variety of target behaviors in a patient with ADHD.

METHODS

After completing the screening procedures and a 7-day washout period, four 14-17-year old male patients diagnosed with ADHD disattention type after having excluded combined disorders like

hyperthyroidism, anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, psychosis, EEG abnormalities and suicidality, who suffered from ADHD for at least 6 years, received P. ginseng (2 times/daily oral administration of tablets containing 250 mg) for 4 weeks and than placebo for 4 weeks and two patients received first placebo and then P. ginseng. We did not choose a larger sample size, because adverse side effects, especially cerebral bleedings, cannot be excluded. There are no data, if the risk is higher in adolescents, compared to adults. For that reason, we gave P. ginseng only to few adolescents for a short time. Prior to that medication two of them (one of the first placebo group and one of the first verum group) did not receive any ADHD-specific medication, the others received Methylphenidate 20 mg daily for approx. 6 years. They were recruited from our outpatient clinic. Before study entry, the patients were seen for a detailed clinical evaluation by an interdisciplinary team consisting of a psychiatrist, and a psychologist.

An interview was conducted to exclude anxiety disorder, depression, and psychosis. The DSM-IV diagnosis of ADHD was based on a review of the ADHD Rating Scale (DuPaul *et al.* 1998a).

The screening included routine laboratory tests, ECG, measurement of pulse and blood pressure, height and weight measurement, medical history, and a physical examination. An interview and Youth Self Report were conducted to exclude anxiety disorder, depression, and psychosis.

The patients (WISC-R IQ = 95-106) were free of all psychotropic medication for 1 week and free of any medical problem. They did not suffer from tic symptoms (Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (Leckman *et al.* 1998; total tic score >22) or obsessive-compulsive symptoms (Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Scahill *et al.* 1997) total score >15).

The diagnosis of ADHD was made on the basis of this clinical interview and ADHD Rating Scales (DuPaul *et al.* 1998a), to be completed by parents and teachers. These scales are 18-item measures of inattention and hyperactive/impulsive symptoms derived from DSM-IV. Each symptom was scored by the child, its parents and its teacher from 0 to 3 (0 = never [or rarely], 1 = sometimes, 2 = often, and 3 = very often). The scales yield three scores: an inattention score and a hyperactive/impulsive score (range = 0–27 for each score) and a total score (range = 0–54). The means of the three scores and of both forms were compared.

The Clinical Global Impression of improvement (CGI) score compares current symptom severity to baseline severity (Conners

et al. 1985). A score of 1 corresponds with very much improved and 2 with much improved, 3 denotes minimal change, and 4 represents no change. Scores of 5, 6, or 7 indicate deterioration (minimally worse, much worse, or very much worse, respectively).

Adverse effects were systematically assessed at each visit by the primary clinician using a modified version of the Systematic Assessment for Treatment of Emergent Events (SAFTEE) (Levine *et al.* 1986). The assessment for adverse effects also included questions about concomitant medications and concurrent illness.

RESULTS

Regarding the ADHD rating scales, an improvement was observed for the inattention score (placebo 22, verum 18.5), the hyperactive/impulsive score (placebo 25.5, verum 21), and the total score (placebo 47.5, verum 39.5).

With respect to the CGI, there was an improvement of 2 in ADHD symptoms compared to 1 in the Placebo period, rated by a clinician, who did not have any information about the *P. ginseng* medication.

No serious side effects were observed. There were no alterations in laboratory test results, and the patients showed no clinically meaningful change in cardiac conduction. There were no changes in weight from baseline to endpoint. To evaluate cardiovascular effects, we compared blood pressure changes at each visit and could not detect any change.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study of *P. ginseng* in children with ADHD. The observed improvement is lower than the 50%–60% improvement reported in stimulant trials, studied in a sample of 76 children (Rapport *et al.* 1994), but is similar than the level of improvement observed in other nonstimulant studies such as that of Desipramine, studied in a group of 62 patients (Biederman *et al.* 1989). These finding also raises questions about the utility of combining *P. ginseng* with a stimulant. In patients with ADHD, this combination might permit lower doses of the stimulant. Questions about these effects can be answered only with further placebo-controlled, randomized studies with larger samples, that focuses on safety and efficacy in monotherapy with *P. ginseng* in this population.

REFERENCES

- Barkley RA, McMurray MB, Edelbrock CS, Robbins K (1992) Side effects of methylphenidate in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A systemic, placebo-controlled evaluation. *Pediatrics* 86, 184-192
- Biederman J, Baldessarini RJ, Wright V, Knee D, Harmatz JS (1989) A double-blind placebo controlled study of desipramine in the treatment of ADHD, I: efficacy. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adoles*cent Psychiatry 28, 777-784
- Cohen DJ, Young JG, Nathanson JA, Shaywitz BA (1979) Clonidine in Tourette's syndrome. Lancet 2, 551-553
- Conners CK, Casat CD, Gualtieri CT, Weller E, Reader M, Reiss A, Weller

- RA, Khayrallah M, Ascher J (1996) Bupropion hydrochloride in attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 35, 1314-1321
- Conners CK, Barkley RA (1985) Rating scales and checklists for child psychopharmacology. Psychopharmacological Bulletin 21, 809-843
- Connor DF, Barkley RA, Davis HT (2000) A pilot study of methylphenidate, clonidine, or the combination in ADHD comorbid with aggressive opposetional defiant or conduct disorder. *Clinical Pediatrics* 39, 15-25
- Crenshaw TM, Kavale KA, Forness SR, Reeve RE (1999) Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and the efficacy of stimulant medication: A meta-analysis. In: Scruggs TE, Mastropieri MA (Eds) Greenwich Advances in Learning and Behavioral Disabilities (Vol 13), JAI Press, Conn, pp 135-165
- DuPaul GJ, Anastopoulos AD, Power TJ, Reid R, Ikeda MJ, MacGoey KE (1998a) Parent ratings of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms: Factor structure and normative data. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment* 20, 57-81
- DuPaul GJ, Anastopoulos AD, MacGoey KE, Power TJ, Reid R, Ikeda MJ (1998b) Teacher ratings of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms: Factor structure and normative data. Psychological Assessment 9, 436-444
- Greenhill LL, Abikoff HB, Arnold LE, Cantwell DP, Conners CK, Elliott G, Hechtman L, Hinshaw SP, Hoza B, Jensen PS, March JS, Newcorn J, Pelham WE, Severe JB, Swanson JM, Vitiello B, Wells K (1996) Medication treatment strategies in the MTA study: Relevance to clinicians and researchers. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 35, 1304-1313
- Leckman JF, Riddle MA, Hardin MT, Ort SI, Swartz KL, Stevenson J, Cohen DJ (1989) The Yale Global Tic Severity Scale: Initial testing of a clinician-rated scale of tic severity Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 28, 566-573
- Levine J, Schooler N (1986) SAFTEE: A technique for the systematic assessment of side effects in clinical trials. Psychopharmacological Bulletin 22, 343-381
- Lyon MR, Cline JC, Totosy de Zepetnek J, Shan JJ, Pang P, Benishin C (2001) Effect of the herbal extract combination *Panax quinquefolium* and ginkgo biloba on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A pilot study. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience* 26, 221-228
- Niederhofer H (2004) Tianeptine as a slightly effective therapeutic option for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuropsychobiology 49, 130-133
- Rapport MD, Denney C, DuPaul GJ, Gardner MJ (1994) Attention deficit dis-order and methylphenidate: normalization rates, clinical effectiveness, and response prediction in 76 children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 33, 882-893
- Rosvold HE, Mirsky AF, Sarason I, Bransome ED, Beck LH (1956) A continuous performance test of brain damage. *Journal of Consulting Psychology* 20, 343-350
- Scahill L, Riddle MA, McSwiggin-Hardin M, Ort SI, King RA, Goodman WK, Cicchetti D, Leckman JF (1997) Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale: reliability and validity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 36, 844-852
- Singer HS, Brown J, Quaskey S, Rosenberg LA (1995) The treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Tourette's syndrome: A doubleblind placebo-controlled study with clonidine and desipramine. *Pediatrics* 95, 74-81
- Swanson JM, Wigal S, Greenhill LL, Browne R, Waslik B, Lerner M, Williams L, Flynn D, Agler D, Crowley K, Fineberg E, Baren M, Cantwell DP (1998) Analog classroom assessment of ADHD in children with ADHD. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 37, 519-526
- Wee S, Woolverton WL (2004) Evaluation of the reinforcing effects of atomoxetine in monkeys: Comparison to methylphenidate and desipramine. *Drug* and Alcohol Dependence 75, 271-276